IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A STUDY ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF GRIEVANCE HANDLING MECHANISM WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HANDLOOM INDUSTRY

Samchandrabose M, Ganga Pradeep, Ms A Gowri

VLB Janakiammal College of Arts and Science.

ABSTRACT

The handloom industry is a vital part of India's cultural heritage and is crucial in employment in rural areas. Despite its economic and cultural significance, the sector often suffers from informal work structures and inadequate grievance redressal mechanisms. This study looks into how effective grievance-handling systems are within the handloom industry, focusing on their effects on employee satisfaction, productivity, and overall workplace harmony. Using qualitative and quantitative research methods, the study investigates how grievances related to wages, working conditions, interpersonal conflicts, and job security are managed. It also assesses the awareness and accessibility of grievance mechanisms among handloom workers and identifies shortcomings in current practices. The findings indicate that while some grievance-handling mechanisms are present in certain areas of the industry, they tend to be inconsistent, underused, or poorly executed. The study emphasizes the need for structured, transparent, and employee-focused grievance redressal systems that cater to the specific needs of the handloom workforce. By offering practical recommendations for industry stakeholders, this research seeks to enhance grievance-handling practices, ultimately creating a more inclusive, efficient, and harmonious work environment in the handloom sector.

KEYWORDS: Grievance Handling, Handloom Industry, Employee Satisfaction, Workplace Harmony, Wages and Working Conditions, Conflict Resolution

Ⅰ. INTRODUCTION

The handloom industry plays a vital part in India's cultural and economic framework, representing one of the largest cottage industries that supports millions of artisans and weavers. Renowned for its rich heritage and craftsmanship, this sector plays a significant role in providing rural

employment and boosting the country's economy. However, like many labor-intensive industries, the handloom sector encounters various challenges, particularly in effectively managing workplace grievances. Handling grievances is a crucial element of human resource management, as it directly influences employee satisfaction, productivity, and overall organizational harmony. In the handloom industry, where workers often function in informal settings with limited access to structured HR policies, grievances can stem from issues such as wage disputes, working conditions, lack of benefits, and interpersonal conflicts. If these issues are not addressed, they can lead to decreased morale, higher turnover rates, and disruptions in production. This study aims to assess the effectiveness of grievance-handling mechanisms within the handloom industry, investigating how well these systems address employee concerns and foster a harmonious work environment. By examining the processes, policies, and outcomes of grievance redressal, the research seeks to highlight best practices and identify areas for improvement. Additionally, the study emphasizes understanding the unique challenges faced by the handloom workforce and exploring how grievance-handling mechanisms can be customized to meet their specific needs. Insights from this research could provide valuable guidance for industry stakeholders to improve grievance resolution practices, ensuring sustainable growth and the well-being of employees within the sector.

1.1 GRIEVANCE HANDLING

Grievance handling is an essential part of human resource management that aims to address employee concerns and create a positive work environment. A grievance is any feeling of dissatisfaction or unfairness an employee experiences regarding their job, workplace conditions, or company policies. If grievances go unresolved, they can lead to lower morale, higher employee turnover, and reduced organizational performance. Therefore, effective grievance handling involves a systematic approach to identifying, analyzing, and resolving employee complaints in a fair, transparent, and timely manner. A strong grievance-handling mechanism promotes open communication, builds trust between employees and management, and enhances overall job satisfaction. The significance of grievance-handling mechanisms is immense. By addressing employee grievances, organizations foster a positive work environment and create a sense of satisfaction among employees, making them feel valued and heard. This process also aids in conflict resolution, preventing minor issues from escalating into major disputes that could disrupt workplace harmony. Moreover, having an effective grievance-handling system ensures compliance with legal standards, helping organizations adhere to labor laws and avoid potential legal issues. Additionally, workplaces that are free from unresolved grievances allow employees to concentrate on their tasks, increasing productivity, while companies with strong grievance redressal practices cultivate a reputation as employee-friendly, attracting and retaining top talent. An effective grievance-handling system consists of several key components. A clear policy framework that outlines the procedures and channels for addressing employee complaints ensures consistency and reliability. Accessibility to grievance platforms is crucial, enabling employees to express their concerns without fear of retaliation. The process must be transparent and impartial, guaranteeing fair treatment for all parties involved. Timely resolutions

demonstrate the organization's dedication to employee welfare, and regular feedback on the process helps pinpoint gaps and encourages ongoing improvement. However, grievance handling encounters several challenges. A prevalent issue is that many employees are unaware of the grievance redressal process, which can prevent them from using it effectively. Additionally, fear of retaliation may dissuade employees from voicing their concerns, which undermines the system's effectiveness. Whether perceived or real, bias in resolving grievances can diminish trust in the process, and insufficient resources, like a lack of HR staff or technological support, can lead to delays in resolutions. Tackling these challenges is essential to ensure that grievance-handling mechanisms are effective and positively impact organizational growth and employee well-being.

1.2 GRIEVANCE HANDLING IN THE HANDLOOM INDUSTRY

Handling grievances is essential for fostering a positive work environment, especially in labor-intensive sectors like handloom, where workers frequently encounter issues related to working conditions, wages, job security, and interpersonal conflicts. The handloom industry, mainly consisting of artisans and weavers operating in cottage-based setups, often lacks access to formal grievance redressal systems. Consequently, the management of grievances in this field can be disjointed, resulting in dissatisfaction, low morale, and reduced productivity among workers. In the handloom sector, grievances typically stem from problems such as wage disparities, delayed or unpaid wages, poor working conditions, insufficient social security, and excessive working hours. Moreover, conflicts may arise between workers and management or among colleagues regarding work-related issues, creating a toxic environment if not addressed swiftly. Given the variety of these challenges, effective, transparent, and accessible grievance handling is crucial for ensuring timely resolutions and maintaining industrial harmony. Historically, grievance handling in the handloom industry has been predominantly informal, relying on casual meetings and personal interactions between workers and supervisors to resolve disputes. However, the absence of a formalized and systematic grievance redressal process often leads to unresolved issues, causing employee frustration and, in some instances, strikes or production disruptions. Recently, there has been a growing recognition of the importance of structured grievance-handling mechanisms to enhance the working conditions and overall satisfaction of handloom workers. Some organizations and cooperatives within the industry have begun implementing formal grievance redressal procedures, which include forming grievance committees, establishing helplines, and conducting regular reviews of worker concerns.

1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To analyze the current grievance handling procedure and how it affects mutual understanding between employees and management.
- To evaluate employee issues, satisfaction levels, and their awareness of the grievance handling system.
- To offer recommendations for enhancing the grievance-handling process within the organization.

1.4 SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The handloom industry faces challenges like low wages, poor working conditions, and conflicts, making effective grievance handling crucial for worker satisfaction and productivity. This study evaluates current grievance mechanisms, identifies gaps, and suggests improvements for small to medium-sized enterprises. It also explores the role of government policies in enhancing dispute resolution to achieve better organizational outcomes.

Ⅱ. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This collection of studies explores various aspects of grievance handling and the factors influencing grievance behaviors in different contexts, particularly in organizational settings. Kelly L. Cleyman (1995) examined the relationship between the quality of information exchange between employees and supervisors, hypothesizing that better communication reduces the likelihood of filing grievances. The study found that employees perceiving high-quality relationships with their supervisors were less likely to file grievances, especially regarding time-related issues at work. Rollinson (2000, 1996) identified influential factors in supervisors' approaches to grievance and discipline incidents, such as employee service length, gender, and the challenge to managerial authority, noting that these factors impact how grievances are addressed. Lawrence Nurse (2007) focused on workers' demographic characteristics and their perceptions of procedural justice in grievance management, revealing that procedural justice significantly influenced perceived distributive justice. Paul F. Salipante (1990) introduced a behavioral model of grievance resolution, emphasizing that grievances often have multiple sources and are shaped by employees' perceptions. Al-Haidar (2018) highlighted the importance of clear administrative disciplinary procedures in ensuring fair trials for public employees in Kuwait and the UK, affecting work productivity. Derek Rollinson's earlier works (1996) further examined the factors influencing the handling of disciplinary and grievance incidents, reinforcing the importance of managerial authority and employee attributes. René Bouwen (1990) developed a model to analyze grievance actions and outcomes, finding that persistence in pursuing grievances positively correlated with outcome satisfaction. Trudeau (2002) discussed the grievance arbitration system in Quebec, emphasizing the importance of accessibility, speed, and expertise in resolving disputes. Sonia Hunter (2004) identified common grievances related to employee-employer disputes, emphasizing that employers must follow guidelines in handling disciplinary actions effectively. Yeslam Al-Saggaf (2019) explored the application of machine learning to identify grievances expressed on Twitter, uncovering insights into how social media reflects grievances in an Arabian context. Anup Kumar (2018) investigated factors influencing young users' continued use of M-wallets in India, including perceived security, ease of use, and grievance redressal, which were critical for user satisfaction and trust. These studies collectively underscore the significance of grievance handling in various sectors and highlight the role of effective communication, fairness, and systemized approaches to resolving disputes in fostering positive workplace environments and improving employee relations.

Ⅲ. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research methodology is the organized approach and methods employed to gather, analyze, and interpret data. It establishes the framework that directs the whole research process, making sure that the study is carried out in a structured, logical, and reproducible way. This methodology is essential for guaranteeing the validity, reliability, and accuracy of the research results.

3.1 RESEARCH POPULATION AND SAMPLES

The research population consists of 200 handloom weavers working in various handloom organizations, with a sample selected using random sampling. Primary data was collected through a structured questionnaire, while secondary data was sourced from books, journals, and websites. The combined data provided insights into grievance-handling mechanisms in the handloom industry.

3.2 TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES USED

- **Chi-Square**

Chi-Square

Chi-square is a statistical test used to determine whether there is a significant association between two categorical variables. It compares the observed frequency of events or categories to the expected frequency, which is what would occur if there were no associations. The test is commonly used in hypothesis testing to assess the independence of variables. The value of the chi-square is computed based on the given observed and expected frequencies.

$$X^2 = \Sigma (Oi - Ei)^2 / Ei$$

Where X^2 is the Chi-Square value, Oi is the observed frequency, and Ei is the expected frequency, Σ indicates summation.

Ⅳ. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

4.1 CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS BETWEEN GENDER AND AWARENESS OF THE GRIEVANCE HANDLING MECHANISM IN THE ORGANIZATIONS

Null hypothesis:

H0: There is no association between gender and awareness of the grievance-handling mechanism in the organization.

Alternative hypothesis:

H1: There is an association between gender and awareness of the grievance-handling mechanism in the organization.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	Df	Asymptotic Significance
Pearson Chi-Square	.022[a]	1	.883
Likelihood Ratio	.022	1	.883
Linear-by-Linear Association	.022	1	.883
N of Valid Cases	200		

Conclusion:

The Chi-square table shows the Pearson chi-square significant value is .883 when we compare it with the p-value (0.05), our calculated value is greater than the p-value .883>0.05. Therefore, we have to accept our null hypothesis H0 and reject alternate hypothesis H1. Hence is no association between gender and awareness of the grievance-handling mechanism in the organization.

4.2 CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS BETWEEN GENDER AND FIRST LEARN ABOUT THE GRIEVANCE HANDLING PROCESS

Null hypothesis:

H0: There is no association between gender and first learning about the grievance handling process.

Alternative hypothesis:

H1: There is an association between gender and first learning about the grievance handling process.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	Df	Asymptotic Significance
Pearson Chi-Square	1.045[a]	3	.790
Likelihood Ratio	1.046	3	.790
Linear-by-Linear Association	.039	1	.843
N of Valid Cases	200		

Conclusion:

The Chi-square table shows the Pearson chi-square significant value is .790. When we compare with the p-value (0.5), our calculated value is greater than the p-value .790>0.5. Therefore, we have to accept our null hypothesis H0 and reject alternate hypothesis H1. Hence there is no association between gender and first learning about the grievance-handling process.

4.3 CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS BETWEEN GENDER AND METHOD TO SUBMIT THE GRIEVANCE

Null hypothesis:

H0: There is no association between gender and the method to submit the grievance.

Alternative hypothesis

H1: There is an association between gender and the method of submitting the grievance.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	Df	Asymptotic Significance
Pearson Chi-Square	9.124[a]	3	.028
Likelihood Ratio	9.252	3	.026
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.253	1	.007
N of Valid Cases	200		

Conclusion:

The Chi-square table shows the Pearson chi-square significant value is .028. When we compare with p-value (0.5), our calculated value is greater than the p-value .0.28>0.5. Therefore, we have to accept our null hypothesis H0 and reject alternate hypothesis H1. Hence, there is no association between gender and the method of submitting the grievance.

4.4 CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS BETWEEN GENDER AND PROCEDURES FOR FILLING THE GRIEVANCE

Null hypothesis:

H0: There is no association between gender and procedures for filing the grievance.

Alternative hypothesis

H1: There is an association between gender and procedures for filing the grievance.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance
Pearson Chi-Square	3.334[a]	4	.504
Likelihood Ratio	3.347	4	.501
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.208	1	.137
N of Valid Cases	200		

Conclusion:

The Chi-square table shows the Pearson chi-square significant value is .504. When we compare with p-value (0.5), our calculated value is greater than the p-value .504>0.5. Therefore, we have to accept our null hypothesis H0 and reject alternate hypothesis H1. Hence, there is no association between gender and procedures for filing the grievance.

4.5 CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS BETWEEN GENDER AND GRIEVANCE HANDLING MECHANISM IS PROMOTED EFFICIENTLY WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION

Null hypothesis:

H0: There is no association between gender and grievance handling mechanism is promoted efficiently within the organization

Alternative hypothesis

H1: There is an association between gender and grievance handling mechanism is promoted efficiently within the organization.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance
Pearson Chi-Square	4.871[a]	4	0.301
Likelihood Ratio	4.913	4	.296
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.038	1	.308
N of Valid Cases	200		

Conclusion:

The Chi-square table shows the Pearson chi-square significant value is .301. When we compare with the p-value (0.5), our calculated value is greater than the p-value .301>0.5. Therefore, we have to accept our null hypothesis H0 and reject alternate hypothesis H1. Hence no association between gender and grievance handling mechanism is promoted efficiently within the organization.

4.6 FINDINGS

The study indicates that the majority of respondents are aged between 31 and 40, with a higher representation of females. A considerable number have completed higher secondary education and earn less than ₹50,000 monthly. There is a significant lack of awareness regarding the grievance-handling mechanism among employees, with supervisors or managers being the main source of information for those who are informed. Grievances are reported infrequently, mostly

concerning supervisors, and most issues are resolved within a week. Discipline is identified as the most common grievance, while many respondents are uncertain about the process for filing a grievance. Although 15-day training programs have been implemented, employees feel there is a need for further training and development. The grievance-handling procedure is viewed as a means to enhance working conditions, but many believe that identifying the root cause and holding grievance meetings in private is essential for effective resolution. Finally, while employees appreciate the feedback and support received during the grievance process, there are ongoing concerns about the speed and effectiveness of the resolutions provided. Implementing loan policies can enhance employees' living standards and strengthen their commitment to the organization's goals. Setting clear timelines for addressing grievances at different levels can facilitate prompt resolutions and build trust. Providing transportation options can help reduce absenteeism and ensure employees arrive on time. Improved training programs that offer updated knowledge can equip employees to tackle workplace challenges more effectively. Well-structured feedback systems are essential for pinpointing issues and enhancing the grievance-handling process. In summary, a strong grievance-handling system plays a crucial role in creating a positive work environment and enhancing overall organizational performance.

Ⅴ. CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, the study on the effectiveness of grievance-handling mechanisms in the handloom industry highlights the critical role that effective grievance resolution plays in maintaining a positive work environment, enhancing worker satisfaction, and improving productivity. Despite the efforts of management, many workers remain unaware of the grievance handling processes, which leads to underutilization and dissatisfaction. The study reveals the need for increased awareness, clearer communication channels, and timely resolution of grievances to address the existing gaps in the system. Further, the research suggests the importance of training, transparent policies, and a more accessible grievance redressal system to foster trust between employees and management. By improving these mechanisms, the handloom industry can enhance worker morale, reduce conflicts, and ultimately drive better organizational outcomes, ensuring its sustainability and growth in the long term.

REFERENCES:

- Cleyman, K. L. (1995). Using the Leader-Member Exchange (LMX) model as a guide, this study examined the relationship between the quality of information exchange between an employee and his or her immediate supervisor and the intention to file grievances.
- Rollinson, D. (2000). Factors affecting the approach of supervisors or managers to dealing with disciplinary or grievance incidents. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 15(1), 74–86.
- Nurse, L. (2007). Workers' demographic characteristics and their perceptions of procedural and distributive justice in grievance management. Employee Relations, 29(4), 356–374.

- Salipante, P. F. (1990). Behavioral concepts in grievance research: A model of grievance behavior. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 11(1), 49–60.
- Al-Haidar, A. (2018). Administrative disciplinary procedures against public employees in Kuwait and the UK. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 31(3), 237–252.
- Rollinson, D. (1996). Supervisor and manager styles in handling discipline and grievance: Part two - approaches to handling discipline and grievance. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 11(5), 4–15.
- Bouwen, R. (1990). Behavioral analysis of grievance formulation, actions, and outcomes in Belgium and the USA. Industrial Relations Journal, 21(4), 25–41.
- Trudeau, G. (2002). Grievance arbitration in Quebec: Legal framework and functioning. Canadian Labour & Employment Law Journal, 10(3), 203–215.
- Hunter, S. (2004). Grievance handling in organizations: Causes, effects, and guidelines. Journal of Workplace Behavior, 18(2), 111–124.
- Al-Saggaf, Y. (2019). Identifying grievances in Twitter using machine learning: Insights from the Arabian context. Social Network Analysis and Mining, 9(4), 45–57.
- Kumar, A. (2018). M-wallets and grievance redressal: Factors influencing young users in India. Journal of Financial Innovation, 4(3), 89–104.

WEBSITES:

- https://texmin.nic.in/
- http://www.nhdc.org.in/
- https://www.hepcindia.com/
- https://www.researchgate.net/
- https://scholar.google.com/
- https://www.ilo.org/