

THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF PRESSURIZED LaH₁₀ SUPERCONDUCTOR DUE TO COLLECTIVE EXCITATION OFCOOPER PAIRS

Oduor Churchill Wanyera¹, Nakitare Waswa Michael¹ and Horace Kibe²

¹Department of science Technology and engineering-1699-50200-Bungoma-Kenya

²Department of physical and biological science-Bomet University-Kenya

Abstract

There are two categories of superconductors; s-wave superconductors that are isotropic and d-wave superconductors that are anisotropic. The microscopic theory of superconductivity by Bardeen, Schrieffer and Cooper (BCS theory) explains s-wave pairing of charges under ambient pressure but it fails to explain charge pairing under high pressure. Studies have shown that superconductivity in hydrides is due to electron-phonon mediation. Models have been developed to explain the pressure effect on *Tc* but so far no unified model has been agreed upon to explain HTSC under pressure using the Bogoliubov-Valatin Transformation (BVT) formalism. The developed theory was used in this work to give more understanding of the superconducting process under pressure and carry on a comparison with other researchers. The systems energy, specific heats, entropy and Sommerfield coefficient were determined. The specific heat capacity for LaH₁₀ is 0.0315meV/K at *Tc*=237.9 and 210GPa. The systems have maximum Cv = 4.68meV/K at T=6K. LaH₁₀ shows Sommerfield coefficient of 0.00235meV/K² at the same stated pressure values. The value of entropy for the hydride at *Tc* is 0.13meV/K² for LaH₁₀. The highest entropy for LaH₁₀ is 0.451meV/K² occurs at 1000K.

Keywords: Hydrides, Pressure, Energy gap, Phonon, Collective excitation, BVT

Introduction

Room temperature superconductivity has been achieved under immense pressure. BCS theory accounts for excitation of Cooper pairs at ambient pressure. , Bardeen, et al, (1957) developed the microscopic theory of superconductivity based on electron pairing under phonon mediation. The theory explained all the accumulated experimental data on superconductivity. Bogoliubov (1958) showed that the BCS theory could be derived from electronic Hamiltonian. Similar results as those of the BCS theory are obtained when the Bogoliubov-Valatin Transformation (BVT) is used. Despite all the achievements, the BCS theory fails to explain the phenomenon at T_c >30K such as hydride superconductors. Ashcroft (2004) suggested that compounds with a high hydrogen content might be, in effect, chemically recompressed metallic hydrogen. In a recent development, superconductivity, highest T_c in carbonaceous Sulphur hydride under a pressure of

about 2.6million atmospheres (267Gpa) has been achieved

(Dias and Salamat, 2021) They used Diamond Anvil Cell

(DAC) to achieve this pressure and the material super

conducted at 288K (15°C). This development is the most

significant breakthrough since the discovery of the high-Tc cuprates (Bednorz and Mueller, 1986). There is every reason to anticipate even higher values of *Tc* for other hydrides, which means that achieving superconductivity at room temperature now appears perfectly realistic. Determination of the structure of the new hydrides with synchrotron X-ray diffraction is overwhelming evidence of the conventional s-wave superconductivity in the hydrides at high pressures (Drozdov et al, 2015). Lanthanum superhydride has recently showed s-wave superconductivity under high pressure. (Sun et al, 2021). Purans et al (2021) motivated by the discovery of superconductivity above 250 K at high pressure in LaH₁₀ and the prediction of overcoming the room temperature threshold for superconductivity in YH₁₀ and the urge for a better understanding of hydrogen interaction mechanisms used locally sensitive X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy (XAFS) to get insight into the nature of phase transitions and the rearrangements of local electronic and crystal structure in YH₃ under pressure up to 180GPa. They provided evidence of strong effect of hydrogen on the density of yttrium states that increases

with pressure and XAFS data showed evidence a strong anharmonicity.

Therefore understanding the thermodynamic properties and pairing mechanisms of hydride compounds under pressure can help find a metastable form of hydrides for commercialization of the uses. A theory to explain the charge pairing mechanisms that pressure brings about is the limiting factor. There has been consistency between theoretical predictions and calculations and most importantly, the general theory of conventional superconductivity on superconductivity in hydrides and the experimental findings. However, some inconsistencies exist between the predictions and experiments, most prominent of all being the experimental electron-phonon coupling under high pressure. Exploring the thermodynamic properties of hydrides under pressure has been done in this research.

Methodology

In this research, the effective Hamiltonian of the system was diagonalized using the BVT (Bogoliubov-Valatin Transformation) formalism and used to further obtain energy of sulphur hydride (H₃S) and other thermodynamic properties. The general Hamiltonian of an electron-electron interaction is given by;

$$H = H_o + H_1$$

Which can written as:

$$H = \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} n_{k} + \sum_{k'} \varepsilon_{k'} n_{k'} - \sum_{kk'} V_{kk'} n_{k} n_{k'}$$
(1.0)

 H_0 is the unperturbed Hamiltonian system and is sometimes called Bloch energy while H_1 is the interaction. In terms of electron creation and annihilation operators, equation it can be written as

$$H = \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow} + \sum_{k'} \varepsilon_{k'} c_{k'\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k'\downarrow} - \sum_{kk'} V_{kk'} c_{k'\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow} c_{-k'\downarrow}$$
(1.1)

Where $n_k = c_{k\sigma}^{\uparrow} c_{k\sigma}$ Is the electron number operator.

We now introduce quasiparticle creation and annihilation operators known as Bogoliubons where creation and annihilation operators are γ^{\uparrow} and γ respectively.

The creation and annihilation operators of electrons and quasiparticles are related as;

$$c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} = u_{k}\gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} + v_{k}\gamma_{-k\downarrow}$$
$$c_{k\uparrow} = u_{k}\gamma_{k\uparrow} + v_{k}\gamma_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger}$$

(1.2)

Where the number operators are;

$$c^{\dagger}_{-k\downarrow} = u_k \gamma^{\dagger}_{-k\downarrow} - v_k \gamma^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow}$$

$$c_{-k\downarrow} = u_k \gamma_{-k\downarrow} - v_k \gamma^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow}$$

$$\gamma^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} \gamma_{-k\downarrow} = m_k$$
(1.3)

 $\gamma_{-k\downarrow}\gamma^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow} = (1-m_k)$

We now substitute (1.2) and (1.3) in (1.1);

$$H = \left\{ \sum_{k} \xi_{k} \left(u_{k} \gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} + v_{k} \gamma_{-k\downarrow} \right) \left(u_{k} \gamma_{-k\downarrow} - v_{k} \gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right) + \sum_{k'} \xi_{k'} \left(u_{k'} \gamma_{k'\uparrow}^{\dagger} + v_{k'} \gamma_{-k'\downarrow} \right) \left(u_{k'} \gamma_{-k'\downarrow} - v_{k'} \gamma_{k'\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right) - \sum_{kk'} V_{kk'} \begin{pmatrix} \left(u_{k'} \gamma_{k'\uparrow}^{\dagger} + v_{k'} \gamma_{-k'\downarrow} \right) \left(u_{k} \gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} + v_{k} \gamma_{-k\downarrow} \right) \\ \left(u_{k} \gamma_{-k\downarrow} - v_{k} \gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right) \left(u_{k'} \gamma_{-k'\downarrow} - v_{k'} \gamma_{k'\uparrow}^{\dagger} \right) \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$
(1.4)

Since we are interested in the pairing, all terms $\gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma \gamma$ will be ignored because they represent single unpaired particles We determine the values of u_k and v_k used for the diagonalization. We equate the off diagonal terms to zero and obtain:

$$\sum_{k} (u_{k}v_{k}[\gamma_{-k\downarrow}\gamma_{-k\downarrow} - \gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}\gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}]) - \sum_{kk'} -2u_{k}v_{k}^{3}(-[-\gamma_{-k\downarrow}\gamma_{-k\downarrow} - \gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}\gamma_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger}]) = 0$$

$$2u_{k}v_{k} - 2u_{kv_{k}^{3}} = 0$$

$$2u_{k}v_{k} = 2u_{kv_{k}^{3}}$$

$$v_{k}^{2} = 1$$

$$v_{k} = +1$$

Since we are interested in the pairing, we impose the bosonic probability condition,

$$u_k^2 - v_k^2 = 1$$

 $u_k^2 - (+1)^2 =$

Therefore we obtain u_k as,

And u_k as,

Therefore

$$u_k = \sqrt{2}$$

 $v_k = +1$

We take positive values since u_k and v_k are real and positive. These values diagonalize the Hamiltonian

$$H_{Diag} = -2\sum_{k} \mathcal{E}_{k} v_{k}^{2} - \sum_{kk'} V_{Kk'} v_{k}^{4}$$
(1.5)

The magnitude of the ground state energy of the system is obtained as,

$$E_{o} = -2\xi_{k} - V_{Kk'} = -(2\xi_{k} + V_{Kk'})$$

$$[E_{o}] = 2\xi_{k} + V_{Kk'}$$
(1)

For the two hydrides from table 1 and equation 1.6;

$$E_o = 100 meV \text{ or } 0.10 eV \text{ or } 1.602 \text{ x } 10^{-20} J$$

.6)

We express the energy of the system at any temperature as a function of temperature by multiplying the ground state energy by the thermal activation factor given by: $exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E}{k_BT}\right)$ where k_B is the Boltzmann's constant and ΔE is the superconducting energy gap. The energy of the quasi particles for superconductivity is very small quantity and is generally 1% of the minimum energy of the system (Ayodo, 2008). Therefore

$$\Delta E = 0.01 E_o$$

Therefore at any temperature T, the energy of the system is given by:

$$E(T) = E_o exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E}{k_B T}\right)$$

b882

Which we can write as;

$$E(T) = E_o exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon_k + V_o}{k_B T}\right)$$
(1.7)

The following hydrides have been studied in this research;

Table 1

Hydride	Formula	\mathcal{E}_k (meV)	V _o (meV)	ω_D (meV)	Δ_o (meV)	Pressure (GPa)	<i>T_c</i> (k)	Reference
Lanthanum hydride	LaH ₁₀	24.3	48.6	113	51	210	237.9	S. F. Elatresh et al, 2020

 $V_0 = -2\varepsilon_k$

The values of ω_D , Δ_o , pressure and T_c are obtained from experimental results while values of \mathcal{E}_k and V_o are mathematically determined using the equation below;

Where \mathcal{E}_k is a single particle energy

$$\omega_D = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon_k^2 + |\Delta_o|^2} \quad (Ryo Shimano \ et \ al, 2020)$$

From the table, energy of the system, equation 1.9.2 can be given as;

$$E(T) = 97.2exp\left(-\frac{11.27}{T}\right)$$
(1.8)

Where in both cases $k_B = 0.08628 \text{meV/K}$

Specific heat capacity (c_v)

It's given as the derivative of the energy of the system with respect to the temperature at constant volume. Specific heat capacity of a system is given by.

$$c_{v} = \frac{dE_{T}}{dT}$$

When the equation is simplified, it becomes;

$$c_{v}(T) = \left(\frac{1095}{T^{2}}\right) exp\left(-\frac{11.27}{T}\right)$$
 (1.9)

Sommerfield coefficient (γ) or Electronic specific heat

It's given as the quotient of the specific heat capacity at constant volume and the temperature T. it's given by;

$$\gamma = \frac{c_{\nu}}{T}$$

When simplified the above equation becomes;

$$\gamma(\mathrm{T}) = \left(\frac{1095}{T^3}\right) exp\left(-\frac{11.27}{T}\right) \quad (2.0)$$

Entropy (s)

It's the measure of disorder of a system. It's given as the integral of the specific heat capacity at constant volume with respect to temperature. It's given by;

$$S = \int \frac{c_v}{T} dT$$

Which we can simplify to become;

IJNRD2308200 International Journal of Novel Research and Development (www.ijnrd.org)

$$S = \left(K_B + \frac{E_o}{K_B T}\right) exp\left(-\frac{E_o}{100k_B T}\right)$$

Or

$$S = K_B + \frac{E_o}{K_B T} \left(exp\left(-\frac{E_o}{k_B T} \right) \right)$$

Substituting in the equation;

$$S(T) = 0.08628 + \frac{1127}{T} \left\{ exp\left(-\frac{1127}{T}\right) \right\}$$
(2.1)

Results and discussions

The total energy of a system results from the interaction between the particles of the system. The energy due to interaction between the particles increases with increase in the temperature of the system. At a temperature above the Tc the energy of the system is so high that the energy gap does not

exist anymore due to the increased agitation of the particles. At this point the material changes from superconducting to normal state.



LaH₁₀ (Fg 1): Energy versus Temperature

The electrons are non-interacting at these zero temperatures hence coupling energy is zero (Figure 1). The lower states are filled up and above superconducting gap states are empty. As temperature increases, an increase in energy is noted and approaches plateau. Kibe (2015) and Odhiambo (2016) observed sigmoid curves when at different time related energy of a system to temperature. Under ambient pressure (1atmos), LaH₁₀ does not superconduct. As pressure increases the Cooper pairs are collectively excited to cause an exponential increase in energy. There is an increase in density of states at the Fermi level. LaH₁₀ with a *Tc*=237.9K at 210GPa (Elatresh *et al*, 2020) has been observed to be 92.7*meV or* 0.0927*eV*.

Specific Heat Capacity at Constant Volume.

The specific heat capacity of LaH₁₀ is 0.0315meV/Mol.K at Tc=237.9 and 210GPa. The systems have maximum of The systems has maximum Cv = 4.68meV/Mol.K at T=6K for LaH₁₀ and at this point the system is very unstable and the superfluid state is just like the 2nd order phase transition turning from the ordinary state to a superconducting state. At the Tc more quasiparticles are easily excited because the energy gap is closed. Specific heat becomes very high than normal state (Figure 2). Beyond the Tc, an increase in temperature leads to a decrease in specific heat capacity because more cooper pairs break than form as energy gap is closed.



LaH₁₀ (Fig 2): Specific heat capacity versus Temperature





LaH₁₀ (Fig 3)): Sommerfield coefficient versus Temperature

Based on the graphs, at T = 0K, Sommerfield coefficient is zero. This suggests that the electrons in the system do not correlate to form Cooper pairs that contributes to superconducting condensate but remain normal (De Visser 2019). At low temperature, the systems have a wider energy gap. Due to the lower internal energy, the system is exponentially suppressed and absorbs negligible energy hence the low Sommerfield coefficient below Tc. Initially there is a sharp increase in Sommerfield coefficient up to a point where T=3.66k (Figure 3). The higher pressure makes the multi-gap to overlap, the quasiparticles are easily and collectively excited and the system attains higher Sommerfield coefficient before Tc. Beyond this temperature, the Sommerfield coefficient drops forming a Gaussian shaped curve skewed to the left and humplike shoulder observed by (Cronner et al, 1960). The gapless material gains energy slowly, the Sommerfield coefficient

decreases. From the figures 3, LaH_{10} shows a Sommerfield coefficient of 0.00235meV/K² for LaH_{10} atTc = 237.9K.

$$\frac{2\Delta}{K_B T_c} = \frac{51 \ x \ 2}{0.08628 \ x \ 237.9} = 4.97$$

Durajski *et al* (2021) found $\frac{2\Delta}{K_BT_c} = 5.04$ for LaH₁₀ with Tc=234K under pressure of 250GPa. These values proved phonon mediated superconductivity in LaH₁₀. This value proved phonon mediated superconductivity in sulphur hydride (H₃S)

Specific heat jump from this study is found to be;

$$\frac{\Delta C(T)}{\gamma T_c} = \frac{51x0.0315}{0.00235x237.9} = 2.874$$

Errea *et al* (2020) found the $\frac{\Delta C(T)}{\gamma T_c} = 2.97$ for LaH₁₀ at 214GPa at sameTc = 237.9K.

Nicol and Carbotte (2015) while studying compressed sulfur hydride found $\frac{\Delta C(T)}{\gamma T_c} = 2.53$ which is closer to those obtained from this study. The thermodynamic universal BCS ratios are near those for Pb and Nb₃Sn (Conventional superconductors.). These ratios are in agreement with those obtained by Elatresh *et al*, 2020 and these values show that the two hydrides are s-wave conventional superconductors. They are within the range of the classic ratios set by the BCS theory. Therefore the pairing mechanisms can be explained using BCS formalism.

Entropy

The curve indicates that entropy is nearly zero (0.0882) when temperature T=0K (Figure 4). The system with a large energy gap absorbs low energy. The system energy absorption is suppressed; the system is more ordered hence low entropy. At Tc, the systems have lowest entropy but rises exponentially as temperature rises. The system becomes more disordered as more energy is absorbed beyond Tc. Higher pressure speeds up closing of the gaps. It plateaus as temperature rises because pressure cannot sustain the increased disorder anymore. The value of entropy for the hydride is 0.13meV/K^2 at *Tc*. The highest entropy for H₃S is 0.450meV/K^2 and occurs at 1000K. This suggests LaH₁₀ could be more ordered at a higher temperature than H₃S (S= 0.15meV/K^2) and could be better candidate for room temperature superconductor. The free energy of the normal state is much higher than the free energy of the superconducting state at temperatures below the critical temperature value and this therefore makes superconducting phase to appear below*Tc*. A decrease in internal energy of the system is observed with the diminishing or reduction of the state of the disorder and so this implies that increase in temperature provides more kinetic energy causing increase in thermal entropy (Mumali *et al*, 2016)

From figure 4, state of disorder in normal state is generally higher than that of superconducting state at all temperatures below the Tc 237.9K for LaH₁₀ showing that superconducting state is more ordered. These results agree with those of other theoretical studies involving s-wave pairing mechanisms like in heavy fermions (Kibe *et al*, 2015 and Waswa *et al*, 2017).



Figure 4: Entropy versus Temperature for LaH₁₀

Conclusions

Energy of LaH_{10} under pressure is due to collective excitation of Cooper pairs that results to exponential rise with temperature; as pressure reduces the cell volume, the lattice is distorted or transformed; there is a small energy difference between the orbitals which enhances electron-phonon coupling strength due to collective excitation of particles. Under pressure, superconductivity is a bulky phenomenon shown by hump-like feature where the dependence of specific heat capacity is 0.0315 meV/K at Tc=237.9 and 210 GPa. This value is way much higher than for other conventional superconductors under normal pressure. Therefore pressure raises specific heat capacity and Sommerfield coefficient of LaH₁₀. Entropy is lowest at Tc to mean superconducting state under pressure is still ordered like other superconductors. LaH10 has lower entropy than hence more ordered and a better candidate for RTS. We further found out BCS ratios and Specific heat jump are in close proximity to other related researches ascertaining that LaH_{10} is a conventional s-wave

superconductor. Pressure increase on hydrides causes collective excitation of Cooper pairs that raises *Tc*.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgement.

This authors express their gratitude to Kibabii University for providing accessibility to the internet and library. All authors approved the version of the manuscript to be published.

References

Ashcroft, N. W. (2004). Hydrogen dominant metallic alloys: high temperature superconductors?. *Physical Review Letters*, **92**(18), 187002.

Bardeen, J., Cooper, L. N., & Schrieffer, J. R. (1957). Theory of superconductivity. *Physical review*, **108**(5), 1175.

Bednorz, J. G., & Müller, K. A. (1986). Possible highT c superconductivity in the Ba- La- Cu- O system. *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter*, **64**(2), 189-193.

Blumberg, G., Mialitsin, A., Dennis, B. S., Klein, M. V., Zhigadlo, N. D., & Karpinski, J. (2007). Observation of Leggett's collective mode in a multiband MgB 2 superconductor. *Physical review letters*, **99**(22), 227002.

Dias, R. P., & Salamat, A. (2021). Standard Superconductivity in Carbonaceous Sulfur Hydride. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.15017*.

Drozdov, A. P., Eremets, M. I., Troyan, I. A., Ksenofontov, V., & Shylin, S. I. (2015). Conventional superconductivity at 203 kelvin at high pressures in the sulfur hydride system. *Nature*, **525**(7567), 73-76.

Drozdov, A. P., Minkov, V. S., Besedin, S. P., Kong, P. P., Kuzovnikov, M. A., Knyazev, D. A., & Eremets, M. I. (2018). Superconductivity at 215 K in lanthanum hydride at high pressures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.07039*.

Dubouchet, T., Sacépé, B., Seidemann, J., Shahar, D., Sanquer, M., & Chapelier, C. (2019). Collective energy gap of preformed Cooper pairs in disordered superconductors. *Nature Physics*, **15**(3), 233-236.

Durajski, A. P., Wang, C., Li, Y., Szczęśniak, R., & Cho, J. H. (2021). Evidence of phonon-mediated superconductivity in LaH10 at high pressure. *Annalen der Physik*, **533**(3), 2000518.

Durajski, A. P., & Szczęśniak, R. (2017). First-principles study of superconducting hydrogen sulfide at pressure up to 500 GPa. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1-8.

Einaga, M., Sakata, M., Ishikawa, T., Shimizu, K., Eremets, M. I., Drozdov, A. P., ... & Ohishi, Y. (2016). Crystal structure of the superconducting phase of sulfur hydride. *Nature physics*, *12*(9), 835-838.

Eliashberg, G. M. (1960). Interactions between electrons and lattice vibrations in a superconductor. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **11**(3), 696-702.

Essén, H., & Fiolhais, M. C. (2012). Meissner effect, diamagnetism, and classical physics—a review. *American Journal of Physics*, *80*(2), 164-169.

Feng, J., Grochala, W., Jaroń, T., Hoffmann, R., Bergara, A., & Ashcroft, N. W. (2006). Structures and potential superconductivity in SiH 4 at high pressure: En route to "metallic hydrogen". *Physical Review Letters*, **96**(1), 017006.

Ginzburg, V. L., Landau, L. D., Leontovich, M. A., & Fok, V. A. (1950). J. Exp. Theor. Phys. *JETP*, *20*, 1064.

Hamlin, J. J., Tissen, V. G., & Schilling, J. S. (2006). Superconductivity at 17 K in yttrium metal under nearly hydrostatic pressures up to 89 GPa. *Physical Review B*, **73**(9), 094522.

Howald, L., Stilp, E., De Réotier, P. D., Yaouanc, A., Raymond, S., Piamonteze, C., ... & Keller, H. (2015). Evidence for coexistence of bulk superconductivity and itinerant antiferromagnetism in the heavy fermion system CeCo (In1–xCdx) 5. *Scientific reports*, 5(1), 1-15.

Hutcheon, M. J., Shipley, A. M., & Needs, R. J. (2020). Predicting novel superconducting hydrides using machine learning approaches. *Physical Review B*, **101**(14), 144505.

Kamihara, Y., Hiramatsu, H., Hirano, M., Kawamura, R., Yanagi, H., Kamiya, T., & Hosono, H. (2006). Iron-based layered superconductor: LaOFeP. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **128**(31), 10012-10013.

Kamihara, Y., Watanabe, T., Hirano, M., & Hosono, H. (2008). Iron-based layered superconductor La [O1-x F x] FeAs (x= 0.05–0.12) with T c= 26 K. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **130**(11), 3296-3297.

Kibe, H. E., Sakwa, T. W., Ayodo, Y. K., Rapando, B. W., Khanna, K. M., & Sarai, A. (2015). Thermodynamic Properties of Heavy Fermion Superconductors. *International Journal of Physics and Mathematical Sciences*, **5**(2), 23-33.

Maxwell, E. (1950). Isotope effect in the superconductivity of mercury. *Physical Review*, **78**(4), 477.

Migdal, A. B. (1958). Interaction between electrons and lattice vibrations in a normal metal. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **7**(6), 996-1001.

Mukubwa, A. W., Odhiambo, J. O., & Makokha, J. W. (2018). Thermodynamic properties of yttrium based cuprate due to electron-cooper pair interaction using BVT.

Mukubwa, A., & Makokha, J. W. (2021). Energy of plasmonmediated boson-fermion pair condensate in high temperature superconductors. *Physica B: Condensed Matter*, *618*, 413182.

Nagamatsu, J., Nakagawa, N., Muranaka, T., Zenitani, Y., & Akimitsu, J. (2001). Superconductivity at 39 K in magnesium diboride. *nature*, **410**(6824), 63-64.

Odhiambo, J. O., Sakwa, T. W., Ayodo, Y. K., & Rapando, B. W. (2016). Thermodynamic properties of Mercury based cuprate due to Cooper pair-electron interaction.

Onnes, H. K. (1913). Investigations into the properties of substances at low temperatures, which have led, amongst other things, to the preparation of liquid helium. *Nobel lecture*, *4*, 306-336.

Payne, D. T., Cilento, F., & Parmigiani, F. Revealing Fingerprints of a Charge Density Wave in 2H-NbSe2 via Ultrafast Broadband Optical Spectroscopy.

Poudel, B., Hao, Q., Ma, Y., Lan, Y., Minnich, A., Yu, B., ... & Ren, Z. (2008). High-thermoelectric performance of nanostructured bismuth antimony telluride bulk alloys. *Science*, **320**(5876), 634-638.

Purans, J., Menushenkov, A. P., Besedin, S. P., Ivanov, A. A., Minkov, V. S., Pudza, I., ... & Eremets, M. I. (2021). Local electronic structure rearrangements and strong anharmonicity in YH3 under pressures up to 180 GPa. *Nature Communications*, **12**(1), 1-10.

Scalapino, D. J., Schrieffer, J. R., & Wilkins, J. W. (1966). Strong-coupling superconductivity. I. *Physical Review*, **148**(1), 263.

Schilling, J. S., & Hamlin, J. J. (2008, July). Recent studies in superconductivity at extreme pressures. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 121, No. 5, p. 052006). IOP Publishing.

Sendelbach, S., Hover, D., Kittel, A., Mück, M., Martinis, J. M., & McDermott, R. (2008). Magnetism in SQUIDs at millikelvin temperatures. *Physical review letters*, **100**(22), 227006.

Snider, E., Dasenbrock-Gammon, N., McBride, R., Debessai, M., Vindana, H., Vencatasamy, K., ... & Dias, R. P. (2020). Room-temperature superconductivity in a carbonaceous sulfur hydride. *Nature*, **586**(7829), 373-377.

Szczęśniak, D., & Szczęśniak, R. (2015). Thermodynamics of the hydrogen dominant potassium hydride superconductor at high pressure. *Solid State Communications*, *212*, 1-4.

Szczęśniak, D., & Zemła, T. P. (2015). On the high-pressure superconducting phase in platinum hydride. *Superconductor Science and Technology*, **28**(8), 085018.

Tallon, J. L., & Talantsev, E. F. (2018). Compressed H_3S , superfluid density and the quest for room-temperature superconductivity. *Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism*, **31**(3), 619-624.

Tolmachev, V. V., Tiablikov, S. V., & Exptl, J. (1958). A new method in the theory of superconductivity. II. *Soviet Physics JETP*, *34*(7).

Tomita, T., Schilling, J. S., Chen, L., Veal, B. W., & Claus, H. (2006). Pressure-induced enhancement of the critical current density in superconducting Y Ba 2 Cu 3 O x bicrystalline rings. *Physical Review B*, **74**(6), 064517.

Tomsic, M., Rindfleisch, M., Yue, J., McFadden, K., Phillips, J., Sumption, M. D., ... & Collings, E. W. (2007). Overview of MgB2 superconductor applications. *International journal of applied ceramic technology*, **4**(3), 250-259.

Watanabe, T., Yanagi, H., Kamiya, T., Kamihara, Y., Hiramatsu, H., Hirano, M., & Hosono, H. (2007). Nickel-based oxyphosphide superconductor with a layered crystal structure, LaNiOP. *Inorganic Chemistry*, **46**(19), 7719-7721.

Waswa, M. N., & Makokha, J. W. (2021). Superconducting Transition Temperature within the Modified Bose-Fermi-Hubbard Model.

Weller, T. E., Ellerby, M., Saxena, S. S., Smith, R. P., & Skipper, N. T. (2005). Superconductivity in the intercalated graphite compounds C6Yb and C6Ca. *Nature Physics*, *1*(1), 39-41.

Wu, M. K., Ashburn, J. R., Torng, C., Hor, P. H., Meng, R. L., Gao, L., ... & Chu, A. (1987). Superconductivity at 93 K in a new mixed-phase Y-Ba-Cu-O compound system at ambient pressure. *Physical review letters*, **58**(9), 908.

Yao, C., & Ma, Y. (2021). Superconducting materials: Challenges and opportunities for large-scale applications. *Iscience*, **24**(6), 102541.

Zhou, D., Semenok, D. V., Duan, D., Xie, H., Chen, W., Huang, X., ... & Cui, T. (2020). Superconducting praseodymium superhydrides. *Science advances*, *6*(9), eaax68

Research Through Innovation