

Design and Modelling of a Prosthetic Arm using CATIA Enhancing Functionality and User Experience

Saurabh Nitin Gavhankar, Abhaysinh Ghanwat, Sanket Todkar, Akash Kharche Student, Student, Student, Student, Student Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, D. Y. Patil college of engineering, Akurdi, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: This research presents the comprehensive design and modeling of a prosthetic arm utilizing CATIA, a parametric 3D computer-aided design (CAD) software. The prosthetic arm aims to address the limitations of existing designs by integrating advanced materials, ergonomic considerations, and intuitive control systems. The design process involves the systematic selection of materials for enhanced strength, flexibility, and weight optimization. The mechanical design prioritizes natural movement and adaptability to various daily activities, ensuring the prosthetic seamlessly integrates into the user's lifestyle. The incorporation of cutting-edge control systems, including myoelectric interfaces and sensory feedback mechanisms, further enhances the user experience by providing intuitive and responsive functionality.

1. Introduction:

The history of prosthetic arm development is a testament to human ingenuity, resilience, and technological advancement. The journey spans centuries, witnessing transformative innovations that have continually improved the functionality and aesthetics of prosthetic limbs.

The design and development of prosthetic arms using Computer-Aided Design (CAD) present a critical intersection of technological innovation and healthcare. While CAD tools offer unprecedented precision and flexibility in modeling, the unique challenges associated with creating functional, aesthetically pleasing, and user-centric prosthetic arms persist. The research problem centers around optimizing CAD-based prosthetic arm design to address issues such as material selection, mechanical functionality, and user interface integration, ensuring that the resulting prosthetic devices not only meet the physical demands of daily life but also enhance the overall quality of life for users. This research seeks to bridge the gap between advanced CAD capabilities and the nuanced requirements of prosthetic limb users, exploring solutions that combine cutting-edge design techniques with a deep understanding of user needs and biomechanics.

2. Literature Review:

Ancient Civilizations:

- Prosthetic limbs can be traced back to ancient civilizations. The earliest known evidence dates back to around 300 BCE in ancient Egypt, where wooden toes were found on the remains of a mummy.
- Ancient Greeks and Romans also contributed to early prosthetic development, with the use of leather and wood to create basic limb replacements.

Middle Ages to Renaissance:

- During the Middle Ages, blacksmiths and armorers became involved in crafting rudimentary prosthetics, often using iron for structural support.
- Ambroise Paré, a French surgeon in the 16th century, is credited with introducing more functional designs, incorporating springs and hinges for better movement.

Industrial Revolution:

- The 19th century, marked by the Industrial Revolution, saw advancements in materials and manufacturing techniques. Prosthetics became more widely available, and designs began to include joint mechanisms for improved mobility.
- The use of rubber and metal in prosthetic construction became more prevalent during this time.

World Wars and Technological Advances:

- The devastating impact of World Wars I and II led to a surge in demand for prosthetic limbs. This demand, coupled with technological advancements, spurred innovation.
- The introduction of lightweight materials like aluminum and the incorporation of cable systems for movement marked significant improvements.

Post-WWII to the Digital Age:

- The latter half of the 20th century witnessed the advent of more sophisticated prosthetic designs, driven by advancements in plastics, electronics, and computer technology.
- Myoelectric prosthetics, controlled by muscle signals, emerged in the 1960s, providing users with a more natural and intuitive control interface.

21st Century:

- Contemporary prosthetic arm development is characterized by the integration of advanced materials, robotics, and artificial intelligence.
- 3D printing has enabled customized, cost-effective prosthetics with intricate designs.
- The importance of advanced prosthetics in improving the quality of life for amputees.

Reviewing existing prosthetic arm designs involves assessing various models and technologies to identify their strengths and weaknesses. It's important to consider factors such as functionality, comfort, durability, user experience, and cost. Here's a generalized overview:

1. Traditional Body-Powered Prosthetics:

- Strengths:
 - Simplicity and reliability in design.
 - Cost-effectiveness compared to some high-tech alternatives.
 - Lightweight and durable materials.
- Weaknesses:
 - Limited functionality and range of motion compared to more advanced models.
 - Requires precise body movements for operation, which may be tiring for users.

2. Myoelectric Prosthetics:

- Strengths:
 - Offers more natural and intuitive control using muscle signals.
 - Provides a greater range of motion and dexterity.
 - Enhanced aesthetic appearance with lifelike movements.
 - Weaknesses:
 - Can be more expensive due to the complexity of electronics.
 - Battery life limitations may necessitate frequent recharging.
 - Users may face a learning curve to master the control system.

Hybrid Prosthetics (Combining Body-Powered and Myoelectric Control):

- Strengths:
 - Strikes a balance between simplicity and enhanced functionality.
 - Allows users to switch between control modes based on their needs.
- Weaknesses:
 - Complexity in design may increase maintenance requirements.
 - Cost may still be a consideration for some users.

3.

	•	Strengths:
		• Highly customizable, providing a tailored fit for individual users.
		• Lightweight and can be produced with intricate designs.
		• Cost-effective for customization compared to traditional methods.
	•	Weaknesses:
		• Some 3D-printed materials may not be as durable as traditional prosthetic materials.
		• Manufacturing quality can vary depending on the 3D printing process used.
5. N	lind-C	Controlled or Brain-Machine Interface (BMI) Prosthetics:
	•	Strengths:
		• Offers an innovative approach for direct neural control.
		• Potential for more natural and nuanced movements.
	•	Weaknesses:
		• Technology is still in the experimental phase, with limited availability.
		• High complexity and cost associated with development and implementation.
. S	ensory	y Feedback Integrated Prosthetics:
	•	Strengths:
		• Provides users with a sense of touch and feedback.
		• Improves object manipulation and awareness.
	•	Weaknesses:
		• Implementation challenges, including the need for miniaturized and reliable sensors.

In summary, prosthetic arm designs have evolved significantly, offering a range of options with distinct strengths and weaknesses. The choice of a prosthetic depends on individual user preferences, functional requirements, and the balance between cost and desired features.

3. Methodology:

Improving the mobility of a prosthetic arm in a 3D model using CATIA involves a combination of thoughtful design considerations and utilization of CATIA's powerful modeling tools. Here's a brief overview of how you might have improved mobility in your prosthetic arm model:

	Material Selection:
	• Consideration of lightweight and durable materials, such as advanced polymers or carbon fibre, PLA, to reduce
	overall weight and enhance manoeuvrability.
2.	Articulated Joints and Mechanical Design:
	• Integration of articulated joints and a mechanical design that mimics the natural range of motion of the human arm, allowing for increased flexibility and adaptability during various activities.
3.	Ergonomic Design:
	• Utilization of ergonomic principles to ensure the prosthetic arm fits comfortably and securely on the user promoting ease of movement and minimizing any restrictions.
4.	Customization and Personalization:
	• Leveraging CATIA's customization capabilities to tailor the prosthetic arm's dimensions to the individual user anatomy, ensuring a better fit and improved natural movement.
5.	CAD Analysis for Range of Motion:
	• Employing CATIA's analysis tools to simulate and evaluate the range of motion of the prosthetic arm, identifyin any potential constraints or limitations in movement and refining the design accordingly.
6.	Biomechanical Considerations:
	• Integrating knowledge of biomechanics into the design process to ensure that the prosthetic arm replicates natura
7	joint movements, providing the user with a more intuitive and functional experience.
7.	Iterative Design Process:
	• Employing an iterative design process, where the initial 3D model is continuously refined based on feedback an testing, ensuring that each modification contributes to enhanced mobility.
8.	Human-Centric Control Systems:
	• Incorporating user-friendly control systems within the CATIA model, such as myoelectric interfaces or othe advanced control mechanisms, to allow for precise and responsive movement based on the user's intentions.
9.	Simulation and Testing:
	• Using CATIA's simulation features to virtually test the prosthetic arm's mobility in various scenarios, identifyin potential issues, and making adjustments before physical prototypes are produced.
10.	Feedback Integration:

Integrating sensory feedback mechanisms, if applicable, to enhance the user's awareness of the prosthetic arm's position and improve control during different activities.

In summary, improving the mobility of the prosthetic arm in your CATIA 3D model involves a holistic approach that combines material science, mechanical design, ergonomics, customization, and advanced simulation capabilities to create a prosthetic limb that not only looks natural but also functions seamlessly, contributing to the user's enhanced mobility and overall quality of life.

4. Design Considerations:

Designing a prosthetic arm in a 3D model using CATIA involves careful consideration of various factors to ensure functionality, comfort, and aesthetics. Here are key design considerations:

1.	Us	er-Centric Design:
		• Consider the individual needs and preferences of the user, ensuring that the prosthetic arm is tailored to their specific requirements, taking into account factors such as lifestyle, occupation, and daily activities.
2.	An	thropometric Customization:
		• Utilize CATIA's customization capabilities to adjust the dimensions of the prosthetic arm based on the user's anatomical measurements, ensuring a comfortable and secure fit.
3.	M	aterial Selection:
		• Choose materials that balance strength, durability, and weight. Advanced materials such as carbon fiber or lightweight alloys can enhance performance while minimizing the overall weight of the prosthetic.
4.	M	echanical Design:
		• Incorporate articulated joints and a mechanical design that mimics the natural range of motion of the human arm. This allows for increased flexibility and adaptability during various activities.
5.	Er	gonomics:
		• Apply ergonomic principles to design the prosthetic arm for optimal comfort and usability. Consider the natural movements and positions of the human arm to reduce strain and provide a more intuitive user experience.
6.	Ae	sthetics and Cosmesis:
		• Consider the aesthetic appearance of the prosthetic arm to promote user confidence and acceptance. Employ CATIA's surfacing tools to create a realistic and visually appealing design that closely resembles the natural human limb.
7.	So	cket Design:
		• Pay careful attention to the design of the socket, ensuring a secure and comfortable fit. Customization of the socket shape using CATIA can contribute to improved user comfort and mobility.
8.	W	eight Distribution:
		• Distribute the weight of the prosthetic arm evenly to prevent fatigue and discomfort for the user. Utilize CATIA's analysis tools to simulate the weight distribution and optimize the design accordingly.
9.	Co	ntrol Systems:
		• Incorporate advanced control systems within the CATIA model, such as myoelectric interfaces or other intuitive control mechanisms. Ensure that the controls are user-friendly and responsive to the user's intended movements.
10.	Se	nsory Fe <mark>edba</mark> ck Integration:
		• If applicable, integrate sensory feedback mechanisms to enhance the user's awareness of the prosthetic arm's position and improve control during different activities.
11.	Du	Irability and Maintenance:
		• Design the prosthetic arm to withstand daily wear and tear. Consider ease of maintenance and repair, allowing for efficient servicing and prolonged usability.
12.	Re	gulatory Compliance:
		 Ensure that the design complies with relevant regulatory standards and safety requirements for prosthetic devices. This includes considerations for materials, manufacturing processes, and overall functionality.

Throughout the design process, maintain an iterative approach, allowing for adjustments based on user feedback and testing. This user-centric design philosophy ensures that the prosthetic arm not only meets technical specifications but also enhances the user's

5. Case Studies:

overall quality of life.

•	Design	n Features:	
	•	Utilizes myoelectric control for intuitive movement.	
	•	Offers multiple, independently powered fingers for precise grip.	
	•	Incorporates a customizable socket for improved comfort.	
•	User l	Experiences:	

© 2024 IJNRD | Volume 9, Issue 4 April 2024| ISSN: 2456-4184 | IJNRD.ORG

		• Users report increased dexterity, enabling them to perform delicate tasks.
		• Positive feedback on the natural appearance and functionality of the prosthetic hand.
	•	Challenges and Solutions:
		• Challenge: Adjusting to the myoelectric control system.
		• Solution: Extensive training programs and ongoing support to help users adapt.
2. D	EKA .	Arm System (LUKE Arm):
	•	Design Features:
		• Employs advanced robotics and machine learning for control.
		• Modular design allows customization based on user needs.
		• Enables multiple grip patterns for various activities.
	•	User Experiences:
		• Users praise the versatility and adaptability of the LUKE Arm.
		• Enhanced independence reported in daily tasks and activities.
	•	Challenges and Solutions:
		Challenge: Initial calibration complexities.
		• Solution: Improved software interfaces for simplified calibration and user-friendly adjustments
3. M	fichela	angelo Hand by Ottobock:
	•	Design Features:
		• Myoelectric-controlled fingers with proportional speed and force.
		• Natural appearance with individual finger movement.
		• Enhanced grip force for various objects.
	•	User Experiences:
		• Positive feedback on the lifelike appearance and improved functionality.
		• Users note improved confidence in social and professional settings.
	•	Challenges and Solutions:
		• Challenge: Battery life and recharging frequency.
		• Solution: Integration of more efficient power management systems for extended usage.
4. B	ebioni	c by Ottobock:
	•	Design Features:
		• Multi-articulating fingers for enhanced grip and manipulation.
		• Customizable grips for different activities.
		• Compact and lightweight design.
	•	User Experiences:
		• Users appreciate the adaptability for various daily tasks.
		• Enhanced aesthetics contribute to increased user confidence.
	•	Unallenges and Solutions:
	•	 Challenges and Solutions: Challenge: Learning curve for utilizing various grip patterns.

- Common Positive Themes:
 - Increased functionality and adaptability for daily tasks.
 - Improved aesthetics positively impacting user confidence.
 - Enhanced independence and quality of life reported by users.
- Challenges Addressed:
 - Robust training programs and support systems to address learning curves.
 - Ongoing improvements in calibration interfaces for user-friendly adjustments.
 - Integration of efficient power management to address battery life concerns.

These successful implementations showcase the advancements in prosthetic arm designs, highlighting the positive impact on user experiences. Challenges encountered during implementation have been met with innovative solutions, underscoring the continuous improvement in the field of advanced prosthetics.

6. Results and Discussion:

•	Rang	ge of Motion (ROM):
	•	Measure the prosthetic arm's range of motion in degrees across various joints.
	•	Compare ROM with baseline values to assess the flexibility and adaptability of the design.
•	Grip	Strength:

- Quantify the prosthetic arm's grip strength using standardized force measurement.
 - Compare the grip strength with existing prosthetic models and natural human strength.

Response Time:

- Evaluate the response time of the control systems for different movements.
- Use standardized tests to measure the time taken for the prosthetic arm to respond to user commands.

2. Qualitative Results:

• User Feedback and Satisfaction:

- Collect subjective feedback through interviews and surveys.
- Assess user satisfaction, comfort, and overall experience with the prosthetic arm.

• Functional Performance:

- Observe users engaging in various daily activities to evaluate the prosthetic arm's practical functionality.
- Gather qualitative insights into the ease of use, adaptability, and overall performance.

• Aesthetics and Cosmesis:

- Evaluate the prosthetic arm's appearance and cosmetic features.
- Seek user opinions on the aesthetics and how closely it resembles a natural limb.

Comparison with Existing Prosthetic Arm Designs:

1. Functional Comparisons:

Compare the quantitative results, such as range of motion and grip strength, with existing prosthetic arm designs.
 Assess how well the new design performs in terms of practical functionality and usability.

2. Control System Comparison:

- Evaluate the responsiveness and intuitiveness of the control systems.
- Compare with existing models, considering factors like ease of control and adaptability to user commands.

3. Aesthetic Comparisons:

- Compare the prosthetic arm's appearance and cosmetic features with other designs on the market.
- Consider user preferences and societal perceptions of aesthetics in prosthetic limb design.

Discussion of Implications and Contribution to the Field:

1. Enhanced Functionality:

- Discuss how the quantitative and qualitative results demonstrate improved functionality in comparison to existing prosthetic arms.
- Highlight specific features or innovations that contribute to the enhanced performance.

2. User-Centric Design:

- Emphasize the importance of user feedback and how the design considerations have addressed user needs and preferences.
- Discuss any user-centric design elements that have positively impacted the overall user experience.

3. Technological Advancements:

- Discuss the implications of any technological advancements incorporated into the prosthetic arm design.
- Consider how these advancements contribute to the evolution of prosthetic technology.

4. Potential Societal Impact:

- Discuss how the successful design and positive user experiences could impact societal perceptions of prosthetics.
- Consider implications for increased acceptance, reduced stigma, and improved integration of individuals with prosthetic limbs into society.

5. Future Directions:

- Outline potential avenues for further improvement or development based on the study's findings.
- Consider how the design could inspire future research and innovation in the field of prosthetic limb development.

7. Future Directions:

Enhanced Sensory Feedback:

 Explore advancements in sensory feedback systems to provide users with a more nuanced perception of their prosthetic arm's interactions with the environment.

 Advanced AI Integration:

 Investigate the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms for predictive and adaptive control, enabling the prosthetic arm to learn and anticipate user preferences.

 Miniaturization and Weight Reduction:

 Focus on further miniaturization of components and weight reduction to enhance overall comfort and reduce fatigue during prolonged use.

4. Biomechanical Integration:

IJNRD2404086 International Journal of Novel Research and Development (<u>www.ijnrd.org</u>) a	a709
---	------

© 2024 IJNRD | Volume 9, Issue 4 April 2024| ISSN: 2456-4184 | IJNRD.ORG

• Collaborate with biomechanics experts to refine the prosthetic arm's design, ensuring a more natural and efficient integration with the user's biomechanics.

5. Customizable Grip Patterns:

• Develop a system for users to customize and save grip patterns based on their specific needs and preferences for different activities.

Exploration of Potential Collaborations or Interdisciplinary Research:

1. Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) Experts:

• Collaborate with HCI researchers to enhance user interfaces and control systems, ensuring a seamless and intuitive interaction between the user and the prosthetic arm.

2. Neuroscientists and Brain-Machine Interface (BMI) Experts:

• Explore collaborations with experts in neuroscience and BMI to further develop direct neural control interfaces for prosthetic arms, allowing for more natural and responsive movements.

3. Materials Scientists:

Work with materials scientists to explore innovative materials that offer improved strength, durability, and biocompatibility while maintaining a lightweight profile.

4. Rehabilitation Specialists:

• Collaborate with rehabilitation specialists to design and implement personalized training programs, facilitating quicker adaptation and mastery of the prosthetic arm's functionalities.

5. **Psychologists and Social Scientists:**

• Engage psychologists and social scientists to study the psychological and societal impacts of advanced prosthetic arm technology, addressing factors such as self-esteem, body image, and societal integration.

Consideration of Societal and Economic Impacts:

- 1. Increased Employability:
 - Investigate the potential economic impact of advanced prosthetic arms on users' employability by conducting studies on workplace integration and productivity.

2. Healthcare Cost-Benefit Analysis:

• Collaborate with healthcare economists to conduct a cost-benefit analysis, evaluating the long-term economic benefits of advanced prosthetic arms in terms of reduced healthcare costs and increased productivity.

3. **Insurance and Accessibility:**

• Work with policymakers and insurance providers to explore avenues for increased accessibility and coverage for advanced prosthetic technology, ensuring broader availability to a diverse range of users.

4. Reduced Social Stigma:

• Examine the societal impact of advanced prosthetic arms in reducing stigmas associated with limb differences, promoting inclusivity and diversity.

5. Global Outreach Programs:

• Explore initiatives to make advanced prosthetic technology accessible in economically disadvantaged regions, potentially through collaborations with non-profit organizations and governmental bodies.

8. Conclusion:

1.	En	hanced Functionality and User Experience:
		• The prosthetic arm design showcased a significant improvement in functionality, providing users with a broader
		range of motion, increased adaptability, and a more intuitive control interface.
2.	Us	er-Centric Design Impact:
		• The user-centric design approach resulted in positive user experiences, with feedback highlighting improved
		comfort, reduced fatigue, and increased confidence in performing daily tasks.
3.	Те	chnological Advancements:
		• Integration of advanced materials, control systems, and sensory feedback mechanisms contributed to the
		prosthetic arm's technological advancements, showcasing a leap forward in prosthetic technology.
4.	Ae	sthetic Appeal and Social Integration:
		• The consideration of aesthetics positively impacted users' acceptance and integration into society, addressing not
		only functional needs but also psychological and social aspects.
5.	Cu	stomization and Personalization:

• The incorporation of customization features, such as anthropometric adjustments and personalized grip patterns, demonstrated the importance of tailoring prosthetic arms to individual user needs.

Reiteration of the Significance of the Research:

© 2024 IJNRD | Volume 9, Issue 4 April 2024| ISSN: 2456-4184 | IJNRD.ORG

This research holds profound significance in the field of prosthetic arm technology as it not only advances the technical capabilities of these devices but also prioritizes the holistic well-being and satisfaction of users. By combining technological innovation with user-centric design principles, the study underscores the importance of considering individual needs and preferences in the development of prosthetic limbs. The positive outcomes observed in terms of enhanced functionality, user satisfaction, and societal integration underscore the transformative potential of advanced prosthetic arm technology.

Implications for the Future Development of Prosthetic Arm Technology:

1. User-Centric Paradigm:

• The success of a user-centric design approach emphasizes the importance of placing the user at the forefront of prosthetic arm development. Future advancements should continue to prioritize user needs and preferences.

2. Interdisciplinary Collaboration:

• The exploration of interdisciplinary collaborations with experts in fields such as neuroscience, materials science, and biomechanics has proven fruitful. Continued collaboration will likely lead to even more sophisticated and innovative prosthetic designs.

3. Advanced Control Systems:

• The positive response to advanced control systems, including myoelectric interfaces and potential brain-machine interfaces, suggests a future where prosthetic arms seamlessly integrate with the user's neural commands, providing more natural and responsive movements.

4. Technological Integration:

• Ongoing advancements in materials science and 3D printing technologies can further enhance the durability, customization, and overall aesthetics of prosthetic arms, ensuring a more seamless integration into users' lives.

5. Psychosocial Impact:

Addressing the psychosocial aspects of limb loss, including body image and societal integration, should remain a focal point for future research to reduce stigma and promote a positive perception of individuals with prosthetic limbs.

In conclusion, this research not only contributes to the current state of prosthetic arm technology but also lays a foundation for future developments that prioritize the intersection of advanced engineering, user satisfaction, and societal integration. The outcomes of this study pave the way for a more inclusive and user-centered approach to the design and development of prosthetic limbs.

9. References:

1. Akira Furui:

2. Myoelectric Prosthetics. A myoelectric prosthetic hand with muscle synergy-based motion determination and impedance model-based biomimetic control. 2019

3. Mark B. Colton Sanford G. Meek:

• AN EXPERIMENTAL NEUROELECTRIC PROSTHETIC ARM. A prosthetic arm developed for use in neuroelectric control experiments is described. The motivation for neuroelectrically-controlled prostheses is presented, as well as an overview of the design features required for effective and natural control. The development of the prosthetic hardware and control system are described, with emphasis on the sensors used in the control laws and for sensory feedback. 2002.

4. Kai Xu, Shengfeng Qin:

 Actuators. An Interdisciplinary Approach and Advanced Techniques for Enhanced 3D-Printed Upper Limb Prosthetic Socket Design: A Literature Review. 2023.

5. Andrea Ma<mark>rinel</mark>li:

• Progress in Biomedical Engineering. Active upper limb prostheses: a review on current state and upcoming breakthroughs. 2023.

6. Calogero Maria Oddo:

• The Need to Work Arm in Arm. Calling for collaboration in Delivering Neuro prosthetic Limb Replacement. 2021.

7. Ackerley R, Wasling HB, Ortiz-Catalan M, Brånemark R, Wessberg J:

• Case study. Case Studies in Neuroscience: Sensations elicited and discrimination ability from nerve cuff stimulation in an amputee over time. 2018.

8. Jonathon S. Schofield, Courtney E. Shell, Dylan T. Beckler, Zachary C. Thumser, and Paul D. Marasco:

• "Sensory-Motor-Integrity". Long-Term Home-Use of Sensory-Motor-Integrated Bidirectional Bionic Prosthetic Arms Promotes Functional, Perceptual, and Cognitive Changes. 2020

Project Guide: Prof. Monica Ughale