IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN MULTINATIONAL ENTERPRISES: BALANCING RESPONSIBILITIES

Erjona Bezatlliu

Abstract: Corporate governance in multinational enterprises (MNEs) presents a multifaceted challenge, demanding a nuanced approach to balance responsibilities across diverse stakeholders. This study endeavors to delve into this intricate landscape, aiming to comprehend how MNEs maneuver their commitments within the globalized milieu. Initially, the study underscores the pivotal role of corporate governance within MNEs, emphasizing its function in upholding ethical standards, transparency, and accountability. It recognizes the multitude of stakeholders involved, including shareholders, employees, communities, and environmental entities, each with distinct expectations. Subsequently, the study explores the hurdles encountered by MNEs in reconciling their obligations across varying legal frameworks and cultural landscapes. It advocates for the adoption of adaptable governance structures capable of accommodating diverse regulatory environments and stakeholder demands. Furthermore, the research investigates the growing landscape of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and ethical business practices, emphasizing the need of MNEs incorporating these principles into their strategic imperatives. It asserts that effective governance transcends mere compliance, urging proactive measures to address social and environmental concerns. Ultimately, the study underscores the imperative of achieving equilibrium between financial performance and broader societal responsibilities for MNEs. It calls for collaborative efforts and continued research to cultivate governance models conducive to sustainable development and stakeholder welfare in the global sphere. In conclusion, attaining effective corporate governance in multinational enterprises mandates a comprehensive approach prioritizing transparency, accountability, and the alignment of divergent stakeholder interests, ensuring enduring prosperity and societal well-being in an interconnected world.

Index Terms - **corporate governance, MNEs, regulatory, collaboration, stakeholder.**

I.INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance plays a pivotal role in shaping the behavior and performance of multinational enterprises (MNEs) as they operate in diverse and complex global environments. As these enterprises expand across borders, they encounter a myriad of stakeholders with varied interests and expectations, necessitating a delicate balance of responsibilities. In this context, understanding the intricate dynamics of corporate governance within MNEs becomes paramount for ensuring sustainable growth and societal well-being [1].

This study delves into the multifaceted landscape of corporate governance in MNEs, aiming to elucidate how these entities navigate their obligations in an era characterized by globalization and increasing interconnectedness. The study aims to provide insight on the methods by which MNEs preserve governance norms while also meeting the different requirements of stakeholders by investigating the relationship between ethical behavior, transparency, and accountability.

Moreover, the study explores the challenges inherent in harmonizing corporate responsibilities across different jurisdictions and cultural contexts, recognizing the imperative for adaptable governance frameworks. It also underscores the evolving role of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and ethical business practices, emphasizing their integration into MNEs' strategic agendas as a means of enhancing long-term value creation and mitigating risks. Through this exploration, the study aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on corporate governance in MNEs, advocating for collaborative efforts among academia, policymakers, and industry practitioners to develop governance models that promote sustainable development and stakeholder well-being on a global scale.

II. NEED OF THE STUDY

The need for this study arises from the increasingly complex landscape of multinational enterprises (MNEs) operating in a globalized economy. As these entities expand their reach across borders, the importance of understanding and effectively managing corporate governance becomes paramount. This study seeks to address the challenges faced by MNEs in balancing their responsibilities towards various stakeholders while navigating diverse regulatory frameworks and cultural contexts. By examining the evolving dynamics of corporate governance in MNEs, the study aims to provide insights and recommendations for fostering sustainable growth and stakeholder well-being in the global arena.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology section of this case study delineates the approach taken to conduct the research, focusing solely on utilizing a literature review methodology to explore the nuances of corporate governance in multinational enterprises (MNEs).

Given the extensive body of existing research and scholarly works on corporate governance in MNEs, a literature review methodology was deemed appropriate to comprehensively examine the subject matter. This approach involved synthesizing and analyzing relevant academic literature, including peer-reviewed articles, books, and reports, to gain insights into governance practices, challenges, and strategies within MNEs.

The literature review encompassed a thorough examination of key themes, theories, and findings from existing studies, providing a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge on corporate governance in MNEs. The review process involved systematically searching and selecting relevant literature from databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, and academic journals in the fields of business, management, and international relations. By critically analyzing and synthesizing existing research, this literature review aimed to elucidate the complexities of corporate governance in MNEs, addressing issues such as board dynamics, regulatory frameworks, cultural influences, and the relationship between governance and corporate social responsibility (CSR).

Overall, the methodology employed in this case study focused on leveraging existing literature to gain insights into corporate governance practices and challenges within MNEs, providing valuable theoretical foundations and guiding principles for further research and analysis.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1. Elements of corporate governance in MNE

Corporate governance serves as the bedrock of the relationship between a corporation and its stakeholders, providing the framework for steering strategic decisions and overseeing performance. It's a multifaceted system that not only defines the rights and responsibilities of various corporate participants—including board members, executives, shareholders, and other stakeholders—but also establishes the rules and procedures governing corporate affairs. At its core, corporate governance sets objectives, charts strategies for achieving them, and establishes mechanisms for monitoring performance [2].

Corporate governance becomes much more difficult in the case of multinational businesses (MNEs). Beyond merely monitoring the relationship between executives and stakeholders, MNE governance extends its reach to direct the operations of globally dispersed businesses. It's about delineating the distribution of power, rights, and responsibilities among key participants in the decision-making process that impacts corporate affairs on a global scale [3]. Within the MNE structure, subsidiaries may have their own boards of directors. These subsidiaries might be publicly traded on foreign stock exchanges, subject to local legal requirements, or established based on strategic considerations of the parent firm. The governance of these subsidiary-level boards varies, but they play a crucial role in governing the subsidiary as a distinct legal entity. Even subsidiaries without their own boards have an impact on the MNE's overall corporate accountability, with first-tier governance influencing second-tier governance through various mechanisms [4].

Comparing MNE governance to that of domestic firms reveals notable differences. MNEs must contend with a more diverse array of global shareholders and stakeholders, each with their own demands for transparency and disclosure. This complexity is further compounded for geographically diversified MNEs, particularly those listed on multiple stock exchanges worldwide. Such MNEs must navigate differing institutional frameworks and legal requirements across various jurisdictions, presenting a formidable governance challenge [5]. Moreover, MNEs often operate within different governance systems simultaneously. For instance, they may need to adhere to both Anglo-American governance principles, which emphasize the separation of ownership from control, and relational-insider governance systems prevalent in countries like Germany and Japan, which prioritize stakeholder collaboration. This necessitates a nuanced approach to governance that can accommodate diverse stakeholder expectations and regulatory environments [6].

The governance landscape of MNEs is further complicated by the presence of multiple boards and executive teams operating at different levels and in different countries. Second-tier subsidiaries, in particular, may have their own boards and executive teams, independent of the parent company. Effective corporate governance within these subsidiaries ensures alignment with the interests of the parent company, often facilitated by the presence of parent company representatives on subsidiary boards [7]. To address the unique challenges of MNE governance, additional governance mechanisms beyond conventional practices are required. These may include culture-based and discipline-based approaches, which complement market-based governance mechanisms commonly employed by domestic firms. Such mechanisms help MNEs uphold governance standards across diverse cultural and regulatory contexts, ensuring consistency and accountability throughout the organization.

In designing governance frameworks for MNEs, integration with corporate strategies, vision, and organizational structures is essential. Governance must align with the overarching goals of the MNE, whether pursuing a global or multidomestic strategy. This alignment ensures that governance structures are not only effective in promoting transparency and accountability but also conducive to business growth and success in a complex global environment [8].

3.2. Corporate governance in developing nations

Principal-agent theory has long been instrumental in analyzing the characteristics and quality of corporate governance. Originating from seminal works by Ross (1973) and Jensen and Meckling (1976), this theory elucidates how firms, particularly publicly-owned and publicly-listed ones, structure contracts to align managerial incentives with organizational goals amid the division of control between shareholders and managers [9-10]. In the context of diffused ownership models prevalent in modern firms, where numerous small-lot shareholders collectively act as principals overseeing a handful of senior managers, principal-agent theory offers insights into contractual arrangements aimed at curbing managerial opportunism.

Concurrently, legal research on corporate governance has delved into the effects of management contracts, public exchange rules, and regulations on detecting and deterring self-dealing and misconduct. Although rooted in earlier works by regulatory theorists such as Berle and Means (1932), the resurgence of interest in principle-agent theory during the 1980s provided a fresh perspective, prompting a critical reassessment of prevailing regulatory approaches mandating managerial adherence to shareholder interests [11]. This skepticism among legal scholars culminated in debates regarding the efficacy of existing regulatory frameworks.

Reviews of corporate governance research highlight both converging and diverging perspectives. While acknowledging the influence of common versus civil law systems on corporate governance system (CGS) quality, scholars diverge on the efficacy of international cross-listing as a strategy for bonding with foreign regulatory regimes. Management researchers express skepticism, citing the dearth of public enforcement provisions to incentivize adherence to foreign regulations, whereas law and finance scholars advocate for private enforcement mechanisms [12].
The academic discourse on CG and its influencing factors has spurred public policy initiatives, notably exemplified by the World Bank's "Governance Matters" program. This initiative aimed to develop standardized measures of national public governance quality, thereby providing researchers with tools to assess variations in CGS across countries [13]. However, challenges persist regarding the interpretation and measurement of these indicators, underscoring the need for further refinement.
In the assessment of CGSs in emerging economies, scholars highlight inherent challenges stemming from the lack of robust regulatory frameworks and institutional voids. While weak CGSs may deter investors due to inadequate assurances, emerging economy firms often resort to alternative mechanisms, such as family-based oversight, to assure stakeholders [14]. However, these informal approaches may also give rise to governance challenges, including principal-principal conflicts and self-dealing.
In response to deficiencies in public and private governance mechanisms, emerging economy regulators may adopt self-enforcing models emphasizing private ordering over public regulation. This approach relies on outside shareholders to check managerial self-dealing through various mechanisms, including staggered directorial elections and mandatory percentages of outside directors. Such private-ordered governance models gain prominence in contexts where public enforcement resources are scarce [15].
Moreover, the prevalence of state ownership in emerging economies introduces political goals that may diverge from wealth-maximizing objectives. The degree of state ownership influences the governance incentives and monitoring mechanisms within firms, with residual state ownership potentially offering a middle ground between public and private assurances. Ultimately, the effectiveness of corporate governance in emerging economies hinges on institutional development and the interplay between public and private governance mechanisms [16].

3.2.1. Cultural effects on corporate governance in multinational enterprises

The cultural landscape in which multinational enterprises (MNEs) operate plays a significant role in shaping their corporate governance practices. As these entities expand their operations across borders, they encounter diverse cultural norms, values, and behaviors that can profoundly impact governance structures and decision-making processes [17].
Cultural diversity within MNEs encompasses a wide spectrum of societal norms, communication styles, and organizational values that can vary significantly across different regions and countries. Research by Hofstede (1980) and Trompenaars and Hampden-Turner (1997) has highlighted the importance of understanding cultural dimensions such as individualism-collectivism, power distance, and uncertainty avoidance in the context of corporate governance [18-19]. These cultural dimensions shape the preferences and expectations of stakeholders, influencing governance mechanisms such as board structures, decision-making processes, and disclosure practices [20].
The diversity of cultural norms and practices presents unique challenges for MNEs seeking to establish effective governance frameworks. Cultural differences can manifest in various ways, including divergent attitudes towards hierarchy, risk-taking, and transparency. For instance, research by Redding (2005) suggests that Asian cultures tend to emphasize hierarchical authority and consensus-building in decision-making [21], which may contrast with the more decentralized and individualistic approach prevalent in Western corporate governance models. Navigating these cross-cultural challenges requires MNEs to adopt flexible and adaptive governance strategies that accommodate cultural diversity while upholding global standards of transparency and accountability [22].
Despite the challenges posed by cultural diversity, MNEs can leverage cultural differences to their advantage in developing governance strategies that resonate with local stakeholders. MNEs may improve stakeholder engagement and develop trust among their varied workforce and communities by embracing cultural diversity and establishing inclusive decision-making processes [23]. Moreover, research by Dorfman et al. (1997) suggests that organizations that embrace cultural diversity in their governance practices tend to exhibit higher levels of innovation and adaptability [24], leading to sustained competitive advantage in global markets. Therefore, MNEs should view cultural diversity not merely as a challenge but as an opportunity to enrich their governance frameworks and drive long-term organizational success.

3.3. Technological advancements and governance innovation

The rapid pace of technological advancements has ushered in a new era of governance innovation, transforming the landscape of corporate governance and global governance structures. Blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), and big data analytics are examples of technological advancements that have transformed governance procedures, notably in terms of openness and accountability. Blockchain technology, for instance, offers immutable and decentralized ledger systems that enable transparent and tamper-proof record-keeping [25]. Smart contracts built on blockchain platforms can automate governance processes, ensuring compliance with predefined rules and reducing the risk of fraud or manipulation [26]. Similarly, AI and big data analytics empower governance stakeholders to analyze vast amounts of data in real-time, uncovering patterns, anomalies, and compliance breaches that may otherwise go unnoticed [27]. By leveraging these technologies, organizations can enhance transparency, streamline decision-making processes, and foster greater trust among stakeholders [28].
As global governance structures become increasingly reliant on digital technologies, cybersecurity emerges as a critical concern for ensuring the integrity and resilience of governance mechanisms. The interconnected nature of digital networks exposes governance systems to various cyber threats, including data breaches, ransomware attacks, and espionage [29]. To mitigate these risks, organizations must implement robust cybersecurity measures, including encryption protocols, multi-factor authentication, and intrusion detection systems [30]. Moreover, collaboration and information-sharing among stakeholders are essential for detecting and responding to cyber threats effectively. Public-private partnerships, industry standards, and regulatory frameworks play a vital role in promoting cybersecurity resilience across global governance structures [31].
The integration of emerging technologies into governance frameworks presents both opportunities and challenges for organizations seeking to adapt to the digital age. While technologies such as blockchain and AI offer unprecedented capabilities for enhancing governance processes, their adoption requires careful consideration of ethical, legal, and regulatory implications [32]. Moreover, the rapid pace of technological innovation necessitates continuous learning and adaptation among governance stakeholders to

harness the full potential of emerging technologies [33]. Collaborative research, knowledge-sharing platforms, and capacity-building initiatives are essential for equipping governance professionals with the skills and expertise needed to navigate the complexities of technological integration [34].

3.4. Sustainability integration and responsible governance

Sustainability integration and responsible governance have become paramount considerations for organizations seeking to address environmental and social challenges while maintaining financial performance. integration of ESG principles into corporate governance represents a paradigm shift in how organizations approach decision-making and stakeholder engagement. ESG factors encompass a wide range of environmental, social, and governance issues, including climate change, diversity and inclusion, human rights, and board diversity [35]. Incorporating ESG concerns into governance frameworks may improve risk management, encourage innovation, and produce long-term value for shareholders and stakeholders [36]. Moreover, the adoption of ESG practices can enhance transparency, accountability, and trust among stakeholders, fostering sustainable business practices and responsible investment [37].

Sustainable supply chain management and ethical sourcing have emerged as critical components of responsible governance, particularly in industries with complex and globalized supply chains. Organizations must ensure that their supply chains adhere to ethical labor practices, environmental standards, and human rights principles [38]. This requires collaboration with suppliers, transparency in sourcing practices, and the implementation of robust due diligence processes. Organizations that manage sustainable supply chains and promote ethical sourcing may reduce reputational risks, increase brand value, and contribute to beneficial social and environmental consequences [39].

Balancing financial performance with environmental and social objectives poses a significant challenge for organizations navigating competing demands and stakeholder expectations. While financial performance remains a primary concern for shareholders, organizations must also consider their impact on the environment, society, and future generations. Adopting a triple-bottom-line approach that considers economic, environmental, and social outcomes can help organizations strike a balance between profitability and sustainability [40]. This involves integrating sustainability goals into strategic planning, performance measurement, and executive compensation practices. By aligning financial performance with environmental and social objectives, organizations can create shared value for stakeholders and contribute to sustainable development.

V. DISCUSSIONS

In this study, we looked at the diverse landscape of corporate governance in multinational businesses (MNEs), emphasizing its critical role in navigating the challenges of a globalized economy. Through a thorough literature review, we investigated the challenges MNEs face in balancing their responsibilities towards diverse stakeholders while operating across different jurisdictions and cultural contexts.

Our findings highlighted the significance of understanding cultural influences on governance practices, emphasizing the need for adaptable strategies to accommodate cultural diversity. We discussed how technological advancements are revolutionizing governance mechanisms, offering opportunities for transparency and efficiency while also posing cybersecurity risks that require vigilant management.

Furthermore, we examined the integration of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) principles into corporate governance, emphasizing the shift towards responsible governance practices. We explored the challenges of balancing financial performance with environmental and social objectives, proposing strategies for aligning sustainability goals with organizational priorities.

Overall, our study contributes to the ongoing discourse on corporate governance in MNEs, advocating for collaborative efforts among academia, policymakers, and industry practitioners to develop governance models that promote sustainable development and stakeholder well-being on a global scale.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Corporate governance in multinational enterprises (MNEs) is a complex and multifaceted endeavor, influenced by diverse stakeholders, regulatory frameworks, and cultural contexts.

Understanding cultural influences on governance practices is crucial for MNEs operating across borders, as it enables the adoption of flexible strategies that accommodate cultural diversity while upholding global standards of transparency and accountability.

Technological advancements present both opportunities and challenges for governance innovation, offering tools for enhancing transparency and efficiency while necessitating robust cybersecurity measures to mitigate risks.

The integration of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) principles into corporate governance reflects a growing commitment to responsible business practices, highlighting the importance of balancing financial performance with environmental and social objectives.

Achieving effective corporate governance in MNEs requires collaborative efforts among academia, policymakers, and industry practitioners to develop governance models that promote sustainable development and stakeholder well-being on a global scale.

Continued research and dialogue are essential for advancing our understanding of corporate governance in MNEs and identifying innovative strategies to address emerging challenges in the evolving global landscape.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to all those who contributed to this endeavor. Your support and encouragement have been instrumental in shaping the outcome of this research. I am grateful for the resources provided by our funding organizations, without which this work would not have been possible.

Special thanks are due to the colleagues and collaborators who offered their expertise and insights, enriching the discussion and analysis. I also acknowledge the valuable input from the anonymous reviewers, whose feedback strengthened the rigor and clarity of this manuscript.

Lastly, I express my deepest gratitude to my family and loved ones for their unwavering support and understanding throughout this journey.

REFERENCES

[1] Jamali, D., Safieddine, A. M., & Rabbath, M. (2008). Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility synergies and interrelationships. Corporate governance: an international review, 16(5), 443-459.
[2] Solomon, J. (2020). Corporate governance and accountability. John Wiley & Sons.
Strange, R. (2018). Corporate ownership and the theory of the multinational enterprise. International Business Review, 27(6), 1229-1237.
[3] Du, Y., Deloof, M., & Jorissen, A. (2015). The roles of subsidiary boards in multinational enterprises. Journal of International Management, 21(3), 169-181.
[4] Douma, S., George, R., & Kabir, R. (2006). Foreign and domestic ownership, business groups, and firm performance: Evidence from a large emerging market. Strategic management journal, 27(7), 637-657.
[5] Filatotchev, I., & Wright, M. (2011). Agency perspectives on corporate governance of multinational enterprises. Journal of management studies, 48(2), 471-486.
[6] Luo, Y., & Tung, R. L. (2018). A general theory of springboard MNEs. Journal of International Business Studies, 49, 129-152.
[7] Ghoshal, S. (1987). Global strategy: An organizing framework. Strategic management journal, 8(5), 425-440.
[8] Ross, S. A. (1973). The economic theory of agency: The principal's problem. The American economic review, 63(2), 134-139.
[9] Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (2019). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. In Corporate governance (pp. 77-132). Gower.
[10] Berle, A. A., & Means, G. C. (1932). The modern corporation and private property. New Brunswick. NJ: Transaction.
[11] Di Vito, J., & Trottier, K. (2022). A literature review on corporate governance mechanisms: past, present, and future. Accounting Perspectives, 21(2), 207-235.
[12] Davis, K. E., Kingsbury, B., & Merry, S. E. (2012). Indicators as a technology of global governance. Law & Society Review, 46(1), 71-104.
[13] Sharma, N. (2022). Institutional and organizational antecedents of corporate governance compliance of multinational corporations' subsidiaries: evidence from India (Doctoral dissertation, University of Huddersfield).
[14] Berglöf, E., & Claessens, S. (2006). Enforcement and good corporate governance in developing countries and transition economies. The World Bank Research Observer, 21(1), 123-150.
[15] Lozano, M. B., Martínez, B., & Pindado, J. (2016). Corporate governance, ownership and firm value: Drivers of ownership as a good corporate governance mechanism. International Business Review, 25(6), 1333-1343.
[16] Hofstede, G. (1984). Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values (Vol. 5). sage.
[17] Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture and organizations. International studies of management & organization, 10(4), 15-41.
[18] Hampden-Turner, C., & Trompenaars, F. (1997). Response to geert hofstede. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 21(1), 149.
[19] Hillman, A. J., & Dalziel, T. (2003). Boards of directors and firm performance: Integrating agency and resource dependence perspectives. Academy of Management review, 28(3), 383-396.
[20] Redding, G. (2013). The spirit of Chinese capitalism (Vol. 22). Walter de Gruyter.
[21] Jackson, G., & Apostolakou, A. (2010). Corporate social responsibility in Western Europe: an institutional mirror or substitute?. Journal of business ethics, 94(3), 371-394.
[22] Hitt, M. A., Keats, B. W., & DeMarie, S. M. (1998). Navigating in the new competitive landscape: Building strategic flexibility and competitive advantage in the 21st century. Academy of Management Perspectives, 12(4), 22-42.
[23] Dorfman, P., Javidan, M., Hanges, P., Dastmalchian, A., & House, R. (2012). GLOBE: A twenty year journey into the intriguing world of culture and leadership. Journal of World Business, 47(4), 504-518.
[24] Swan, M. (2015). Blockchain: Blueprint for a New Economy. O'Reilly Media.
[25] Mougayar, W. (2016). The Business Blockchain: Promise, Practice, and Application of the Next Internet Technology. Wiley.
[26] Fosso Wamba, S., Akter, S., Edwards, A., Chopin, G., & Gnanzou, D. (2015). How 'big data' can make big impact: Findings from a systematic review and a longitudinal case study. International Journal of Production Economics, 165, 234-246.
[27] Sivarajah, U., Kamal, M. M., Irani, Z., & Weerakkody, V. (2017). Critical analysis of big data challenges and analytical methods. Journal of Business Research, 70, 263-286.
[28] Bertot, J. C., Jaeger, P. T., & Grimes, J. M. (2014). Using ICTs to create a culture of transparency: E-government and social media as openness and anti-corruption tools for societies. Government Information Quarterly, 31(1), 1-8.
[29] Kshetri, N. (2017). Can blockchain strengthen the Internet of Things? IT Professional, 19(4), 68-72.

[30] Choo, K. K. R. (2011). Cybersecurity: Managing Systems, Conducting Testing, and Investigating Intrusions. Jones & Bartlett Learning.
[31] Brennen, S., & Loeb, M. (2017). Blockchain Technology: What Is It Good for? IEEE Security & Privacy, 15(1), 15-20.
[32] Schwab, K. (2017). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. Crown Business.
[33] UN DESA. (2020). World Economic Situation and Prospects 2020. United Nations.
[34] Clark, G. L., Feiner, A., & Viehs, M. (2015). From the stockholder to the stakeholder: How sustainability can drive financial outperformance. SSRN Electronic Journal.
[35] Eccles, R. G., & Serafeim, G. (2013). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. Management Science, 59(5), 1045-1061.
[36] Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality. The Accounting Review, 91(6), 1697-1724.
[37] Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. Journal of Cleaner Production, 16(15), 1699-1710.
[38] Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: Moving toward new theory. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 38(5), 360-387.
[39] Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2004). Relationships between operational practices and performance among early adopters of green supply chain management practices in Chinese manufacturing enterprises. Journal of Operations Management, 22(3), 265-289.
[40] Elkington, J. (1998). Partnerships from cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st-century business. Environmental Quality Management, 8(1), 37-51.