



Consumer Buying Behavior in Chandigarh and Shimla Cities

Rishabh Singh Chauhan¹, Dr Kapil Kathuria² and Akhil Sharma³

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Business Management, Dr Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Nauni, Solan, HP (173230) India

² Professor and Head, Department of Business Management, Dr Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Nauni, Solan, HP (173230) India

³ Research Scholar, Department of Business Management, Dr Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Nauni, Solan, HP (173230) India

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to analyse factors of consumer buying behavior for Herbal Products in Chandigarh and Shimla cities. The present study was based on the pre structured questionnaire and collected from 400 respondents of Shimla and Chandigarh. Factor analysis, Principal Component analysis, KMO and Bartlett's Test, Factor Loading, Eigen Values, Factor Score, Rotation method using for the present study. The data revealed that respondents influenced by advertisements while buying herbal FMCG products Mean (3.02). Thus four factors were identified during the study.

Keywords: Consumer buying behavior, Herbal Products, FMCG

1. Introduction

Consumer buying behavior examines how individuals, groups, and institutions select, pay for, and discard goods, concepts, experiences, or services in order to satisfy their needs and wants. Consumer behavior can be defined as a person's decision-making process and physical actions when they buy, evaluate, use, or discard goods and services to truly understand the relevance of this phrase, a few points need to be clarified and explained. It is the process by which people make decisions about what goods and services to purchase, use, and discard in order to satisfy their needs and desires. The Fast Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) business has an impact on every aspect of human existence. This industry contributes significantly to consumer expenditure across all countries. The Indian market for fast-moving consumer products started to take shape throughout the preceding fifty years. The market is booming thanks to consumers who are getting richer and more willing to spend money on goods that will raise their standard of living (Srivastava & Kumar, 2013).

1.2 Importance of Study

The study will contribute to current knowledge of consumer buying behavior. The objective of the study is to analyze factors of consumer buying behavior for Herbal FMCG Products.

2. Material and Methods

The research area was located in Chandigarh and Shimla cities which were purposively chosen because of the market intensity in both cities. Through multistage random sampling in both of the cities the first stage included selection of these cities. Second stage included the selection of ward in the both urban cities randomly. Total 400 respondents were taken into account and primary data was collected using pre scheduled structured questionnaire. After the collection of the data table and analysis was done using factor analysis.

2.1 Factor analysis

A lot of variables can be reduced to a manageable amount of pieces by using factor analysis. This is used to extract the lowest common variances among all the variables and combine them into a single score. Factor analysis, which is part of the general linear model, also makes a number of other assumptions, such as the presence of real correlation between variables and factors, the absence of multi-co linearity, the existence of linear relationships, and the inclusion of relevant variables in the analysis. The most popular method is principal component analysis, though there are others as well.

They are types of factoring i.e., types of method used to extract the factor from data set.

Principal Component analysis: Removing as much variance as possible from the data and putting it in the first component is the most commonly utilised strategy. Once the variation indicated by the first factors has been eliminated, the highest variance for the second component is retrieved. This allows one to reach the top floor.

Common factor analysis: The second most popular approach among academics is this one, which lists variation into factors and eliminates common variation. The unique volatility of each variable is not taken into consideration by this method.

Image factoring: essentially bases its prediction of the factor on a correlation matrix.

Maximum likelihood method: this method incorporates using the maximum likelihood formula while working with correlation metrics.

2.1.1 Factor Loading

It is the correlation coefficient between the factor and the variable. Factor loading shows the variance on that particular factor that the variable explains. In general, factor loading of 0.7 or above using the SEM approach means that the factor extracts sufficient variance from the variable.

2.1.2 Eigen Values

Eigen values, also known as characteristic roots, display the portion of the overall variance that is explained by that particular factor. The first element in the commonality column can be used to calculate the percentage of the overall

variation that it explains. For example, if one component accounts for 68% of the variance in general, the other factor will account for 32% of the variance.

2.1.3 Factor Score The factor score is also known as the component scores. Every row and every column in this score is included, allowing for further analysis and usage as an index for all variables. To standardize the score, you might multiply it by a common phrase. All factors that behave and move as factor scores were assumed in any analysis that was done, and it was based on factor scores.

2.1.4 Rotation method

It makes the output easier to read. Eigen values have no effect on the rotation method, however they do have an impact on the retrieved variance or Eigen values. There are a number of different rotation procedures, including the direct Oblimin rotation method, the promax rotation method, the varimax rotation method, the Quartimax rotation, and no rotation method. With SPSS, it is easy to select each of them and compare how well those particular strategies explain the variance. The study employed the varimax rotation strategy, which maximises the variance of squared loadings within the components. The most popular rotation method simplifies the loading matrix's columns. This tactic is to make the loadings big or little in order to aid comprehension.

The factor analysis model is:

$$X = \mu + L F + e$$

where $X = p \times 1$ vector of measurements

$\mu = p \times 1$ vector of means

$L = p \times m$ matrix of loadings

$F = m \times 1$ vector of common factors, $e = p \times 1$ vector of residuals.

The number of measurements (p) and the number of common components (m) for a topic or object would be used in measurement. It is thought that each F is independent of the others and that F and e are independent as well. The diagonal matrix $\text{Cov}(e) = 1$, the identity matrix $\text{Cov}(F)$ equals 1, and the mean of F and e is 0. Because of the presumptions regarding the independence of the F , this factor model is orthogonal (Joreskog, 1977)

3. Results

Factors influencing Consumer buying behavior in Shimla and Chandigarh cities by constructing different factors on Consumer buying behavior. Factor analysis using the principal component method has been reducing the number of variables and synthesis factors which influenced the consumer behavior in the study area.

	Mean	Standard Deviation
I do not purchase FMCG herbal products when I need something without adverse effects.	87	049
I do not take health into account while buying herbal FMCG products.	94	125
I am not influenced by advertisements while buying herbal FMCG products.	90	004
I do not follow my friends' advice when purchasing herbal FMCG products.	99	23
I do not follow advice from family to purchase herbal FMCG goods.	97	046
I do not follow advice from a doctor while buying herbal FMCG products.	88	073
I do not rely on pharmacist's or retailer's advice when purchasing Herbal FMCG goods.	86	88
I do not take into account the price when purchasing herbal FMCG products.	91	027
I do not buy herbal FMCG products, I prefer to go a shop where no one can identify me.	54	084
The packaging of the FMCG herbal product affects my decision to buy them.	87	95
I am not a brand-loyal consumer of FMCG herbal products.	89	82
I do not purchase branded FMCG herbal products because you are familiar with the brand.	90	77
In the absence of a better alternative, I continue purchasing the same FMCG herbal product.	94	44
I do not take brand image into account while purchasing FMCG herbal products.	90	015

Before purchasing herbal FMCG products, I take quantity into consideration	92	042
I buy FMCG herbal products for common needs.	90	006
I buy FMCG herbal products for persistent needs.	95	022
I shop for FMCG herbal products to address seasonal ailments/needs	97	087
I shop for FMCG herbal products at a particular outlet	90	082
When visiting the Herbal FMCG outlet, I think the location is important	79	037
While making a purchase, the behavior of the outlet personnel matters to me]	91	043
The product range influences my buying of herbal products from an outlet	84	038
The extra service offered at the outlet are important to me	88	049
I prefer purchasing branded herbal products because of their effectiveness	98	103

Table 3.1

Data represents the descriptive statistics analysis of the important Variable influencing buying behavior.

MO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.	11
Approx. Chi-Square	180.907
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity f	76
g.	00

Communalities: Communalities measure the proportion of the variance in a given variable explained by all factors and are interpreted as the reliability of the indicators. Communality is the extent to which an item correlates with all other items, as higher communalities indicate a larger amount of variance in the variable and the variables with high extraction values are suitable whereas low extraction values are not suitable for factor analysis. Communalities for the selected variables have been found reliable for conducting factor analysis. Variable " I purchase FMCG herbal products when I need something without adverse effects, For herbal FMCG products, I prefer to go a shop where no one can identify me." has the highest extraction value (0.666) and variable "Packaging" has the lowest extraction value (0.409)

Communalities of Consumer behavior	Initial	Extraction
purchase FMCG herbal products when I need something without adverse effects.	0.000	0.666
take health into account while buying herbal FMCG products.	0.000	0.222
am influenced by advertisements while buying herbal FMCG products.	0.000	0.922
follow my friends' advice when purchasing herbal FMCG products.	0.000	0.566
follow advice from family to purchase herbal FMCG goods.	0.000	0.433
follow advice from a doctor while buying Herbal FMCG products.	0.000	0.011
rely on pharmacist's or retailer's advice when purchasing Herbal FMCG products.	0.000	0.444
take into account the price when purchasing herbal FMCG products.	0.000	0.711
for herbal FMCG products, I prefer to go a shop where no one can identify me.	0.000	0.666
packaging of the FMCG herbal product affects my decision to buy them.	0.000	0.409
am a brand-loyal consumer of FMCG herbal products.	0.000	0.088
purchase branded FMCG herbal products because you are familiar with the brand.	0.000	0.355
in the absence of a better alternative, I continue purchasing the same FMCG herbal product.	0.000	0.366
take brand image into account while purchasing FMCG herbal products.	0.000	0.855
before purchasing herbal FMCG products, I take quantity into consideration	0.000	0.366
buy FMCG herbal products for common needs.	0.000	0.500
buy FMCG herbal products for persistent needs.	0.000	0.499
shop for FMCG herbal products to address seasonal ailments/needs	0.000	0.766
shop for FMCG herbal products at a particular outlet	0.000	0.899

When visiting the Herbal FMCG outlet, I think the location is important	000	11
While making a purchase, the behavior of the outlet personnel matters to me	000	20
The product range influences my buying of herbal products from an outlet	000	32
The extra service offered at the outlet are important to me	000	44
I prefer purchasing branded herbal products because of their effectiveness	000	33

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Table 3.3

	PCA 1	PCA 2	PCA 3	PCA 4	PCA 5
Before purchasing herbal FMCG products, I take quantity into consideration. (X ₁)	634	285	1022	198	112
I buy FMCG herbal products for common needs. (X ₂)	519	1049	1511	1132	1001
I buy FMCG herbal products for persistent needs. (X ₃)	524	1084	1481	1141	1128
I go to a shop for FMCG herbal products to address seasonal ailments/needs. (X ₄)	649	1138	1154	1036	1106
I go to a shop for FMCG herbal products at a particular outlet. (X ₅)	657	1159	11024	1058	1170
When visiting the Herbal FMCG outlet, I think the location is important. (X ₆)	594	1222	1185	1048	1269
The product range influences my buying of herbal products from an outlet. (X ₇)	536	1235	1193	1173	1149
I am influenced by	1252	1533	1374	1065	1009

advertisements while buying herbal FMCG products. (X ₈)					
follow my friends' advice when purchasing herbal FMCG products. (X ₉)	004	521	506	160	057
follow advice from a doctor while buying Herbal FMCG products. (X ₁₀)	164	581	090	283	222
take into account the price when purchasing herbal FMCG products. (X ₁₁)	333	633	229	084	004
I am a brand-loyal consumer of FMCG herbal products. (X ₁₂)	199	631	030	153	216
follow advice from family to purchase herbal FMCG goods. (X ₁₃)	199	167	664	160	098
rely on pharmacist's or retailer's advice when purchasing Herbal FMCG goods (X ₁₄)	114	106	697	060	361
purchase FMCG herbal products when I need something without adverse effects. (X ₁₅)	186	031	044	787	094
take health into account while buying herbal FMCG products. (X ₁₆)	043	178	148	590	467
In the absence of a better alternative, I continue	306	189	262	580	031

Purchasing the same FMCG herbal product. (X ₁₇)					
Eigen Value	4.276	2.537	2.353	2.164	1.342
Variance (%)	7.816	10.569	9.803	9.017	5.593
Cumulative Variance (%)	7.816	18.386	28.188	37.206	42.799

Table 3.4

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Table 3.4 revealed the factor pattern and summary of the principal component analysis of collected data. For this data, five out of seventeen components have Eigen values greater than one and these will play the main role in the analysis. Together they account for 52.79 percent of the variation of the original variables. The first component explains that variance of 17.81 percent. This factor accounts for maximum variability Consumer buying behavior. It means that Consumer buying behavior related to quantity, common needs, persistent needs, seasonal ailments/needs, particular outlet, location, range of the product. The second component explains the variance 10.56% this factor accounts influenced by advertisements, friends 'advice, advice from a doctor, price, brand of the product. The third component explains the variance 9.803 % this factor accounts advice from family, pharmacist's or retailer's advice, The fourth component explains the variance 9.017 % this factor accounts without adverse effects, health, absence of a better alternative.

4 Conclusion

Factor 1: - Statements in PCA 1 have coefficient values .634, .519, .524, .649, .657, .594, .536, respectively with factor 1. Cumulative variance explained by this factor is 17.816% and Eigen value is 4.276. This factor identifies those questions which are related to need of customer.

Factor 2: - Statements in PCA 2 have coefficient values .533, .521, .581, .633, .631 respectively with factor 2. Cumulative variance explained by this factor is 28.386% and Eigen value is 2.537. This factor identifies those question related to forces effecting consumer like (WOM) and marketing mix efforts.

Factor 3: - Statements in PCA 3 have coefficient values .664, .697. respectively with factor 3. Cumulative explained by this factor 38.188% and Eigen value is 2.353. this factor shows that the consumer is also influenced by WOM.

Factor 4: - Statements in PCA 4 have coefficient values .0787, .590, .580 respectively to factor 4. Cumulative explained by this factor is 47.206% and Eigen value is 2.164. this factor shows the safety

5 REFERENCES

Chandrasekhar, B. (2012). Consumer buying behaviour and brand loyalty in rural markets: FMCG. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 3(2), 50-67.

Thanigachalam, S. & Vijayarani, K. (2014). Consumer behaviour towards fast moving consumer goods in Puducherry. *Asia Pacific Journal of Research*, 1(17), 56- 69.

Vibhuti, D., Tyagi, A.K. & Pandey, V. (2014). A study on consumer buying behaviour towards selected FMCG products. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 2(8), 1168-1182.

Katiyar, A. & Katiyar, N. (2014). An empirical study of Indian consumer buying behaviour of FMCG products (with special reference to bathing soaps). *International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations*, 2(1), 211-217.