IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

The Economic and Environmental Impact of Single-Use Plastics: A Case Study on Local Businesses and Solutions

Amya Manaktala

Student

La Martinière Calcutta

Abstract

The widespread use of disposable plastic items has triggered a global catastrophe with severe repercussions for the economy and the environment. The local business community, which is intimately involved in this problem, plays a crucial role in reducing the damage caused by toxic plastics. The need for all-encompassing solutions is emphasised by the durability of plastics and the dangers posed by microplastics to human health. While laws like the Single-Use Plastic Directive are positive developments, more has to be done to ensure that policies are effectively implemented. This includes the use of bulk dispensers and the introduction of plastic-free programmes, as well as the adoption of reusable packaging, biodegradable materials, and environmentally friendly promotions. A holistic strategy relies heavily on supplier collaboration, employee buy-in, community involvement, educating customers, and progress reporting.

1.0 Introduction

The widespread usage of disposable plastics is a major problem in today's commercial landscape. The authors of this study set out to critically investigate the widespread financial and environmental effects of single-use plastics in the context of local commercial enterprises. The economic inefficiency incurred by local businesses and the environmental deterioration that follows from the widespread use of single-use plastics makes this inquiry urgently necessary. Understanding the complex interplay between local companies and single-use plastics, which is characterised by convenience and cost-effectiveness but also gives rise to severe environmental concerns, is the focus of this study. Local businesses rely heavily on single-use plastics for packaging, but their short lifespans lead to an enormous amount of trash.

2.0 Background

With packaging accounting for 36 per cent of 2017's astonishing 158 million metric tonnes of plastic garbage, the widespread availability of throwaway plastics highlights a serious problem on a global scale (Diggle and Walker, 2022). The local economy is deeply entangled in this predicament. A thorough investigation into the financial and environmental effects of single-use plastics inside local businesses is necessary because of the lack of suitable recycling facilities (Diggle and Walker, 2022). This study sets out to examine the far-reaching effects of disposable plastics on regional businesses and to develop long-term answers to this complex problem. This research attempts to shed light on the economic inefficiencies and environmental hazards associated with single-use plastics by examining the complex link between local companies and these products (Moshood *et al.* 2022).

3.0 Research Aim

The aim of this research is to investigate in depth the financial and ecological costs that local businesses incur from using single-use plastics and to develop workable, efficient solutions that promote sustainability while reducing the negative impacts of using these plastics.

4.0 Research Objectives

- To evaluate the cost-effectiveness of single-use plastics in local businesses.
- To measure the environmental footprint of single-use plastics in the local context.
- To propose practical, sustainable alternatives and strategies for local businesses to reduce reliance on single-use plastics.

5.0 Research Questions

- What is the financial impact of using single-use plastics in local businesses, and how does it compare to alternative options?
- How significant is the environmental footprint of single-use plastics within the local business environment, considering factors like waste generation, resource consumption, and pollution?
- What are the most feasible and sustainable alternatives to single-use plastics for local businesses, and what strategies can be implemented to encourage their adoption and reduce dependence on single-use plastics?

6.0 Problem Statement

Single-use plastics have become ubiquitous in today's modern economy, playing crucial roles in a wide range of sectors (Barrowclough and Birkbeck, 2022). Despite this, there is a rising cause for alarm because of their pervasive use, particularly in disposable packaging materials, which have a short lifespan and produce enormous amounts of trash. Because of their ease and low price, single-use plastics make their way into local enterprises, where they contribute to environmental deterioration and economic inefficiency (Villarin and Cuaresma, 2020). The fact that local businesses, the lifeblood of many neighbourhoods, frequently need more facilities and resources to effectively implement sustainable alternatives only serves to compound the problem.

In the context of local commerce, single-use plastics have become deeply ingrained in daily activities. Due to their low cost and simplicity, these enterprises frequently rely on these plastics for packing and serving items. The short lifespan of single-use plastics, however, means that they are typically discarded without any thought or planning, leading to inefficient waste management practices that have serious consequences for the local ecology and economy (Goodwine, 2019).

There are two possible outcomes for the economy. First, the purchase of single-use plastics has become more expensive for local firms. These plastics may be cheap in isolation, but they add up to significant costs over time. Second, these plastics have repercussions on the environment, which have a monetary impact in the long run (Kumar *et al.* 2021). As vital parts of their neighbourhoods, local businesses also contribute significantly to the garbage problem. This places an additional financial burden on communities as they allocate resources to monitor and mitigate environmental damage (Diagne *et al.* 2021).

Single-use plastics used in local businesses ultimately feed into larger waste management systems, aggravating the worldwide plastic pollution catastrophe, with far-reaching environmental consequences. Production and disposal leave a carbon footprint, and harm to ecosystems comes at a direct cost as well (Doytch, 2020). Small enterprises in the area are not immune to the effects of this issue, to which they each contribute individually. The harmony between enterprises and their local settings and the global ecology is disrupted by insufficient waste management infrastructure and the leaking of plastics into land and aquatic environments (Cowan and Tiller, 2021).

The use of disposable plastics in regional production processes not only contributes to the maintenance of an unsustainable economic model but also makes the environmental problems caused by plastic pollution much worse. The short-term savings and ease of use of single-use plastics may be enticing to businesses, but the long-term effects on communities' economies and the environment are negative (Whitfield *et al.* 2022). For this reason, it is crucial to investigate the financial and ecological implications of using disposable plastics in local commerce, since this will reveal the true costs of this convenience and provide light on viable alternatives. Local businesses can profit from and contribute to the global effort to minimise plastic pollution and environmental degradation by adopting feasible and efficient ways to reduce their use of single-use plastics (Kumar *et al.* 2021).

7.0 Significance

This chapter is highly relevant since it discusses a pressing problem at the crossroads of economics and ecology. The increasing use of disposable plastics in commerce has far-reaching consequences, affecting not only local

economies but also global sustainability initiatives. This study can help policymakers, businesses, and communities mitigate the negative effects of single-use plastics by providing a thorough examination of the economic and environmental impacts and recommending sustainable solutions. It is an important addition to the ongoing conversation on plastic pollution and ethical business practices because of the practical advice it provides for cutting down on waste, saving money, and bringing about a more sustainable future.

8.0 Literature Review

8.1 The cost-effectiveness of single-use plastics in local businesses.

The potential financial effects of single-use plastics on regional economies have received a lot of attention in recent years. Understanding the effects of single-use plastics requires taking into account the price tag associated with their use, especially in comparison to other materials (Herberz *et al.* 2020). The usage of disposable plastics may at first seem economical for small establishments. They are a practical option for packaging and shipping because of their low production cost, low weight, and wide availability. Because of their minimal initial investment, many locally owned enterprises favour single-use plastics.

However, when looking at the economy over the long term, a different picture emerges. While the price of disposable plastics may seem low at first glance, the true cost of these products becomes apparent over time (Ghosh and Jones, 2021). Waste management charges, such as collection, disposal, and potential environmental remediation, are where these costs show up for local businesses. Businesses that do not adapt to changing consumer preferences for eco-friendly products may see dwindling client loyalty and sales as environmental concerns continue to rise (Rompas *et al.* 2023).

The true cost-effectiveness of single-use plastics depends on several aspects, such as the effectiveness of waste management, local restrictions, and customer mood. The pollution and depletion of resources that result from the use of single-use plastics are also becoming more taken into account (Kumar *et al.* 2021). Biodegradable materials and reusable packaging are two options that have been studied as potential replacements for single-use plastics. These options may have a higher initial cost, but they save money in the long run. They help a company look good in the eyes of its customers, who increasingly value ethical and environmentally responsible products and services, and they may even lead to more business (de Ruyter *et al.* 2022).

There are a variety of factors to consider when calculating the return on investment for using disposable plastics in local establishments. While there may be short-term financial benefits, a more thorough examination needs to include the impact on the economy and the environment as well as shifting consumer preferences (Hsu *et al.* 2021). The literature's divergent viewpoints highlight the importance of taking a nuanced and context-specific approach to determining whether or not the usage of single-use plastics is cost-effective for a certain organisation (Cowan *et al.* 2021).

8.2 The environmental footprint of single-use plastics in the local context.

Understanding the ecological repercussions of these extensively used materials requires an assessment of their local environmental impact. The devastating effects that single-use plastics have on the environment have been the subject of this research (Moshood *et al.* 2022). Production of these materials requires large amounts of fossil fuels, which not only depletes limited resources but also adds to greenhouse gas emissions, speeding up the rate at which the planet is warming (Yoro and Daramola, 2020). There are concerns about the long-term

environmental damage caused by the disposal of single-use plastics, which typically end up in landfills or incinerator facilities due to their resistance to disintegration. In addition, marine and terrestrial species are put at risk when these plastics are littered in their natural settings, as they might become entangled in them or even eat them (Abalansa *et al.* 2020).

However, an opposing viewpoint argues that one-time-use plastics have a smaller impact on the environment than other materials, particularly with regard to the amount of energy used and the amount of greenhouse gases released during their production. Plastic's low bulk means it can lessen trucking's financial and environmental toll (Villarin. and Cuaresma, 2020). Single-use plastics can have less of an effect on the environment if they are recycled effectively and kept out of landfills.

Assessing the ecological cost of disposable plastics relies heavily on the specifics of the particular setting. The true environmental impact is heavily contingent on variables including the current state of waste management infrastructure, the strictness of local rules, and the percentage of garbage that is recycled. Whereas regions with adequate waste management infrastructure may incur more pronounced environmental harm, those with robust recycling systems tend to lessen the negative consequences associated with single-use plastics (Mihai *et al.* 2021).

When considering the effects of disposable plastics on the environment, life cycle assessments (LCAs) have proven invaluable. LCAs take into account every stage of a product's existence, from the gathering of its basic materials to its final disposal (Lucchetti *et al.* 2019). LCAs regularly demonstrate that single-use plastics have a significant environmental impact, principally due to their persistent nature and energy-intensive production procedures, despite the fact that the literature presents a wide range of results and conclusions (Walker and McKay, 2021).

The feasibility of making the switch to environmentally friendly options has also been highlighted by recent studies. The use of biodegradable materials, reusable packaging, and cutting-edge recycling methods are gaining traction as ways to lessen the environmental impact of disposable plastics (Amulya *et al.* 2021).

8.3 Practical, sustainable alternatives and strategies for local businesses.

Understanding viable and long-term alternatives and strategies is crucial for addressing the dependency of local companies on single-use plastics. There are different points of view on the effectiveness of the various alternatives. Biodegradable and compostable materials are two of the most talked-about options in this group (Habermann, 2022). The environmental impact of traditional plastics may be reduced over time because these materials break down more quickly in nature. However, there are worries that these compounds may contaminate recycling streams and the conditions needed for successful degradation (Das *et al.* 2021).

Another viable option is reusable packaging. Many shops in the area now require customers to supply their own reusable containers and bags. In an effort to cut down on wasteful packaging, the food and beverage sector has been advocating for a "bring container" culture (Phelan *et al.* 2022). However, obstacles in the form of cleanliness and logistics occur, and a shift in consumer behaviour is essential to the success of such efforts. The utilisation of recycling and upcycling programmes as methods to lessen the need for disposable plastics has also received considerable attention. Businesses in the area can prevent plastic garbage from being dumped in

landfills by instituting efficient collection and recycling programmes (Karimi, 2023). There are different opinions on recycling's effectiveness due to barriers including infrastructure, pollution, and market demand. Some counter that using recycled or biobased polymers can make single-use plastics more environmentally friendly. The use of these materials can cut down on emissions and fossil fuel consumption. However, their long-term viability is contingent on a number of circumstances, such as the pace at which these materials are recycled and how they are handled once they have served their useful purpose (Awan and Sroufe, 2022). Local companies can also limit their consumption of disposable plastics by engaging and educating their clientele. It is possible to encourage behaviour change and promote a more sustainable approach by informing and educating consumers about the environmental impact of single-use plastics (Mathew *et al.* 2023).

9.0 Theory

According to RBV theory, a company's competitive advantage and performance are affected by its special and rare assets (Dionysus and Arifin, 2020). One-time-use plastics are a valuable resource for the purposes of this research. A sustainable competitive advantage can be attained by businesses that manage their use of resources like plastics effectively. The RBV theory is useful for this research because it sheds light on how small firms might best allocate their available resources (Khana *et al.* 2020). It recognises that single-use plastics' low upfront costs belie a potentially high environmental cost down the road. Local firms can boost their competitiveness by identifying and capitalising on alternative resources and techniques if the emphasis is shifted from short-term cost savings to long-term sustainability (Amankwah-Amoah and Syllias, 2020).

The RBV theory also stresses the importance of firms developing skills in resource portfolio management and being socially and environmentally responsible. This finding supports the idea that organisations that prioritise resource conservation and efficiency fare better in the long run. This theory can shed light on what steps local businesses can take to improve their resource management, cut down on their usage of disposable plastics, and create long-term policies that are good for the environment and their bottom line (Dionysus and Arifin, 2020).

10.0 Literature Gap

The literature study reveals a void in the field, calling for more studies to provide local businesses with context-specific, actionable answers to their dependence on disposable plastics. Existing studies typically provide a high-level overview but lack detailed, actionable advice adapted to the specific issues encountered by regional and local enterprises.

11.0 Methodology

11.1 Philosophy

Frequently employed in the social sciences and qualitative research, interpretivism is a methodological stance that places value on elucidating and making sense of people's unique interpretations of their own experiences and the world around them (Zangirolami-Raimundo *et al.* 2018). The researcher used interpretivism philosophy in this methodology. Using this method, the researcher was able to glean useful information that was used to develop effective, long-term plans to lessen the region's reliance on plastic while also minimising its negative financial and ecological effects.

11.2 Design

An explanatory research design is used to better understand what factors contributed to previously observed phenomena (Dźwigoł and Dźwigoł-Barosz, 2018). The researcher used an explanatory research design in this methodology. To better understand the complex interconnections and underlying causes of single-use plastics in commercial settings, an explanatory research strategy was implemented. It shaded light on the economic and environmental repercussions and provided a deeper knowledge of the dynamics at play, paving the way for the development of effective and well-informed remedies to the problems highlighted by the study.

11.3 Approaches

Deductive approaches are research methods that involve formulating a specific hypothesis or theory and then designing experiments or data collection to test and confirm or refute it (Cr, 2020). The researcher used a deductive approach in this methodology. Deductive reasoning was needed first to establish a clear hypothesis or theory concerning the results of using disposable plastics. In order to provide a structured and hypothesis-driven assessment of the research issue, the researcher collected and analysed data within the local business context to support or refute the initial idea.

11.4 Data collection

When information or data is gathered systematically for the purposes of analysis or decision-making, it is referred to as data collection (Zangirolami-Raimundo *et al.* 2018). There are two types of data collection such as primary and secondary data collection. The researcher used secondary data collection for this research. Publications like books, articles, reports, databases, historical documents, government papers, and evaluations of scholarly literature are examples of secondary sources. In this methodology, the researcher used thematic data analysis for data analysis.

12.0 Data Analysis and Findings

12.1 The cost-effectiveness of single-use plastics in local businesses.

In this economic and environmental setting, the pervasiveness of plastics and the mounting environmental risks linked with their use are brought into sharp relief (Abalansa *et al.* 2020). Against this backdrop, it's crucial to investigate how economically viable single-use plastics are for regional enterprises. Several industries, including packaging, construction, automotive manufacturing, and more, find single-use plastics to be a cost-effective, lightweight, and convenient option (El-Jourbagy *et al*. 2021). This seeming low cost is a major factor in their widespread acceptance by regional corporations. The broader context, however, reveals a plethora of economic and environmental repercussions.

Single-use plastics may look like a good investment for local enterprises due to their low initial cost (Oberoi and Garg, 2021). It's practical, cheap, and useful in a wide range of contexts. The short-term financial benefits, however, are more alluring than the long-term ones. The tourism business suffers when plastic trash is not properly disposed of since it clogs up infrastructure, drives up maintenance expenses, and detracts from the area's overall aesthetic attractiveness. Plastic pollution in the oceans has been linked to a drop in the benefits provided by marine ecosystems, which in turn has a significant economic impact (Beaumont *et al.* 2019).

Simply 9% of all plastics manufactured are recycled, which simply adds to the problem (Nikiema and Asiedu, 2022). This results in a loss of useful resources and a lack of cost savings and sustainability potential. Further,

the healthcare expenditures and possible losses in productivity connected with plastic trash and microplastics, found in human food and water sources, offer significant economic burdens. Therefore, the long-term economic disadvantages, such as higher waste management costs, lower tourism revenue, and possible healthcare expenses, outweigh the short-term cost-effectiveness of using single-use plastics in local companies (Mentis *et al.* 2022). This calls for a thorough examination of the full monetary impact of single-use plastics, including both the immediate and long-term costs, as well as the advantages of making the switch to more environmentally friendly alternatives and implementing waste-reduction measures (Cornago *et al.* 2021).

12.2 The environmental footprint of single-use plastics in the local context.

These findings shed light on the far-reaching environmental ramifications of single-use plastics and the growing awareness of the need for comprehensive solutions, both of which can be matched with the investigation of the environmental impact of single-use plastics in the local context. It is widely recognised that single-use plastics, especially in deep-sea and subsurface polar conditions, can remain there for hundreds to thousands of years (Di Paolo *et al.* 2022). As they persist for longer, these plastics amass ecosystems, choke waterways, and destroy wildlife.

The health risks of microplastics have been brought to light by studies showing their presence in human blood, lungs, and the placenta (Kannan and Vimalkumar, 2021). When thinking about the effects of plastic pollution on human health, it is crucial to keep children in mind (Di Paolo *et al.* 2022). Concerning links have been shown between exposure and systemic diseases, some types of cancer, and neurodevelopmental problems, but the mechanisms behind these relationships and the entire scope of the risks remain unknown. Legislation has been enacted in response to growing environmental and health concerns, such as the Single-Use Plastics Directive in the European Union (Di Paolo *et al.* 2022). In addition to cleaning up the oceans, reducing carbon emissions, and decreasing reliance on foreign energy, one of the goals of this order is to decrease the damage done by single-use plastics (Abril Ortiz *et al*. 2020). It lays forth a plan to eliminate the sale of plastic straws, coffee stirrers, and other such items.

However, the difficulties in enforcing such rules are also brought to light in the book, and they include concerns over regulatory requirements and inadequate infrastructure. While many nations have passed their own versions of the directive into law, implementation typically varies depending on who is responsible for it in each jurisdiction (Lin, 2020). The environmental impact of disposable plastics in the immediate vicinity is thus an important topic of study. Calculating the total carbon footprint and environmental impact of these plastics requires looking at their whole life cycle, from manufacture to disposal (Mannheim, 2021). To develop efficient programmes for reduction and to prove the necessity of making the switch to sustainable alternatives, this information is crucial.

12.3 Practical, sustainable alternatives and strategies for local businesses.

The environmental and economic problems caused by single-use plastics are being tackled head-on by local companies. To effectively address these challenges, it is necessary to research and implement viable, long-term alternatives and strategies that are adapted to the specific circumstances of these companies (Becker and Schmid, 2020).

Local businesses should encourage the use of reusable packaging as a means to lessen the demand for disposable plastics. Companies can adopt a closed-loop waste reduction strategy by encouraging customers to return used packaging for washing and reusing (Ottosson and Oweini, 2023). Sustainable packaging options include the use of biodegradable and compostable materials. Reduced environmental effect due to natural decomposition of these materials. To fully reap the benefits, however, effective waste management and disposal procedures must be in place (Karimi, 2023).

Eco-friendly promotional materials can replace disposable plastic goods in local marketing. Promotional materials like reusable tote bags, bamboo pens, and other eco-friendly swag may get the message across without adding to the global problem of plastic pollution (Phelan *et al*. 2022).

One great way to lessen the need for individually packaged goods is to use bulk dispensers for things like cleaning supplies, detergents, and meals. Having customers bring their own containers to be refilled greatly reduces the need for disposable plastic water bottles. In order to raise awareness and for consumers to start using their own reusable bags, containers, and cups, local companies can organise awareness campaigns (Keller *et al.* 2021). Offering discounts or other incentives to clients who take part in these plastic-free efforts is one way to encourage them to alter their habits. Cooperation with manufacturers is essential in the fight against disposable plastics. Companies can collaborate with their suppliers to find options for product packaging that is either minimal or environmentally friendly (Vadakkepatt *et al.* 2021). By working together, businesses in the supply chain have a better chance of using more sustainable practices.

It is crucial to educate and engage workers in initiatives to reduce plastic use. Training sessions can be organised by companies to educate workers and get them invested in plastic reduction initiatives. The success of local businesses in lowering their usage of disposable plastics should be tracked and reported on a regular basis (Dijkstra *et al.* 2021). By establishing measurable goals and reporting progress on a regular basis, leaders can gauge the impact of their efforts and spot potential weak spots.

Businesses can help increase awareness and support plastic reduction initiatives by working with local communities and environmental organisations. Involving members of the community can increase the likelihood of the widespread adoption of sustainable practices and develop a sense of shared responsibility (Fuchs *et al.* 2021). Customer education regarding the environmental costs of single-use plastics and the advantages of more sustainable alternatives is essential. Customer support and engagement can be boosted by spreading the word through in-store signage, social media, and other avenues of communication (Appel *et al.* 2020).

13.0 Conclusion

In conclusion, local firms play a crucial role in addressing the worldwide problem of the economic and environmental consequences of single-use plastics. According to the numbers, plastic output has dramatically increased, with packaging accounting for a sizable share, leading to increased environmental contamination and rising expenses associated with waste disposal. The need for all-encompassing solutions is all the more pressing in light of plastics' durability and the risks they pose to human health, particularly from microplastics.

Single-use plastics have a significant negative impact on the environment, but laws like the Single-Use Plastic Directive are helping to change that. However, difficulties in policy implementation continue, calling for

creative and long-term solutions that are adapted to the needs of local companies. Adopting reusable packaging, using biodegradable materials, and holding eco-friendly events are all viable options. Single-use plastics can be drastically reduced with the help of bulk dispensers and plastic-free movements. A smooth transition requires supplier cooperation, worker involvement, and community service.

References

Abalansa, S., El Mahrad, B., Vondolia, G.K., Icely, J. and Newton, A., 2020. The marine plastic litter issue: a social-economic analysis. *Sustainability*, *12*(20), p.8677.

Abalansa, S., El Mahrad, B., Vondolia, G.K., Icely, J. and Newton, A., 2020. The marine plastic litter issue: a social-economic analysis. *Sustainability*, *12*(20), p.8677.

Abril Ortiz, A., Sucozhañay, D., Vanegas, P. and Martínez-Moscoso, A., 2020. A regional response to a global problem: single use plastics regulation in the countries of the Pacific Alliance. *Sustainability*, *12*(19), p.8093.

Amankwah-Amoah, J. and Syllias, J., 2020. Can adopting ambitious environmental sustainability initiatives lead to business failures? An analytical framework. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *29*(1), pp.240-249.

Amulya, K., Katakojwala, R., Ramakrishna, S. and Mohan, S.V., 2021. Low carbon biodegradable polymer matrices for sustainable future. *Composites Part C: open access*, *4*, p.100111.

Appel, G., Grewal, L., Hadi, R. and Stephen, A.T., 2020. The future of social media in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing science*, *48*(1), pp.79-95.

Awan, U. and Sroufe, R., 2022. Sustainability in the circular economy: insights and dynamics of designing circular business models. *Applied Sciences*, *12*(3), p.1521.

Barrowclough, D. and Birkbeck, C.D., 2022. Transforming the global plastics economy: the role of economic policies in the global governance of plastic pollution. *Social Sciences*, *11*(1), p.26.

Beaumont, N.J., Aanesen, M., Austen, M.C., Börger, T., Clark, J.R., Cole, M., Hooper, T., Lindeque, P.K., Pascoe, C. and Wyles, K.J., 2019. Global ecological, social and economic impacts of marine plastic. *Marine pollution bulletin*, *142*, pp.189-195.

Becker, W. and Schmid, O., 2020. The right digital strategy for your business: an empirical analysis of the design and implementation of digital strategies in SMEs and LSEs. *Business Research*, *13*(3), pp.985-1005.

Cornago, E., Börkey, P. and Brown, A., 2021. Preventing single-use plastic waste: Implications of different policy approaches.

Cowan, E. and Tiller, R., 2021. What shall we do with a sea of plastics? A systematic literature review on how to pave the road toward a global comprehensive plastic governance agreement. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *8*, p.798534.

Cowan, E., Booth, A.M., Misund, A., Klun, K., Rotter, A. and Tiller, R., 2021. Single-use plastic bans: exploring stakeholder perspectives on best practices for reducing plastic pollution. *Environments*, *8*(8), p.81.

Cr, K., 2020. Research methodology methods and techniques.

Das, P., Gabriel, J.C.P., Tay, C.Y. and Lee, J.M., 2021. Value-added products from thermochemical treatments of contaminated e-waste plastics. *Chemosphere*, *269*, p.129409.

de Ruyter, K., Keeling, D.I., Plangger, K., Montecchi, M., Scott, M.L. and Dahl, D.W., 2022. Reimagining marketing strategy: driving the debate on grand challenges. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *50*(1), pp.13-21.

Di Paolo, L., Abbate, S., Celani, E., Di Battista, D. and Candeloro, G., 2022. Carbon Footprint of Single-Use Plastic Items and Their Substitution. *Sustainability*, *14*(24), p.16563.

Diagne, C., Leroy, B., Vaissière, A.C., Gozlan, R.E., Roiz, D., Jarić, I., Salles, J.M., Bradshaw, C.J. and Courchamp, F., 2021. High and rising economic costs of biological invasions worldwide. *Nature*, *592*(7855), pp.571-576.

Diggle, A. and Walker, T.R., 2022. Environmental and economic impacts of mismanaged plastics and measures for mitigation. *Environments*, *9*(2), p.15.

Dijkstra, H., van Beukering, P. and Brouwer, R., 2021. In the business of dirty oceans: Overview of startups and entrepreneurs managing marine plastic. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, *162*, p.111880.

Dionysus, R. and Arifin, A.Z., 2020. Strategic orientation on performance: The Resource based view theory approach. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, *24*(1), pp.136-153.

Doytch, N., 2020. The impact of foreign direct investment on the ecological footprints of nations. *Environmental and Sustainability Indicators*, *8*, p.100085.

Dźwigoł, H. and Dźwigoł-Barosz, M., 2018. Scientific research methodology in management sciences. *Financial and credit activity problems of theory and practice*, *2*(25), pp.424-437.

El-Jourbagy, J., Roessing, M., Hair, H. and Banks, C., 2021. Creating an Industrial Regulatory Framework to Reduce Plastics. *Berkeley Bus. LJ*, *18*, p.94.

Fuchs, D., Sahakian, M., Gumbert, T., Di Giulio, A., Maniates, M., Lorek, S. and Graf, A., 2021. *Consumption corridors: Living a good life within sustainable limits* (p. 112).

Ghosh, K. and Jones, B.H., 2021. Roadmap to biodegradable plastics—current state and research needs. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, *9*(18), pp.6170-6187.

Goodwine, K., 2019. The Ethics of Single-Use Plastics.

Habermann, J., 2020. *The consumer acceptance of primary packaging alternatives* (Bachelor's thesis, University of Twente).

Herberz, T., Barlow, C.Y. and Finkbeiner, M., 2020. Sustainability assessment of a single-use plastics ban. *Sustainability*, *12*(9), p.3746.

Hsu, C.C., Quang-Thanh, N., Chien, F., Li, L. and Mohsin, M., 2021. Evaluating green innovation and performance of financial development: mediating concerns of environmental regulation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*(40), pp.57386-57397.

Kannan, K. and Vimalkumar, K., 2021. A review of human exposure to microplastics and insights into microplastics as obesogens. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, *12*, p.724989.

Karimi, N., 2023. Assessing Global Waste Management: Alternatives to Landfilling in Different Waste Streams—A Scoping Review. *Sustainability*, *15*(18), p.13290.

Keller, E., Köhler, J.K., Eisen, C., Kleihauer, S. and Hanss, D., 2021. Why consumers shift from single-use to reusable drink cups: An empirical application of the stage model of self-regulated behavioural change. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, *27*, pp.1672-1687.

Khana, M.P., Talibb, N.A. and Kowangc, T.O., 2020. The development of a sustainability framework via lean green six sigma practices in SMEs based upon RBV theory. *Development*, *12*(5), pp.135-156.

Kumar, R., Verma, A., Shome, A., Sinha, R., Sinha, S., Jha, P.K., Kumar, R., Kumar, P., Shubham, Das, S. and Sharma, P., 2021. Impacts of plastic pollution on ecosystem services, sustainable development goals, and need to focus on circular economy and policy interventions. *Sustainability*, *13*(17), p.9963.

Kumar, R., Verma, A., Shome, A., Sinha, R., Sinha, S., Jha, P.K., Kumar, R., Kumar, P., Shubham, Das, S. and Sharma, P., 2021. Impacts of plastic pollution on ecosystem services, sustainable development goals, and need to focus on circular economy and policy interventions. *Sustainability*, *13*(17), p.9963.

Kumar, R., Verma, A., Shome, A., Sinha, R., Sinha, S., Jha, P.K., Kumar, R., Kumar, P., Shubham, Das, S. and Sharma, P., 2021. Impacts of plastic pollution on ecosystem services, sustainable development goals, and need to focus on circular economy and policy interventions. *Sustainability*, *13*(17), p.9963.

Lin, L.W., 2020. Mandatory corporate social responsibility legislation around the world: Emergent varieties and national experiences. *U. Pa. J. Bus. L.*, *23*, p.429.

Lucchetti, M.G., Paolotti, L., Rocchi, L. and Boggia, A., 2019. The role of environmental evaluation within circular economy: an application of life cycle assessment (LCA) method in the detergents sector. *Rigas Tehniskas Universitates Zinatniskie Raksti*, *23*(2), pp.238-257.

Mannheim, V., 2021. Life cycle assessment model of plastic products: comparing environmental impacts for different scenarios in the production stage. *Polymers*, *13*(5), p.777.

Mathew, A., Isbanner, S., Xi, Y., Rundle-Thiele, S., David, P., Li, G. and Lee, D., 2023. A systematic literature review of voluntary behaviour change approaches in single use plastic reduction. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *336*, p.117582.

Mentis, C., Maroulis, G., Latinopoulos, D. and Bithas, K., 2022. The effects of environmental information provision on plastic bag use and marine environment status in the context of the environmental levy in Greece. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, pp.1-22.

Mihai, F.C., Gündoğdu, S., Markley, L.A., Olivelli, A., Khan, F.R., Gwinnett, C., Gutberlet, J., Reyna-Bensusan, N., Llanquileo-Melgarejo, P., Meidiana, C. and Elagroudy, S., 2021. Plastic pollution, waste management issues, and circular economy opportunities in rural communities. *Sustainability*, *14*(1), p.20.

Moshood, T.D., Nawanir, G., Mahmud, F., Mohamad, F., Ahmad, M.H. and AbdulGhani, A., 2022. Sustainability of biodegradable plastics: New problem or solution to solve the global plastic pollution?. *Current Research in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, *5*, p.100273.

Nikiema, J. and Asiedu, Z., 2022. A review of the cost and effectiveness of solutions to address plastic pollution. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(17), pp.24547-24573.

Oberoi, G. and Garg, A., 2021. Single-Use Plastics: A Roadmap for Sustainability?. *Supremo Amicus*, *24*, p.585.

Ottosson, E. and Oweini, R., 2023. Developing a closed-loop supply chain to eliminate Single Use Plastic products: Implementing Circular Economy practices driven by EU commission directives.

Phelan, A.A., Meissner, K., Humphrey, J. and Ross, H., 2022. Plastic pollution and packaging: Corporate commitments and actions from the food and beverage sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *331*, p.129827.

Rompas, P.C., Nugraha, H.D., Febrina, V., Saroso, H., Syachari, D.H. and Diena, D., 2023. Should We Continue to Sell Green Products? A Case Study of Willingness to Pay More for Green Furniture Products. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 426, p. 02056). EDP Sciences.

Vadakkepatt, G.G., Winterich, K.P., Mittal, V., Zinn, W., Beitelspacher, L., Aloysius, J., Ginger, J. and Reilman, J., 2021. Sustainable retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, *97*(1), pp.62-80.

Villarin, T.S. and Cuaresma, P., 2020. Environmental Governance and the Ban on Single Use Plastics.

Villarin, T.S. and Cuaresma, P., 2020. Environmental Governance and the Ban on Single Use Plastics.

Walker, T.R. and McKay, D.C., 2021. Comment on “five misperceptions surrounding the environmental impacts of single-use plastic”. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *55*(2), pp.1339-1340.

Whitfield, J., Svobodova, P. and Webber, D.J., 2022. How Can We Reduce Conference Venues"Use of Single-use Plastics?. *Event Management*, *26*(7), pp.1577-1590.

Yoro, K.O. and Daramola, M.O., 2020. CO2 emission sources, greenhouse gases, and the global warming effect. In *Advances in carbon capture* (pp. 3-28). Woodhead Publishing.

Zangirolami-Raimundo, J., Echeimberg, J.D.O. and Leone, C., 2018. Research methodology topics: Cross-sectional studies. *Journal of Human Growth and Development*, *28*(3), pp.356-360.