

Assess the Effectiveness of Self Instructional Module on Knowledge and Practice regarding Domestic Waste Management among Housewives

 $Mrs.\ Arockia\ mary^1$, Dr. Prof: Jamuna $rani^2$, Mrs. Gomathi. A

¹·Professor, Community Health Nursing Department,(affiliated to the Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai) Sre sakthimayeil Institute of Nursing and Research (JKK Nattraja Educational Institutions), Namakkal. Tamilnadu, India.

²·Principal, Medical Surgical Nursing Department, (affiliated to the Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai) Sre sakthimayeil Institute of Nursing and Research (JKK Nattraja Educational Institutions), Namakkal. Tamilnadu, India.

^{3.}M.sc(N) Student, Sre sakthimayeil Institute of Nursing and Research (JKK Nattraja Educational Institutions),(affiliated to the Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai) Namakkal.

Tamilnadu, India.

ABSTRACT

Domestic waste is a waste that is generated as a result of the ordinary day – to – day consumption of households, includes remains of food, plastics, bottles, papers. It's a output of daily waste depends on :Dietary habits, Life style, living standards, Degree of urbanization and industrialization. The negative impact of improper domestic waste management is soil contamination, water contamination, extreme weather caused by climate change, air contamination, harm towards animal and marine life and human damage. It can be prevent by through awareness. Objectives: To assess the effectiveness of self instructional module on knowledge and practice regarding domestic waste management among housewives. **Design**: Pre experimental one group pre test and post test design was used. Setting: Housewives, kumarapalayam. Housewives, fulfilling the inclusion criteria were selected by convenient sampling technique. The inclusion criteria were housewives who are living in kumarapalayam rural area, who are willing to participate in the study and who are present at the time of the study & who are able to either read and write in Tamil either English language. A study was conducted with 60 housewives. The data were collected by using structured questionnaire and self prepared practice scale. Results: From the findings of the study, it can be concluded that the pre test knowledge score of mean was 9 post test knowledge score of mean was 15 and the difference in mean percentage was 24%. The pre test practice score of mean was 17, post test practice score of mean was 28 and difference in mean percentage was 27.5%. It seems that the self instruction module was effective. Paired 't' test knowledge score was 15.49 and paired 't' test practice was 21.298 at the level of significant (P < 0.05). Extremely significant difference was found table value of 2.00. Significant association between the knowledge of housewives and their selected demographic variables (family breadwinner occupation, source of information in waste disposal and common method of disposal of waste). No significant association between the practice of housewives and their selected demographic variables. From the findings of the study, the self instruction module were highly effective on domestic waste management among housewives.

Key words. Domestic waste management, Self instruction module, Knowledge, Practice, Housewives

INTRODUCTION

Domestic waste is the waste produced in the course of a domestic activity. Waste from accommodation used purely for living purposes (and without commercial gain) and which is disposed via the normal mixed domestic refuse collection. It's also known as municipal solid waste that are commonly called as trash, garbage and refuse or rubbish waste which is a type waste that are consisting of everyday items that are discarded by the public. (UkhtiNurAtira 2015).

According to Journal of environmental and public health 2020, Domestic waste composition is most of the waste generated were organic wastes (69.1%), plastic wastes (10.6%), inert wastes (8.7%), paper wastes (4.6%), textile wastes (2.5%), metal wastes (1.2%), glass wastes (1.1%), wood wastes (0.6%), hazardous materials (1.6%).

The negative impact of improper domestic waste management is soil contamination, water contamination, extreme weather caused by climate change, air contamination, harm towards animal and marine life and human damage.

The world generates 2.01 billion tonnes of municipal solid waste annually, in which most of the percentage of waste generates due to humans domestic purposes, with at least 33 percent of that extremely conservatively not managed in an environmentally safe manner. Worldwide, waste generated per person per day averages 0.74 kilogram but ranges widely, from 0.11 to 4.54 kilograms. In india generates 62 million tonnes of waste every year.

According to central pollution control board 2020- 2021, gives status of waste generating units in tamilnadu the total number of corporation 15, 121 municipalities and 528 town panchayat, the total quantity of wastes generation is 13422 tones/ day as reported by the local bodies out of which 12844 tones/ day of solid waste is being collected and 9430.35 tones / day of solid waste is treated and 2301.04 tones / day of municipal solid waste is land filled in the land fill and dumpsites located in the state of Tamil nadu.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Astudy to assess the effectiveness of self instructional module on knowledge and practice regarding domestic waste management among housewives at kumarapalayam.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- 1. To assess the level of knowledge regarding domestic waste management among housewives.
- 2. To assess the level of practice regarding domestic waste management among housewives
- 3. To assess the effectiveness of self instructional module on knowledge regarding domestic waste management among housewives.
- 4. To assess the effectiveness of self instructional module on practice regarding domestic waste management among housewives.
- 5. To assess the association between level of knowledge regarding domestic waste management among housewives before self instruction module with selected demographic variables
- 6. To assess the association between level of practice regarding domestic waste management among housewives before self instruction module with selected demographic variables

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pre experimental research approach was used for this present study. The research design selected for the present study was one group pre test and post test design design was selected to evaluate the effectiveness of self instructional module on domestic waste management among housewives. The present study was conducted in kumarapalayam area. The population for then present study was housewives, the sample selected for the present study was housewives were fulfilling the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were housewives who are living in kumarapalayam rural area, who are willing to participate in the study and who are present at the time of the study & who are able to either read and write in Tamil either English language. A study was conducted with 60 housewives. The sample were selected by using convenient sampling technique. I excluded who are not willing to participate in the study and who are absent at the time of the study. Statistical methods adopted were mean, median, mode, paired and unpaired, chi - square value for assessing the effectiveness of self instructional module.

Development of the Tool

There are 2 parts of tools were used. They are,

Part - I

Demographic Variables - it consists of demographic characteristics of housewives,

- **❖** Age in years
- * Religion
- **❖** Area of living
- Educational status
- **❖** Type of family
- Language
- Family breadwinner occupation
- Previous knowledge regarding domestic waste management
- Source of information in waste disposal
- Common method of disposal of waste

Part - II

It consists of 2 sections they are,

- Section A : knowledge regarding 25 structured questionnaire
- Section B : Practice regarding self prepared scale

Scoring procedure:

Part - I:

It consist of structured questionnaire of 25 questions to assess the knowledge of housewives regarding domestic waste management. A score of 1 is given for each correct response and a score of 0 is given for incorrect response. The total knowledge score is 25. The knowledge level is categorized in the following group.

Table: 1 Scoring procedure for knowledge level

Category	Range of Scores
Inadequate	0 - 8
Moderately adequate	9 - 16
Adequate	17- 25

Part - I:

Practice scale consists of 10 questions. The total score was 40. Level of practice regarding proper domestic waste management was categorized as **Table2 :Interpretation of practice scale**

Category	Range of Scores
Poor	0-10
Average	11- 20
Good	21- 30
Very good	31- 40

Ethical consideration:

- The research proposal was approved by the dissertation committee prior to conduct the pilot study.
- ❖ Ethical clearance was obtained from the ethical committee of SreSakthimayeil Institute of nursing and research.
- ❖ The information oral consent was obtained from each subject before starting the data collection.
- The subjects privacy confidentiality and anonymity was maintained throughout the study.

Validity: On the basis of the reviewed literature and guidance of the experts, the tool was prepared by the investigator to accomplish the objectives stated. After which the tool was evaluated and approved by the research committee experts. The content validity of the tool was secured from the research experts in the field of community health nursing.

Period of data collection

Data was collected with in 2 weeks.

Pre test

Immediately after selection of sample to conducted the pre test by using knowledge structured questionnaire and self prepared practice scale.

Implementation of self instructional module

After conduction of pre test administered the self instructional module on domestic waste management among housewives.

Post test

Post test was conducted after a week of administration of self instructional module by using same knowledge structured questionnaire and self prepared scale.

DISCUSSION

Table 3 $\label{eq:second_equal_to_second}$ Frequency and percentage distribution of demographic variables of housewives (N=60)

S.No	Demographic variables	Housewives			
		Frequency(F)	Percentage(%)		
1.	Age in years				
	18 - 30 years	23	38.33%		
	31 - 45 years	23	38.33%		
	Above 45 years	14	23.34%		
2.	Religion				
	Hindu	3 9	65%		
	Christian	20	33.33%		
	Muslim	01	1.67%		
	Others	-	- 0		
3.	Area of living				
	Urban	07	11.67%		
	Rural	53	88.33%		
4.	Educational status				
	Primary school / high school	19	31.67%		
	Higher secondary school	25	41.67%		
	Graduate	16	26.66%		
5.	Type of <mark>family</mark>				
	Nuclear family	33	5 5%		
	Joint family	27	45%		
6.	Language				
	Tamil	46	76.66%		
	English	igh inn	ovation		
	Others	14	23.34%		
7.	Family breadwinner				
	occupation				
	Business	19	31.67%		
	Private sector/ government	25	41.67%		
	sector				
	Coolie / others	16	26.66%		

	© 2023 IJINIO	volume o, issue 5 iv	1ay 2023 188N: 2456
8.	Previous knowledge		
	regarding domestic waste		
	management		
	Yes	11	18.33%
	No	49	81.67%
9.	Source of information in		
	waste disposal		
	Mass media	19	31.67%
	Health care persons	23	38.33%
	Family and friends	18	30%
	Others	-	-
10.	Common method of waste		
	disposal followed in home		
	Use municipality dustbin	21	35%
	Burning	15	2 <mark>5</mark> %
	Thrown away	10	16.66%
9	Dumping	14	23.34%

In Table 3: Frequency and percentage distribution of demographic variables among housewives are 38.33% (23) of housewives were in the age group of 18 - 30 years and 38.33% (23) 31- 45 years, 65% (39) were Hindus, 88.33% (53) were in rural area, 41.67%(25) of them had higher secondary education, 55% were belongs to nuclear family, 76.66% (46) of them in Tamil, 41.67% (25) of them were private / government sector workers, 81.67% (49) of them had no previous knowledge regarding domestic waste management, 38.33% (23) of them received information regarding domestic waste disposal from health care persons, common method of waste disposal 35% (21) of them follows use municipality dustbin.

Table 4 Frequency and percentage distribution of pre test and post test knowledge scores of housewives regarding domestic waste management (N=60)

S.No	Level of knowledge	Range of marks			Post Test	
			F	%	F	%
1.	Inadequate	0 - 8	32	53.33	5	8.33
2.	Moderately adequate	9 - 16	28	46.67	32	53.33
3.	Adequate	17 - 25	0	0	23	38.34
Total			60	100	60	100

Table 4: shows that the frequency and percentage distribution of pre test knowledge score regarding domestic waste management among housewives, 53.33% (32) of them had inadequate level of knowledge, 46.67% (28) of them had moderately adequate level of knowledge and none of them had adequate knowledge, where as in post test, 8.33% (5) of them had inadequate level of knowledge, 53.33% (32) of them had moderately adequate level of knowledge and 38.33% (23) of them had adequate knowledge. This shows that the self instructional module on domestic waste management among housewives was more effective.

Table 5 Frequency and percentage distribution of pre test and post test practice scores of housewives regarding domestic waste management (N=60)

S.No	Level of knowledge	Range	Pre Test	Pre Test		est
		of				
		marks	F	%	F	%
1.	Poor	0 - 10	4	6.67	1	1.67
2.	Average	11 - 20	53	88.33	3	5
3.	Good	21 - 30	3	5	35	58.33
4.	Very good	31- 40	0	0	21	35
Total			60	100	60	100

Table 5: shows that the frequency and percentage distribution of pre test practice score regarding domestic waste management among housewives, 6.67% (4) of them had poor level of practice, 88.33% (53) of them had average level of practice, 5% (3) of them had good level of practice and none of them had very good level of practice, where as in post test 1.67% (1) of them had poor level of practice, 5% (3) of them had average level of practice, 58.33% (35) of them had good level of practice and 35% (21) of them had very good level of practice. Thus self instructional module on domestic waste management among housewives was more effective.

Table 6
Paired 't' test value of pre and post test knowledge and practice scores of housewives

Level	't'	df	Ta ble	'p'	Inference
	value		value	value	
Knowledge	15.49	59	2.00	P < 0.05	Significant
Practice	21.298	59	2.00	P < 0.05	Significant

Table 6: shows that the paired 't' value was calculated to analyze the effectiveness between pre and post test knowledge and practice scores of housewives. The paired 't' value for knowledge was 15.49 and for practice was 21.298, which is high when compared with table value 2.00 at 59 of degree of freedom. The self instructional module on domestic waste management among housewives was significantly effective.

Table 7
Mean , standard deviation, mean percentage and difference in mean percentage of pre and post test knowledge and practice scores of housewives

Level	Ma ximum	Level of k	Level of knowledge and practice					
	scores	Mean	SD	Mean	Difference			
				(%)	in mean			
					(%)			
knowledge	25	INTOU	an in	nove	KION			
Pre test		9	2.902	36%				
Post test		15	4.002	60%	24%			
Practice	40							
Pre test		17	3.605	42.5%				
Post test		28	4.769	70%	27.5%			

Table 7: shows that the pre test knowledge score of mean was 9, standard deviation was 2.902, mean percentage was 36%, whereas post test knowledge score of mean was 15, standard deviation was 4.002, mean percentage was 60%, and the difference in mean percentage was 24%. The pre test practice score of mean was 17, standard deviation was 3.605, mean percentage was 42.5%, whereas post test practice score of mean was 28, standard deviation was 4.769, mean percentage was 70% and the difference in mean percentage was 27.5%. The result revealed that the self instructional module on domestic waste management had significant effect in increasing the knowledge and practice of housewives.

Table 8 Chi square value of association between pre test knowledge scores of housewives with their demographic variables (N=60)

S.no	Variables	Level of knowledge				
		\mathbf{X}^2	df	Table	P	Inference
		value		value	value	
1.	Age in years	0.104	4	9.49	P>0.05	NS
2.	Religion	1.5931	6	12.59	P > 0.05	NS
3.	Area of living	0.47	2	5.99	P >0.05	NS
4.	Educational status	8.666	4	9.49	P >0.05	NS
5.	Type of family	2.344	2	5.99	P >0.05	NS
6.	Language	0.106	4	9.49	P > 0.05	NS
7.	Family	24.025	4	9.49	P < 0.05	S
	breadwinner					
	occupation					
8.	Previous	2.93	2	5.99	P > 0.05	NS
	knowledge		. A			
	regarding domestic					
	waste management					
9.	Source of	27.6	6	12.59	P < 0.05	S
	information in			_ / _		
	waste disposal					
10.	Common method	39 <mark>.4</mark> 21	6	12.59	P < 0.05	S
	of <mark>d</mark> isposal of					
	waste					

Table 8: shows that the chi square calculation find out the association between pre test knowledge scores of housewives with their selected demographic variables regarding domestic waste management. It revealed that there was significant association (P<0.05) found between pre test knowledge scores of housewives and their demographic variables like occupation of family breadwinner, source of information in waste disposal and common method of waste disposal. There was no significant association found between pre test knowledge scores and other demographic variables like age, religion, area of living, educational status, type of family, language and previous knowledge regarding domestic waste management.

Table 9
Chi square value of association between pre test practice scores of housewives with their demographic variables (N=60)

S .no	Var <mark>iab</mark> les	Level of	practice			
		\mathbf{X}^2	df	Table	P value	Inference
		value		value		
1.	Age in years	2.659	6	12.59	P > 0.05	NS
2.	Religion	0.735	9	16.92	P > 0.05	NS
3.	Area of living	3.8235	3	7.82	P > 0.05	NS
4.	Educational status	11.607	6	12.59	P > 0.05	NS
5.	Type of family	1.276	3	7.82	P > 0.05	NS
6.	Language	1.563	6	12.59	P > 0.05	NS
7.	Family breadwinner occupation	3.714	6	12.59	P > 0.05	NS
8.	Previous knowledge regarding domestic waste management	4.274	6	12.59	P > 0.05	NS
9.	Source of information in waste disposal	9.732	9	16.92	P > 0.05	NS

10.	Common method of	4.937	9	16.92	P > 0.05	NS
	disposal of waste					

Table 9: shows that the chi square was calculated to find out the association between pre test practice scores of housewives with their selected demographic variables regarding domestic waste management. It revealed that there was no significant association (P > 0.05) found between pre test practice scores of housewives and their demographic variables like age, religion, area of living, educational status, type of family, language, family breadwinner occupation, previous knowledge regarding domestic waste management, source of information in waste disposal and common method of waste disposal.

CONCLUSION

From the findings of the study it can be concluded that,

- More housewives had inadequate knowledge in pre test but more housewives had moderately adequate and adequate knowledge in post test regarding domestic waste management.
- ➤ Housewives had average level of practice in pre test but they had good and very good level of practice in post test regarding domestic waste management.
- The mean post test knowledge and practice scores were higher than the mean pre test knowledge and practice scores, it indicated that the self instructional module on domestic waste management among housewives was more effective.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We be in debt a grateful thanks to Dr. Jamuna Rani, Ph.d. Principal of sre sakthimayeil institute of nursing and research, who made us what we are now, who has given inspiration, the amenable constant and tremendous encouragement.

It 's our great privilege to thank respected Mrs.M. Arockia mary M.Sc., (N)., HOD of community health nursing department of sre sakthimayeil institute of nursing and research, for their continuous encouragement.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Basavanthappa, B.T., "Nursing research". 2 nd edition, Bangalore: Jaypee Brothers Pubications, 2007.
- 2. Basavanthappa, B.T., "Nursing theories". 1st edition, Bangalore: Jaypee Brothers Publications, 2008.
- 3. Betty, M., Johnson., & Pamela, B., Webber., "An introduction to theory and reasoning in nursing". 3rd edition, USA:Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2009.
- 4. Fawcett jacqueline D., "Analysis and evaluation of conceptual models of nursing". New York: F.A Davis Company, 2003.
- 5. Mahajan, B.,K., "Methods in biostatistics". 8th edition, New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Publications, 2016.
- 6. Mary, A., Nies., & Melanie, McEwen., "The community / public health nursing". 5 th edition, Missouri: Elsevier Saunders, 2011.
- 7. Nancy Burns, "The practice of nursing research". 7th edition, Missouri: Elsevier Saunders Publications, 2012.
- 8. Polit, F., Denise., & Hungler, P. Bernadette., "Nursing research principles and methods". 8 th edition, Philadelphia: J.B Lippincott Company, 2007.
- 9. SundarRao S., et al., "An introduction to biostatistics".5 th edition, Vellore: Presto Graphic Printers, 2012
- 10. Suresh .K. Sharma, "Nursing research and statistics". India: Elsevier Publications, 2012.
- 11. Kothari .,B., et al., " Research methodology methods and techniques". Wiler Estern Limited Publications, 1998.
- 12. Guru Mani .N., "An introduction to biostatistics". 1st edition, Chennai: MJP Publications, 2010.
- 13. Elakkuvana Bhaskara Raj. D., "Nursing research and statistics". 2nd edition, Bangalore: Emmess Publications, 2012.
- 14. K.Park," Park's Textbook of preventive and social medicine". 26th edition, BHANOT Publications, 2021.
- 15. Bijayalakshmi Dash, "A Comprehensive Textbook of community health nursing". Jaypee Publications, 2017.
- 16. Michael Jeba Arasi, "Textbook of community health nursing". SMP Publications, 2019.
- 17. Shyamala D Manivanan, "Textbook of community health nursing". CBS Publications, 2016.
- 18. K. Gulani, "Community health nursing". 3rd edition, Kumar Publishing House, 2012.
- 19. Veerabhadrappa GM, "The Short Textbook of community health nursing". Jaypee Publications, 2016.

- 20. Sanjeev Badli, Namrata Dev Ulkar (2020), effectiveness of structured teaching programme on knowledge of household waste management among selected women at Belagani. <u>Indian journal of applied research</u>, 31-34.
- 21. Pradeep Kumar, Sriram and Shaheen Akhtar Khan (2021), effectiveness of video assisted teaching programme on disposal of domestic waste among urban resident at Uttra Pradesh. <u>International journal of creative research thoughts</u>, 4 10.
- 22. Elsyed Elbeshbishy and Frances Okoye (2019), improper dispoal of household hazardeous waste. <u>Indian</u> journal of public health. 12-18.
- 23. Neti Juniarti et.al (2021),Influencing factors of community empowerment for domestic waste prevention and management. <u>International journal of creative research thoughts</u>, 61-83.
- 24. Zhiyong et.al (2018), characterstics and management modes of domestic waste in rural areas. <u>Journal of environmental and applied research</u>, 112 119.
- 25. Henry O Addo et.al (2017), correlates of domestic waste management and related health outcomes in Sunyani. Journal of environmental and applied research, 123 149.
- 26. Husna Abdul Halim et.al (2022), household waste management and recycling awareness between residential areas, Malaysia. <u>Journal of community health nursing</u>.10 23.
- 27. Ambrin Shahzsiel et.al (2018), determination level of knowledge, attitude, practice regarding household waste disposal among rural people in Lahore, <u>International journal for nurses.</u>200 215.

NET REFERENCE:

- * https://cpcb.nic.in MSW Annual report.
- https://doi.org/oxford-journals
- https://www.edugreen.in
- https://in.linkedin.com
- https://www.apha.org/topics and issues/environment health
- https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/urbandevelopment/brief/solid-waste-management
- https://skipthetip.com
- https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/domesticwastemanagement
- https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/earth-and-planetary-sciences/domestic waste
- https://www.mrgscience.com/ess-topic-83-solid-domesticwaste.htmlhttps://www.researchgate.net/figure/average-composition-of-domestic-waste-municipality-fig4-266141011

