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#### Abstract

In this article, Theorem (3) impose a rule on p and q for which $36 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{q}+1$ a composite number. and violation of this rule implies $36 p+6 q+1$ a prime number. similarly, Theorem (4) impose a rule on $p$ and $q$ for which $36 p+6 q-1$ a composite number. and violation of this rule implies $36 p+6 q-1$ a prime number.


## INTRODUCTION

Numbers are wonderful, marvelous creature of human. Numbers are classified into many types. They are Natural numbers, Whole numbers, Integers, Real numbers, Complex numbers, Rational numbers, Irrational numbers.

Natural numbers are classified into two categories

1) Prime numbers,
2) Composite numbers.

Prime numbers are Natural numbers which cannot be expressed in the form of product of two Natural numbers both greater than 1 .

Composite numbers are other than Prime numbers. i.e. Which can be expressed in the form of product of two Natural numbers both greater than 1.

From the definition of Prime numbers, 2 and 3 are Prime numbers, but $2 \times 3=6$ is a composite number. Multiples 6 are also composite numbers. Numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 2$ are even numbers i.e multiples of 2, hence composite numbers, Numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 3$ are odd multiples of 3 hence composite numbers. Where k is any natural number.

Therefore, Prime numbers except 2 and 3 are in the form of $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ where k is natural number, but not for all natural numbers. For some Natural number $k, 6 k+1$ is a Prime number but $6 k-1$ is a Composite number. For some Natural number $\mathrm{k}, 6 \mathrm{k}-1$ is a Prime number but $6 \mathrm{k}+1$ is a Composite numbers. For some Natural number k, $6 k+1$ and $6 k-1$ both are Prime numbers (twin Prime numbers). For some Natural number k, $6 k+1$ and $6 k-1$ both are Composite numbers. Hence this $k$ is the key factor that determines Prime numbers and Composite numbers.

Before 2500 years ago Euclid proved that Prime numbers are infinite, Composite numbers are generated by their prime factors, but Prime numbers are not generated. They are distributed among the gaps left by Composite numbers. This article is about Theory of distribution of Prime number. Distributive rule of Prime numbers is nothing but violation of generating rule of Composite numbers. And since all Prime numbers except 2 and 3 are in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$, this article is an analysis about natural number k , which determines numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$.

## NUMBERS IN THE FORM $\mathbf{6 k} \pm \mathbf{1}$

Here after Prime number means Prime number in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$, Composite number means Composite number in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ unless explicitly stated.

When we see the numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$, It is seen like a binomial expression. We can say it as binomial in 6 or binomial in k . In this article, for analysis number in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ is treated as binomial in 6 , with constant term $\pm 1$. First let us analyze nature of this form.

Let
$\mathrm{P}=6 \mathrm{p} \pm 1$ and $\mathrm{Q}=6 \mathrm{q} \pm 1$ are two Natural numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P \times Q & =(6 \mathrm{p} \pm 1)(6 \mathrm{q} \pm 1)=36 \mathrm{pq} \pm(\mathrm{p} \pm \mathrm{q}) 6 \pm 1 \\
& =[6 \mathrm{pq} \pm(\mathrm{p} \pm \mathrm{q})] 6 \pm 1 \\
& =6 \mathrm{~K} \pm 1 \quad \text { Where } \mathrm{K}=6 \mathrm{pq} \pm(\mathrm{p} \pm \mathrm{q})
\end{aligned}
$$

Implies product of two numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ gets the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ again.
Let
$P=(6 \mathrm{p} \pm 1), Q=(6 \mathrm{q} \pm 1)$ and $R=(6 \mathrm{r} \pm 1)$ are three Natural numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R} & =(6 \mathrm{p} \pm 1)(6 \mathrm{q} \pm 1)(6 \mathrm{r} \pm 1) \\
& =216 \mathrm{pqr} \pm(\mathrm{pq} \pm \mathrm{qr} \pm \mathrm{pr}) 36 \pm(\mathrm{p} \pm \mathrm{q} \pm \mathrm{r}) 6 \pm 1 \\
& =[36 \mathrm{pqr} \pm(\mathrm{pq} \pm \mathrm{qr} \pm \mathrm{pr}) 6 \pm \mathrm{p} \pm \mathrm{q} \pm \mathrm{r}] 6 \pm 1 \\
& =6 \mathrm{~K} \pm 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{K}=36 \mathrm{pqr} \pm(\mathrm{pq} \pm \mathrm{qr} \pm \mathrm{pr}) 6 \pm \mathrm{p} \pm \mathrm{q} \pm \mathrm{r}$
Hence product of three numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ again gets the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$. The above argument says multiplication is closed binary operation among set of natural numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$. It is obvious 2 and 3 cannot be a factor of the numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$.

Hence number in the $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ is Composite if and only if $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ can be factored as

$$
6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1=(6 \mathrm{p} \pm 1)(6 \mathrm{q} \pm 1)
$$

Where $6 \mathrm{p} \pm 1$ and $6 \mathrm{q} \pm 1$ are two natural numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1, \mathrm{p}$ and q not necessarily distinct.
Hence the number in the form $6 \mathrm{k} \pm 1$ is Prime number if and only if it cannot be factored as above.
The following two theorems are realized by myself before five years. But now I have heard that two theorems are already proved. For better continuity and better understanding, I reproduce the two theorem with proof once.

## THEOREM (1)

Let $n=6 k+1$, where $k$ is any Natural number. $n=6 k+1$ is Composite number if and only if $k$ can be expressed in the form $\mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab} \pm(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})$. where a and b are Natural numbers not necessarily distinct.

## PROOF

Let $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1$ is a Composite number. From the above argument n can be factored as

$$
\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=(6 \mathrm{a} \pm 1)(6 \mathrm{~b} \pm 1)
$$

where a and b Natural numbers not necessarily distinct.
On comparing the constant term, two cases arise.
(i) $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=(6 \mathrm{a}+1)(6 \mathrm{~b}+1)($ or $)$
(ii) $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=(6 \mathrm{a}-1)(6 \mathrm{~b}-1)$

```
Case(i)
\(\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=(6 \mathrm{a}+1)(6 \mathrm{~b}+1)\)
    \(6 k+1=36 a b+(a+b) 6+1\)
    \(6 k+1=[6 a b+a+b] 6+1\)
Implies \(k=6 a b+a+b\)
Case(ii)
\(\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=(6 \mathrm{a}-1)(6 \mathrm{~b}-1)\)
    \(6 \mathrm{k}+1=36 \mathrm{ab}-(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) 6+1\)
    \(6 \mathrm{k}+1=[6 \mathrm{ab}-(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})] 6+1\)
Implies \(\mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab}-(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})\)
```

From Case(i) and Case(ii)
$\mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab} \pm(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})$
Conversely let $\mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab} \pm(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})$
Two cases arise
Case(i) $k=6 a b+a+b$ (or)
Case (ii) $k=6 a b-(a+b)$
Case(i)
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=6[6 \mathrm{ab}+\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}]+1$
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=36 \mathrm{ab}+(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) 6+1$
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=(6 \mathrm{a}+1)(6 \mathrm{~b}+1)$
implies n is Composite number
Case(ii)
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=6[6 \mathrm{ab}-(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})]+1$
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=36 \mathrm{ab}-(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) 6+1$
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1=(6 \mathrm{a}-1)(6 \mathrm{~b}-1)$
implies n is Composite number

From Case(i) and Case(ii)
n is a Composite number
Hence theorem (1) is proved
Theorem (1) impose a rule on k , for which $6 \mathrm{k}+1$ a Composite number. Violation of this rule is distributive rule for Prime numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k}+1$.

## DISTRIBUTIVE RULE (1)

Natural number $n=6 \mathrm{k}+1$, where k is any Natural number. Then $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}+1$ is a Prime number if and only if $k$ cannot be expressed in the form $k=6 a b \pm(a+b)$ i.e $n=6 k+1$ is a Prime number if and only if $k \neq 6 a b \pm(a+b)$ where $a$ and $b$ are Natural numbers not necessarily distinct.

## THEOREM ( 2 )

Let $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1$, where k is any Natural number. $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1$ is a Composite number if and only if k can be expressed in the form $\mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab} \pm(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$. where a and b are Natural numbers not necessarily distinct.

## PROOF

Let $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1$ is Composite number. As in theorem (1) $6 \mathrm{k}-1$ can be factored as

$$
\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=(6 \mathrm{a} \pm 1)(6 \mathrm{~b} \pm 1)
$$

where a and b are Natural number not necessarily distinct.
On comparing constant term two cases arise
Case(i) $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=(6 \mathrm{a}+1)(6 \mathrm{~b}-1)$ (or)
Case(ii) $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=(6 \mathrm{a}-1)(6 \mathrm{~b}+1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Case(i) } \\
& \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=(6 \mathrm{a}+1)(6 \mathrm{~b}-1) \\
& 6 \mathrm{k}-1=36 \mathrm{ab}+(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}) 6-1 \\
& 6 \mathrm{k}-1=[6 \mathrm{ab}-\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}] 6-1 \\
& \text { Implies } \mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab}-(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) \\
& \text { Case(ii) } \\
& \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=(6 \mathrm{a}-1)(6 \mathrm{~b}+1) \\
& 6 \mathrm{k}-1=36 \mathrm{ab}+(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) 6-1 \\
& 6 \mathrm{k}-1=[6 \mathrm{ab}+(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})] 6-1 \\
& \text { Implies } \mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab}+(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})
\end{aligned}
$$

From Case(i) and Case(ii)
$\mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab} \pm(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$

Conversely let $\mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{ab} \pm(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$
Two cases arise
Case(i) $k=6 a b+a-b$ (or) Case (ii) $k=6 a b-(a-b)$

```
Case(i)
\(\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=6[6 a b+\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}]-1\)
\(\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=36 \mathrm{ab}+(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) 6-1\)
\(\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=(6 \mathrm{a}-1)(6 \mathrm{~b}+1)\)
```

implies n is Composite number

Case(ii)
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=6[6 \mathrm{ab}-(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})]-1$
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=36 \mathrm{ab}-(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) 6-1$
$\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1=(6 \mathrm{a}+1)(6 \mathrm{~b}-1)$
implies n is Composite number
From Case(i) and Case(ii)
n is a Composite number
Hence theorem (2) is proved
Theorem (2) impose a rule on k for which $6 \mathrm{k}-1$ a Composite number. Violation of this rule is distributive rule for Prime numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{k}-1$

## DISTRIBUTIVE RULE (2)

Natural number $n=6 k-1$, where $k$ is any Natural number. Then $n=6 k-1$ is a Prime number if and only if $k$ cannot be expressed in the form $k=6 a b \pm(a-b)$ i.e $n=6 k-1$ is a Prime number if and only if $k \neq 6 a b \pm(a-b)$ where a and $b$ are Natural numbers not necessarily distinct.

## NUMBERS IN THE FORM 6ab $\pm(a+b)$ AND $6 a b \pm(a-b)$.

Let us discuss the formation of numbers in the form $6 a b \pm(a+b)$ and $6 a b \pm(a-b)$. where $a$ and $b$ are natural numbers not necessarily distinct. For that we have to make some assumption. Let us assume that the numbers in the form $6 a b \pm a \pm b$ are contributions of multiples 6 say $6 a b$.

For example
$6 \times 1 \times 1-1-1=4,6 \times 1 \times 1+1-1=6 \& 6 \times 1 \times 1+1+1=8$ are contributions of 6 .
$6 \times 1 \times 2-1-2=9,6 \times 1 \times 2+1-2=11,6 \times 1 \times 2-1+2=13, \& 6 \times 1 \times 2+1+2=15$ are contributions 12 .
$6 \times 1 \times 6-1-6=29,6 \times 1 \times 6+1-6=31,6 \times 1 \times 6-1+6=41,6 \times 1 \times 6+1+6=43$
$6 \times 2 \times 3-2-3=31,6 \times 2 \times 3+2-3=35,6 \times 2 \times 3-2+3=37,6 \times 2 \times 3+2+3=41$ are contributions 36
Some numbers in the form $6 \mathrm{ab} \pm \mathrm{a} \pm \mathrm{b}$, contributed by two or more multiples 6 .
Example
$6 \times 1 \times 9+1+9=64,6 \times 6 \times 2-2-6=64,6 \times 1 \times 13-1-13=64$ Hence 64 is contributed by three multiples of 6 . i.e 64 contributed 54, 72, \& 78 .

By this assumption let us continue. now we are going to discuss about the greatest and the smallest number contributed by a multiple of 6 say $6 p$. where $p$ is any natural number. but it is not hard to prove that the greatest number in the form $6 a b+a+b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $6(1) p+p+1=7 p+1$. If any other number contributed by $6 p$ in the form $6 a b+a+b$ say $6 y(p / y)+y+p / y$ is greater than $7 p+1$, where $y$ is a factor of $p$. Then

```
6y(p/y)+y+p/y =6p+y+p/y>7p+1=6p+p+1
y+p/y>p+1
y2}+p>py+
y'-y>py-p
y(y-1)>p(y-1)
```

$y>p$ which is absurd. Since $y$ is divisor of $p$.
Hence the greatest number in the form $6 a b+a+b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $7 p+1$. Similarly, the smallest number in the form $6 a b-a-b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $6(1) p-p-1=5 p-1$. if any other number contributed by $6 p$ in the form $6 a b-a-b$ say $6 y(p / y)-p-p / y$ is smaller than $5 p-1$. Where $y$ is a factor of $p$. Then

```
6y(p/y)-y-p/y=6p-y-p/y <5p-1=6p-p-1
-y-p/y<-p-1
y+p/x>p+1
y2}+p>py+
y}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-y>py-
y(y-1)>p(y-1)
```

$y>p$ which is absurd
hence the smallest number in the form $6 a b-a-b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $5 p-1$
Therefore,
The greatest number in the form $6 a b+a+b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $7 p+1$
The smallest number in the form 6ab-a-b contributed by $6 p$ is $5 \mathrm{p}-1$
And it is obvious that natural numbers $x$ and $y$ are any two divisors of $p$. then $6 p-x-p / x<6 p+y+p / y$. and $5 \mathrm{p}-1 \leq 6 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{x}<6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{y} \leq 7 \mathrm{p}+1$

Therefore, $n=6 k+1$ a composite number, theorem (1) implies $k=6 p+y+p / y$ or $k=6 q-x-q / x$, where $p$ and $q$ are natural numbers not necessarily distinct, natural number $x$ is any divisor of $p$, and natural number $y$ is any divisor of $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{x}$ and y need not to be necessarily distinct. And from the above arguments.

If $k=6 p+y+p / y$,

$$
5 \mathrm{p}-1<\mathrm{k} \leq 7 \mathrm{p}+1 .
$$

If $k=6 q-x-q / x$,

$$
5 \mathrm{q}-1 \leq \mathrm{k}<7 \mathrm{q}+1 .
$$

In general if $k=6 p \pm(y+p / y)$, where $y$ is divisor of $p$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
5 \mathrm{p}-1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 7 \mathrm{p}+1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we go through theorem (3).

## THEOREM (3)

let $\mathrm{n}=36 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{q}+1$ is a Natural number, where p and q are natural numbers and $0 \leq \mathrm{q}<6$. n is Composite number if and only if there exist an integer $k$ such that $\left[(6 k-q)^{2}-4(p+k)\right]$ a perfect square and $(q-p-1) / 7 \leq k \leq$ $(p+q+1) / 5$

## PROOF

Let $\mathrm{n}=36 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{q}+1=6(6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q})+1$ a Composite number. where p and q are natural numbers and $0 \leq \mathrm{q}<6$. By theorem (1). $6 p+q$ can be expressed in the form $6 a b \pm(a+b)$. where $a$ and $b$ are natural numbers not necessarily distinct.

Let $a b=m$, then $b=m / a$.
$6 p+q=6 m \pm(a+m / a)$
$6 p+q=6 m+a+m / a$ or $6 p+q=6 m-a-m / a$.
For $a$ and $-a$ we use general integer variable $x$. since if $a=x, m / a=m / x$ and also $-a=x,(-m / a)=(-m /-x)=m / x$. Hence for both cases

$$
6 p+q=6 m+x+m / x
$$

Let $m-p=k$, then $m=p+k$.
Therefore, $6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{x}+(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{x}+(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{x}+6 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q}+(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x}^{2}+(6 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

this equation has integer solution, i.e $x=a$ or $x=-a$ satisfies this equation implies,
$(6 k-q)^{2}-4(1)(p+k)$ is a perfect square. Otherwise equation (2) has irrational solution only. Hence
$(6 k-q)^{2}-4(p+k)$ is a perfect square.
Conversely let $(6 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q})^{2}-4(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})$ is a perfect square. then the solution of the above equation is

$$
x=(q-6 k) / 2 \pm\left(\left[(6 k-q)^{2}-4(p+k)\right]^{1 / 2}\right) / 2
$$

if $\mathrm{q}-6 \mathrm{k}$ is even number obviously x is an integer
if $q-6 k$ is odd number then $(6 k-q)^{2}-4(p+k)$ also odd and
$\left[(6 k-q)^{2}-4(p+k)\right]^{1 / 2}$ also odd. Therefore,
[odd number/2 +odd number/2] is an integer. i.e x is an integer.
Hence if $(6 k-q)^{2}-4(p+k)$ is a perfect square, then $x$ is an integer satisfies above equation (2), implies $x$ satisfies

$$
6 p+q=6(p+k)+x+(p+k) / x
$$

But $6 p+q$ and $6 m=6(p+k)$ both are Natural numbers, therefore $(p+k) / x$ is an integer, i.e $x$ divides $p+k$, hence

$$
6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{x})[(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}]+\mathrm{x}+(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}
$$

$m=p+k$ is a Natural number, if $x$ is positive integer then $(p+k) / x$ also positive integer, implies $6 p+q$ is given in the form $6 a b+a+b$.
and if $x$ is negative integer then $(p+k) / x$ also negative integer. Implies $6 p+q$ is given in the form $6 a b-a-b$.
Hence $6 p+q$ can be given in the form $6 a b \pm(a+b)$. By theorem(1)
$6(6 p+q)+1=36 p+6 q+1$ is Composite number.

## Next $\quad 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{x}+(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}$

Therefore $6(p+k)$, a multiple of 6 contributes the number $6 p+q$ in the form $6 a b \pm(a+b)$
Hence
$5(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})-1 \leq 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q} \leq 7(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})+1 . \quad$ [since from (1) ]
First we take right inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q} \leq 7(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})+1 \\
6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}-1 \leq 7(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) \\
6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}-1 \leq 7 \mathrm{p}+7 \mathrm{k} \\
\mathrm{q}-1-\mathrm{p} \leq 7 \mathrm{k}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next we take left inequaity

$$
\begin{align*}
& 5(p+k)-1 \leq 6 p+q \\
& 5 p+5 k \leq 6 p+q+1 \\
& 5 k \leq p+q+1 \\
& K \leq(p+q+1) / 5 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore, from (3) and (4)

$$
(\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{p}-1) / 7 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}+1) / 5
$$

Hence theorem (3) is proved.
Violation of theorem (3) is the distributive rule for Prime numbers in the form $36 p+6 q+1$

## DISTRIBUTIVE RULE (3)

Let $\mathrm{n}=36 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{q}+1$ is Natural number, where p and q are Natural numbers $0 \leq \mathrm{q}<6$. Then n is Prime number if and only if There exist no $k$, such that $(6 k-q)^{2}-4(p+k)$ a perfect square and $(q-p-1) / 7 \leq k \leq(p+q+1) / 5$

Similarly,
The greatest number in the form $6 a b+a-b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $6(1) p+p-1=7 p-1$. if any other number contributed by $6 p$ in the form $6 a b+a-b$ say $6 y(p / y)+y-p / y$ is greater than $7 p-1$. Where $y$ is a factor of $p$. Then

```
\(6 y(p / y)+y-p / y=6 p+y-p / y>7 p-1=6 p+p-1\)
    \(y-p / y>p-1\)
    \(y^{2}-p>p y-y\)
    \(y^{2}+y>p y+p\)
    \(y(y+1)>p(y+1)\)
    \(\mathrm{y}>\mathrm{p}\) but y is a divisor of p . which is absurd.
```

Hence the greatest number in the form $6 a b+a-b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $7 p-1$. Similarly, the smallest number in the form $6 a b+a-b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $6(1) p-p+1=5 p+1$. If any other number contributed by $6 p$ in the form $6 a b+a-b$ say $6 y(p / y)+y-p / y$ is smaller than $5 p+1$. Where $y$ is a factor of $p$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 y(p / y)+y-p / y=6 p+y-p / y<5 p+1=6 p-p+1 \\
& y-p / y<1-p
\end{aligned}
$$

$y$ is a divisor of $p$, implies $p / y$ is a natural number say $z$. $(\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{z}$, implies $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{z}$. then the inequality becomes.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{z}-\mathrm{z}<1-\mathrm{p} \\
\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{z}^{2}<\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{pz} \\
\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{pz}<\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{z}^{2} \\
\mathrm{p}(1+\mathrm{z})<\mathrm{z}(1+\mathrm{z})
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{p}<\mathrm{z}$ which is absurd. [ since y is a divisor of p implies $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{z}$ also a divisor of p .]
Therefore,
The greatest number in the form $6 a b+a-b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $7 p-1$
The smallest number in the form $6 a b+a-b$ contributed by $6 p$ is $5 p+1$.
Hence if y is any divisor of $\mathrm{p} .5 \mathrm{p}+1 \leq 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{y} \leq 7 \mathrm{p}-1$

Therefore, $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k}-1$ a composite number implies $\mathrm{k}=6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{y}$, where p and y are natural numbers, and y is divisor of p, by theorem (2). And from the above arguments.

$$
\begin{equation*}
5 \mathrm{p}+1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 7 \mathrm{p}-1 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we go through theorem (4)

## THEOREM (4)

Let $\mathrm{n}=36 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{q}-1$ is a natural number, where p and q are natural number, $0 \leq \mathrm{q}<6$.then n is a Composite number if and only if there exist an integer $k$ such that $(6 k-q)^{2}+4(p+k)$ a prefect square, and ( $\left.q-p+1\right) / 7 \leq k \leq(p+q-$ 1)/5.

## PROOF

Let $\mathrm{n}=36 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{q}-1=6(6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q})-1$ a Composite number.
By theorem (2). $6 p+q$ can be expressed in the form $6 a b \pm(a-b)$.
Let $\mathrm{ab}=\mathrm{m}$, then $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{a}$.
Then $6 p+q=6 m \pm(a-m / a)$
$6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{a}$ or $6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{a}$.
For $a$ and $-a$ we use general integer variable $x$. since if $a=x, m / a=m / x$ and also $-a=x, m / a=m /(-x)=-m / x$. Hence for both cases
$6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{x}$
Let $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{k}$, then $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}$.
Therefore $6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{x}-(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 6 p+q=6 p+6 k+x-(p+k) / x \\
& x+6 k-q-(p+k) / x=0 \\
& x^{2}+(6 k-q) x-(p+k)=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

this equation has integer solution, i.e $x=a$ or $x=-a$ satisfies this equation implies,
$(6 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q})^{2}+4(1)(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})$ is a perfect square. Otherwise the equation (6) has irrational solution only. Hence,
$(6 k-q)^{2}+4(p+k)$ is a perfect square.
Conversely let $(6 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q})^{2}+4(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})$ is a perfect square. then the solution of the above equation is

$$
\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{q}-6 \mathrm{k}) / 2 \pm\left(\left[(6 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q})^{2}+4(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})\right]^{1 / 2}\right) / 2
$$

if $q-6 k$ is even number obviously $x$ is an integer
if $\mathrm{q}-6 \mathrm{k}$ is odd number then $(6 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q})^{2}+4(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})$ also odd and
$\left[(6 k-q)^{2}+4(p+k)\right]^{1 / 2}$ also odd. therefore
[odd number $/ 2+$ odd number/2] is an integer. i.e x is an integer.

Hence if $(6 k-q)^{2}+4(p+k)$ is a perfect square, then $x$ is an integer satisfies above equation (6), implies $x$ satisfies

$$
6 p+q=6(p+k)+x-(p+k) / x
$$

But $6 p+q$ and $6 m=6(p+k)$ both are Natural numbers, therefore $(p+k) / x$ is an integer, i.e $x$ divides $p+k$. hence,

$$
6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{x})[(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}]+\mathrm{x}-(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}
$$

$m=p+k$ is a Natural number, if $x$ is positive integer then $-(p+k) / x$ is a negative integer, implies $6 p+q$ is given in the form $6 a b+a-b$.
and if x is negative integer then $-(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}$ is a positive integer. Implies $6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}$ is given in the form $6 \mathrm{ab}-\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$.
Hence $6 p+q$ can be given in the form $6 a b \pm(a-b)$. By theorem (2)
$6(6 p+q)-1=36 p+6 q-1$ is Composite number.

Next $6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=6(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{x}-(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{x}$
Therefore $6(p+k)$, a multiple of 6 contributes a number $6 p+q$ in the form $6 a b+a-b$.
Hence

$$
5(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})+1 \leq 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q} \leq 7(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})-1 \quad[\text { since from }(5)]
$$

First we take right inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q} \leq 7(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})-1 \\
& 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}+1 \leq 7(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}) \\
& (6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}+1) \leq 7 \mathrm{p}+7 \mathrm{k} \\
& \mathrm{q}+1-\mathrm{p} \leq 7 \mathrm{k} \\
& (\mathrm{q}+1-\mathrm{p}) / 7 \leq \mathrm{k} \quad-\cdots-\cdots------ \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we take left inequaity

$$
\begin{align*}
& 5(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k})+1 \leq 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q} \\
& 5 \mathrm{p}+5 \mathrm{k} \leq 6 \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}-1 \\
& 5 \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}-1 \\
& \mathrm{~K} \leq(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}-1) / 5 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore, from (7) and (8)

$$
(q-p+1) / 7 \leq k \leq(p+q-1) / 5
$$

Hence theorem (4) is proved.

Violation of theorem (4) is the distributive rule for Prime numbers in the form $36 p+6 q-1$

## DISTRIBUTIVE RULE (4)

Let $\mathrm{n}=36 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{q}-1$ is Natural number, where p and q are Natural numbers $0 \leq \mathrm{q}<6$. Then n is a Prime number if and only if There exist no $k$, such that $(6 k-q)^{2}+4(p+k)$ a perfect square and $(q-p+1) / 7 \leq k \leq(p+q-1) / 5$

## Examples

1) let $p=10$ and $q=0$,
$(\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{p}-1) / 7=(0-10-1) / 7=-11 / 7=-1.57 \quad$ and
$(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}+1) / 5=(0+10+1) / 5=11 / 5=2.2$
here -1 lies in between -1.57 and 2.2. i.e $1.57 \leq-1 \leq 2.2$ such that

$$
(6(-1)-0)^{2}-4(10+(-1))=0 \quad \text { a perfect square. Hence }
$$

$36(10)+6(0)+1=361$ a composite number.
But

$$
(q-p+1) / 7=(0-10+1) / 7=-9 / 7=-1.28 \quad \text { and }
$$

$$
(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}-1) / 5=(0+10-1) / 5=9 / 5=1.8 \text { here no integer } \mathrm{k} \quad \text { exist such that }
$$

$-1.28 \leq k \leq 1.8$ and $(6 k-q)^{2}+4(p+q)$ a perfect square. Hence
$36(10)+6(0)-1=359$ a prime number.
2) let $\mathrm{p}=276$ and $\mathrm{q}=5$,
$(\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{p}-1) / 7=(5-276-1) / 7=-272 / 7=-38.85$

$$
(p+q+1) / 5=(5+276+1) / 7=282 / 5=56.4
$$

here no integer k exist such that $-38.85 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 56.4$ and
$(6 k-q) 2-4(p+k)$ a perfect square. Hence
$36(276)+6(5)+1=9967$ a prime number.
But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{p}+1) / 7=(5-276+1) / 7=-270 / 7=38.57 \\
& (\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}-1) / 5=(5+276-1) / 7=280 / 5=56 \\
& \text { here }-38.57 \leq 56 \leq 56 \text { and } \\
& (6(56)-5)^{2}+4(276+56)=331^{2}+4(332)=110889 \\
& \qquad=333^{2} \quad \text { a perfect square. hence }
\end{aligned}
$$

$36(276)+6(5)-1=9965$ a composite number.

## CONCLUSION

My name is A. GABRIEL a distance educated post graduate in mathematics. I am not guided by any professor in mathematics and any other university professional. I am continuing my research about THEORY OF DISTRIBUTION OF PRIME NUMBERS. then I conclude.

## REFERENCES

1) TOPICS IN ALGEBRA by I.N. HERSTEIN
2) INTRODUCTION TO ANALTIC NUMBER THEORY by Tom M. Apostol
3) METHODS OF REAL ANALYSIS by Richard R. Goldberg
4) HIGHER ALGEBRA by Bernard and Child.
5) HIGHER ALGEBRA by Hall and Knight.
6) MATHEMATICAL ANALISIS by S. C. Malik
