

A Study on Coastal Environment on Thoothukudi District an Economic Valuation

¹Shunmugathangam P*, ²Sacratees J and ³Shunmugapriya A

¹Assistant Profe<mark>ssor & Head</mark>, Department of Business Administration, Sri Sarada College for Women, Tirunelveli District, Tamilnadu, India.

²Professor & Head and ³Ph.D. Research Scholar

Department of Economics,

Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu, India.

*Corresponding author e-mail: dr.m.thangam2017@gmail.com

Abstract: This study mainly focuses the socioeconomic background of the respondents in coastal village and their health problems. Inorder to perform the analysis on the socio-economic and health status of the people ofthecoastalareaofThoothukudidistrictwereselected. The data was collected during the months between April to June.2023.Thedata collected from the primary source wereanalysed with the statisticalmeasuressuchassimplepercentageanalysis, averages, chisquaretest, ttest, multiple regression and probability analysiswereused.Secondarydatahavebeencollected from books, journals, newspapers, internet and periodicals. The study shows that 56% of the respondents opined that sufficient drugs and medicines availablein 25% of are the hospitals them opined thatthereisinsufficientavailabilityofmedicines. Theremaining 19% of them have no opinion. It reveals that out of 140. independent variables operate, while controlling other variables Allowingone the to the in quality the equation, revealed that it was jobs at is faction which had the highest contribution the of work followed by the quality of health and incomere spectively. Inordertofindoutthesignificantdifferenceinsatisfactionofincomeamongthesamplerespondentsbasedonmaritalstatus, the ' t'valuewascalculated. Therefore, the null isacceptedandconcludedthatthereisnosignificantdifferenceinsatisfactionofincomeamongtherespondentsbetweenmarital statuses. It is revealed that three ofthe variables were entered into the equationandthe order of inclusion was asfollows: jobsatisfaction, quality of health and in come. Allowing one of the independent variables to operate, while controlling gtheother variables in the equation, revealed thatit iob satisfaction which had the

IndexTerms - coastalcommunity, vulnerability, quality of health, institutionallife, sanitation, jobsatisfaction.

highestcontributiontothequalityofworkfollowed.

INTRODUCTION

Man is a social animal and thereforeheinvariably requires the company of hisfellowbeingssothathemaybeabletoleada happy and prosperous social life for a longperiod of time. Individuals, as single humanbeings, become a big zeroif they dare toneglectthe help and assistance from theirfellowbeings. Mostofthecoastal communities are geographically isolated from the mainstream development. This is indicated in their limited access to infrastructure and development assistance, poor transport and communication system and vulnerability to natural disasters. The poor quality of life is also reflected in their sub-standard housing and sanitation systems, and their meagre accesses to basic amenities such as clean drinking water and health care. Many diseases are attributable to the unsafe, unhealthy and unhy gienic working and living environment and of their poor health care facilities.

Acoastalcommunityisanoccupationbasedcommunitywithashared systemofbeliefs, activities, and commitments, and is connected by bonds that establish a common purpose, fate, apersonal identity, a sense of belonging, and as upportive structure of activities and relationships. It largely reflects the particularities of the customs, language, and institutional life; a heritage of significant events and crises; historically determined attributes assize, geography, and demography. Community is formed through a shared mutuality, and the purpose ful quest, and opportunity for comprehensive interaction, commitment and responsibility.

Ithasahistoricity, rootedness, belonging, andmakesforindividual wellbeing, commitment to others, sense of history, and collective judgment (Yadava, 2003). Most live in communities that are scattered along coast lines and most of theirfishing activities take place near their homecommunities. They generally live very close to the seashore. This nearness to the hasthe of beach landingfor advantage convenient their catch. Fishing the main sea source oflivelihood. Theyaretotally depending on fishery resources for their survival.

Fishingisperceivednotmerelyameans of assuring one's livelihood but morebroadlyasawaofylife(Samuel,1988). The income of those fishermen working on themotorized crafts has gone up (Srivasta, et al1986). Due tolack of adequate intuitional creditfacilities on reasonable termsfisherfolk are forced to depend on informal creditfromtheaffluentmembersofthecommunity(Platteau,1985);(Murickan,2000); Carmel, 2000). SHGs have becomethe main vehicle for women's empowerment(Ram,1991);(Gulati,1999). Social well being reflects of how people interact in their daily lives in families, neighbourhood and work place(Bowlesand Gintis, 2000).

Medicaltreatmentwillpreventthediseasesforacertainperiodoftimeorsometimescurethem

permanently.Unlesspeoplechangetheirattitudetothesocialpracticesassociatedwiththeirlife,theycannot stop the frequenting diseases mostlycausedbythosepractices.Howeverenvironmentisalsoacrucialfactorverymuch associated with the diseases. Peoplewho follow a series of social practices in adegradingenvironmentwouldsufferfromserious health problems. This study mainlyfocuses the socio economic background of the respondents in coastal village and theirhealthproblems.

OBJECTIVES

Themainobjectivesofthestudyarementionedbelow:

- 1. Tostudythesocioeconomicbackgroundofthesamplerespondentsincoastalarea.
- 2. Toknowtheincomeoftherespondents.
- 3. To examine the access to health carefacilities.
- 4. Toevaluatethesatisfactionofincomeamongthesamplerespondents.
- 5. To find out the quality of work life of sample respondents incoastalarea

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Thestudyutilisedbothprimaryandsecondary data. **Primary** data relating to the socioe conomic background of the respondents were collected through pre-designed question naire from 140 respondents and the respondents are considered to the respondent of the respondenfrom selected the Pazhayakayal and 70respondents from Punnakayal village. The study covered70 respondents from Pazhayakayal and 70respondents from Punnakayal village chosenat random. The sample size was 14%. Aseparate interviews chedule was designed, pilottested and used for data collection. The schedule was used tocollectspecificinformation from each respondent. The primary source were analysed with thehelp of various statistical measures such assimplepercentageanalysis, averages, chisquare multiple regression test. test. and probability analysis were used. Secondary data have been collected from books, journals, new spapers, internet and periodicals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected from the primary source has been tabulated and this forms the major basis for the research study. In order to perform the analysis on the socio—economic and health status of the people of the coastal area of Pazhayakayaland Punnakayalin Thoo thukudidistrict were selected.

Sex-wiseanalysisoftherespondents

It has been inferred that out of 140samplerespondents, themajority of 65.45percent are male and rest 34.55 percent isfemale respectively.

Sizeofthefamily

It was found that more than a sixty of the sample respondents (69.09%) had five and above members, about 22.18% had three - four members and about 8.73% had below three members.

Agegroupofrespondents

In the presentstudy, about 83.34% of the respondents belonged to the age class 20-29 years and 6.66% in above 60 years and mean age of the sampleres pondents worked out to be

42.8yearsandstandarddeviationwas28.6421inthestudyarea.

Educationalstatusesoftherespondents

About 45.33% of the respondents had primary education, about 27.33% had high schooled ucation, about 14.67% possessed higher secondary level education and 8.67% had pursued degrees. Further, only about 4% remained illiterate.

Maritalstatusesoftherespondents

Outof140respondents, about84% were married and 16% were unmarried.

Communitywiseclassificationsofrespondents

Thestudyclearlyexplainsthatoutof140samplesrespondents,50% belongstotheMostBackwardCommunityand30% belongstoBackwardCommunity.Further,only about 20% remained Scheduled caste.

Familytypes of the Respondents

This study exhibits that, 75.33 percent of the respondents belonging to the nuclear family. This clearly indicates the declining trend of the Joint family system. Housing, It is revealed that almost all the respondents have own houses.

Typeofhouseoftherespondents

Inthestudyarea,housesofthecommunitywereofthreemaintypes.Itrevealed that 72% of respondents are living interraced houses, 24% were that chedhouses and 4% of the respondents are intiled houses.

Classification of the respondents according to source of drinking water

Thestudyshowsthatoutof140respondents, majority 88.74 per cent ofthe respondents having drinking waterand11.26percentofthemdon'thavedrinkingwaterfacility. Classification of respondents according tosource ofelectricity Itisclearlythat,outof140respondents, majority of 92.38 per cent ofthem are having electricity and 7.62 per centofthemdon'thave electricityfacility. Classification of respondents according totoiletfacilities available

The data reveals that out of 140 respondents, majority of 62.25 per cent of them have Toilet facility, and 37.75 per cent of them have anytoilet facility.

Occupationalbackgroundofearningmembersperfamily

It has been observed that out of thetotal, 84.63 percent of the respondents haveanimportantoccupationalbackgroundasfishing, followed by 6.91 percent of the respondents having a griculture as background. 4.82 percent of the respondents have a background of private employment. Only 3.64 percent of the respondents have government employees as background.

Possessionoflivestock

Livestockmaintenanceisanadditionalsourceofincome. About 9.33% of the respondents had goat / sheep, about 56% of them maintained poultry and about 22.67% of them had cattle.

Monthlyfamilyincomeoftherespondents

Thedatashowsthatoutof140respondents a majority of 44.55percent earnamonthlyfamilyincomeofRs.4,000toRs.8,000 followed by 35.00percent Rs.8000and above and 20.45percent earn less than Rs.4000. The mean monthly family incomeworked outtobe Rs.5,863.85.

Regularavailabilityofworkoftherespondents

It has been revealed that, out of 140respondents75percentoftherespondents are having regular availability of work. Theremaining 25 percent of the respondents arenothaving regular availability of work.

Fringebenefitsreceivedbytherespondents

Majorityoftherespondents(75.45percent) enjoy the fringe benefits intheworksite; onlyless than 25 percent of the respondents do not enjoy any fringe benefits.

Yearsofexperience

Itshowsthatamaximumof37.27percentofthetotalrespondentshaslessthan5yearsofexperiencefollowedby 25.45 percent with 5-7years. 22.28percentoftherespondentshave7-9yearsofexperience, and 15.00 percent with 9 yearsandaboveofexperience.

${\bf Nature of wage of the respondents}$

Themajority of the respondents (68.63 percent) received aily wages. Next 24.55 percent of the respondents receives weekly wages; 6.82 percent of the respondents receives monthly wages.

Distribution of respondents according tomeansoftransportation

Thetableshowedtherespondentsandthemeansoftransportationthatthey used to transport their working place. It wasseenthat 67.33% of the respondents used bicycles and 24% of them used by walk, while 8% used share auto.

AccesstoPrimaryHealthCarefacilities

Thestudyrevealsthatoutof140respondents, majority of 85.16 per cent of them don'tuse PrimaryHealthCarefacility.

AccesstoGovernmentHospitalfacilities

Itrevealsthatoutof140respondents, majority of 79.54 per cent of them utilised Government Hospital facility and 20.46 percent of them don'tutilised Government Hospital facility.

Detailsaboutthedisease affected

It is inferred thatmajority of themare affected by minordiseases (56%) and theremains by majordiseases (44%).

MonthlyIncome

Atwo-waytableispreparedtotesttherelationshipbetweentheincomeoftherespondents and their family size. In orderto find out whether there is any correlationbetweenthefamilysizeandincomeofrespondents, chi-square testare furnished below.

Calculated value of Chi-square = 47.21 Table value at 5 percent level = 9.488 Degrees of freedom = 4 As the calculated value of Chi-square is greater than the table value at 5 percent level of significance, there is a relationship between the income of the respondents and their family size.

Significant differences in satisfaction of income among the sample respondents based on Marital Status

Inordertofindoutthesignificant difference in satisfaction of income among the samplerespondents based on marital status, the 't' value was calculated and the calculated 't' value was found to be 0.6485 which is lower than the table value 1.97 which is significant at 0.05 level. Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted and concluded that there is no significant difference in satisfaction of income among the respondents between marital statuses.

Step wise multiple regression dependentvariable qualityofworklife

Itisrevealedthatthreeofthevariables were entered into the equation and the order of inclusion was as follows: jobsatisfaction, quality of health andincome. As each of the additional was entered, themultiple R and R2 increased. This indicates that job satisfaction, quality of health andincomewerethebestsetof predictors of quality of worklife having a combined contribution of about 98 per cent. Allowing one of the variables controlling the other variables independent to operate, while in the equation, revealed that it was jobs at is faction which had the highest contributionto the quality of work followedbythequalityofhealthandincomerespectively.

CONCLUSION

The results obtained from this surveyrevealedthattheliving conditions of respondents remain poor and almost unchanging in recent years. Offseasonunemploymentis in the selected areaofTuticorin District.Concerted more action governmentagencies, localbodies, tradeunions, and voluntary or ganization with the active support, co-operation and participation of the respondents is required for solving the issuesand for the growth and development of thesector. From the findings of the study, it isunderstoodthatthepeopleinthevillageunder study do not adequate pay tothepreventivecare. Healthcaredoes not imply curative care alone. It implies preventive care as well. But the people in the village give importance to the curative aspects rather than to the preventive aspects of healthcare.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my research supervisor Sacratees J, Professor & Head, Research Department of Economics, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli - 627 012, Tamilnadu, India for giving me an opportunity to do research and for providing valuable guidance throughout this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bowles, Samuel & Herbert, Gintis (2000). Social capital and Community Governance, Department of Economics, University of Massachusetts, p.1-121
- [2] Carmel. S (2000). Factors that determine the Income of Fishermen: A Case Study of Thoothoor Village in Kanyakumari District [Unpublished M.Phil. Dissertation, Madurai Kamaraj University.
- [3] Gulati, L (1999). Coping with male Migration, Economic and Political Weekly, 22(44),pp41-46
- [4] Yadava, Yugraj Singh (2003). Fiscal reform for fisheries in India- A case study, Bay of Bengal Programme Inter-Governmental Organization, Chennai.

