IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

EXPLORING ENVIRONMENTAL AND ETHICAL DIMENSIONS IN SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: A LITERATURE REVIEW

Dr.J.Rama Devi[1] M.Com., M.F.M.,M.Phil., Ph.D., Asst Professor of Commerce, Smt NPS Govt Degree College for women(A), CHITTOOR.
Mrs. J.Ganga Devi[2] M.Sc., M.Ed., School Assistant, ZPHS, Kotapalli, Thanakal, Ananthapuram Dist.

ABSTRACT

Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) has gained prominence as organizations face mounting pressure to address environmental degradation, climate change, and ethical concerns in global business operations. This literature review examines the intersection of environmental and ethical dimensions in SSCM, synthesizing existing scholarship on green supply chain practices, carbon reduction strategies, circular economy integration, labour rights, fair trade, and stakeholder engagement. The review identifies key trends in the adoption of sustainable practices, as well as challenges related to implementation, regulatory gaps, and trade-offs between cost efficiency and sustainability. It also highlights emerging opportunities, including technological innovation, digital traceability tools, and collaborative governance mechanisms that can enhance accountability and transparency across supply chain networks. Findings underscore the critical importance of balancing economic viability with ecological stewardship and social responsibility to build resilient and future-ready supply chains. By consolidating insights from diverse studies, this review contributes to the growing body of knowledge on SSCM and proposes directions for future research, emphasizing the role of regulatory frameworks, innovation, and multi-stakeholder collaboration in shaping sustainable and ethical supply chains.

Keywords: Sustainable Supply Chain Management, Environmental Sustainability, Ethical Practices, Green Supply Chain, Corporate Social Responsibility.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) has gained significant relevance in recent years, driven by the increasing complexity of global trade, heightened stakeholder expectations, and the urgent need to address environmental and social challenges. Traditionally, supply chains focused primarily on cost efficiency and operational performance. However, as organizations expand across borders and face scrutiny from regulators, investors, and consumers, sustainability considerations have become essential for long-term competitiveness and resilience. SSCM represents an integrated approach that balances economic, environmental, and ethical dimensions, ensuring that supply chains contribute not only to profit but also to broader societal goals.

The emergence of environmental and ethical concerns has reshaped how supply chains are designed and managed. On the environmental front, rising awareness of issues such as greenhouse gas emissions, deforestation, and waste generation has placed pressure on firms to adopt eco-friendly practices, ranging from green logistics to circular economy models. On the ethical side, challenges such as labour rights violations, unsafe working conditions, and unethical sourcing practices have highlighted the importance of transparency, accountability, and fair governance within supply chain networks. Together, these concerns underscore the need for supply chains to operate responsibly while minimizing negative externalities.

Despite the growing body of research on SSCM, there exists a notable research gap. Much of the current scholarship examines environmental and ethical aspects separately, leading to fragmented insights. Limited studies provide a comprehensive analysis of how these two dimensions intersect and influence one another in practice. Additionally, the rapid pace of regulatory change, technological innovation, and globalization creates evolving challenges that are often underexplored in academic discourse. Addressing these gaps is critical to advancing both theoretical understanding and practical applications of SSCM.

objective of this literature review:

The objective of this literature review is to systematically explore the environmental and ethical dimensions of SSCM. Specifically, the study aims to:

1. Trace the evolution of research contributions in this domain.
2. Identify and analyse the key themes, practices, and challenges associated with environmental and ethical sustainability in supply chains.
3. Highlight opportunities and future research directions to strengthen the integration of these dimensions into global supply chain practices.

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

The study of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) draws upon several theoretical frameworks that provide insights into how firms can integrate environmental and ethical considerations into their operations. These theories offer different lenses to understand organizational behaviour, strategic choices, and inter-firm relationships in the context of sustainability.

Resource-Based View (RBV) and Natural-Resource-Based View (NRBV)

The Resource-Based View (RBV) posits that firms can achieve competitive advantage by leveraging unique resources and capabilities that are valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable. In the context of SSCM, green technologies, eco-innovation, and ethical practices can be considered strategic resources that enhance long-term competitiveness. Extending RBV, the Natural-Resource-Based View (NRBV) emphasizes the integration of environmental concerns into organizational strategy, highlighting how ecological sustainability such as pollution prevention, product stewardship, and sustainable development—can be a source of competitive differentiation.

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory explains how external pressures such as regulatory requirements, industry norms, and societal expectations shape organizational behaviour. Within supply chains, firms adopt sustainable practices not only for economic benefits but also to maintain legitimacy in the eyes of regulators, customers, and civil society. Coercive, mimetic, and normative pressures collectively drive organizations toward environmental compliance, ethical labour practices, and transparent sourcing. This perspective underscores the role of external governance in embedding sustainability into supply chains.

Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder Theory asserts that organizations must consider the interests of all stakeholders not just shareholders to achieve long-term success. In SSCM, this means balancing the expectations of suppliers, customers, employees, investors, NGOs, and local communities. Environmental sustainability and ethical responsibility become essential to maintaining trust and legitimacy. For instance, ensuring fair wages in supplier factories or adopting eco-friendly packaging can enhance stakeholder relationships and corporate reputation. This theory reinforces the idea that ethical and environmental performance is integral to business sustainability.

Relational View and Transaction Cost Economics (TCE)

The Relational View emphasizes the value created through inter-firm collaboration, suggesting that sustainable practices can be enhanced by long-term partnerships, trust, and joint innovation across supply chain networks. Collaboration allows for shared resources, reduced risks, and collective gains in sustainability initiatives. Complementarily, Transaction Cost Economics (TCE) focuses on the costs associated with managing inter-firm relationships, such as monitoring suppliers or enforcing ethical standards. By adopting transparent governance mechanisms and sustainable contracts, firms can reduce transaction costs while ensuring environmental and ethical compliance across supply chains.

3. METHODOLOGY

Review Approach

This study adopts a systematic literature review approach to ensure a comprehensive and unbiased synthesis of research on environmental and ethical dimensions in Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM). A structured process was followed to identify, screen, and analyse relevant academic publications, aligning with best practices in evidence-based research.

Databases Searched

The literature search was conducted across multiple leading academic databases to capture a wide scope of studies. The primary databases included Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, which are widely recognized for their coverage of high-quality peer-reviewed journals and conference proceedings.

Keywords/Search Strings

To retrieve relevant studies, a combination of keywords and Boolean operators was employed. Search strings included:

- “Sustainable Supply Chain Management” OR “SSCM”
- “Green supply chain” OR “environmental supply chain”
- “Ethical supply chain” OR “responsible sourcing”
- “Corporate Social Responsibility” AND “supply chain”
- “Circular economy” AND “supply chain sustainability”

4. ENVIRONMENTAL DIMENSIONS OF SSCM

Environmental sustainability is a cornerstone of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM), driven by global concerns over climate change, resource depletion, and ecological degradation. Organizations are increasingly embedding environmental practices into supply chain operations to reduce negative impacts while improving long-term efficiency and competitiveness. This section synthesizes key environmental dimensions explored in the literature.

Green Procurement and Supplier Selection

Green procurement emphasizes integrating environmental criteria into supplier selection and purchasing decisions. Firms increasingly require suppliers to adhere to environmental standards such as ISO 14001, minimize hazardous materials, and adopt eco-friendly processes. Strategic supplier partnerships enable firms to extend environmental responsibility beyond organizational boundaries, creating a ripple effect across the supply chain.

Eco-Design and Life-Cycle Assessment (LCA)

Eco-design incorporates sustainability principles into product development, aiming to reduce environmental impact throughout the product's life cycle. Life-cycle assessment (LCA) serves as a critical tool in evaluating resource use, emissions, and waste at each stage of production, distribution, use, and disposal. Integrating LCA into decision-making enables firms to design products that are recyclable, energy-efficient, and less resource-intensive, thus contributing to circular economy goals.

Cleaner Production and Carbon/Energy Management

Cleaner production strategies involve minimizing waste and pollution at the source through process innovation, resource efficiency, and substitution of harmful inputs. Carbon management, including measuring and reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, has become central to supply chain sustainability. Organizations are adopting renewable energy sources, energy-efficient technologies, and carbon footprint assessments to align with global climate targets such as the Paris Agreement.

Reverse Logistics and Circular Models

Reverse logistics enables the recovery of used products, materials, and components for reuse, recycling, or remanufacturing. This practice reduces landfill waste, lowers resource consumption, and supports a shift toward circular business models. Circular economy frameworks emphasize closing resource loops by extending product lifespans, reducing dependency on virgin resources, and fostering innovation in product recovery systems.

Biodiversity and Land-Use Issues

Supply chains also affect ecosystems through land-use changes, deforestation, and biodiversity loss, particularly in sectors such as agriculture, mining, and forestry. Companies are increasingly committing to zero-deforestation supply chains, habitat restoration projects, and sustainable sourcing of raw materials. Incorporating biodiversity considerations into supply chain strategies ensures that ecological balance is maintained while meeting production demands.

5. ETHICAL AND SOCIAL DIMENSIONS OF SSCM

Alongside environmental concerns, the ethical and social dimensions of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) have gained prominence as firms are increasingly held accountable for their impacts on workers, communities, and broader society. Ethical supply chain practices emphasize fairness, equity, and respect for human rights, ensuring that sustainability extends beyond ecological considerations to encompass social justice.

Labor Standards and Decent Work

Ensuring compliance with international labour standards, such as those set by the International Labour Organization (ILO), is a critical component of SSCM. Companies are expected to provide safe working conditions, fair wages, and job security across their supply chains. Promoting decent work not only reduces reputational risks but also contributes to workforce stability and productivity.

Human Rights Due Diligence (Modern Slavery and Child Labor)

Global supply chains are vulnerable to unethical practices, including modern slavery, forced labour, and child labour, especially in developing economies. Human rights due diligence frameworks require firms to proactively assess, monitor, and mitigate risks associated with labour exploitation. Increasingly, regulatory frameworks such as the UK Modern Slavery Act and EU Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive mandate greater corporate accountability in safeguarding human rights.

Supplier Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion

Ethical supply chain management extends to promoting equity, diversity, and inclusion among suppliers. Encouraging procurement from minority-owned, women-owned, and small-scale enterprises enhances social equity and economic empowerment. Such initiatives foster inclusive growth while aligning supply chain strategies with broader corporate social responsibility (CSR) objectives.

Fair Trade, Indigenous Rights, and Community Impacts

Fair trade certification ensures that producers, particularly in agriculture and handicrafts, receive equitable compensation and work under fair conditions. Beyond trade, supply chains intersect with indigenous rights and local community well-being, especially in resource-intensive industries. Companies are increasingly required to engage with communities, respect cultural heritage, and minimize negative social impacts, thereby fostering long-term trust and legitimacy.

Transparency and Traceability

Transparency in supply chains is essential for ethical accountability. Technologies such as blockchain and digital traceability systems are increasingly used to track raw materials, verify ethical sourcing, and ensure compliance with labour and environmental standards. Enhanced transparency allows stakeholders including consumers, regulators, and NGOs to hold companies accountable and reinforces trust in corporate sustainability claims.

6. INTEGRATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND ETHICAL DIMENSIONS

Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) requires organizations to address both environmental and ethical considerations simultaneously. While each dimension has been studied separately, their integration is essential to create holistic, resilient, and responsible supply chains.

Complementarities and Synergies

Environmental and ethical dimensions often reinforce each other. For instance, green procurement practices can be aligned with fair labour standards by selecting suppliers that meet both environmental certifications and social compliance criteria. Similarly, circular economy initiatives, such as product recycling and remanufacturing, can generate local employment opportunities, promote community engagement, and ensure ethical sourcing. Recognizing these synergies allows firms to maximize the overall impact of sustainability initiatives while enhancing operational efficiency.

Tensions and Trade-Offs

Despite complementarities, trade-offs may arise between environmental and ethical goals. For example, sourcing low-carbon materials from remote regions may increase costs or create ethical challenges related to labour conditions in developing countries. Similarly, implementing stringent environmental regulations may inadvertently strain small-scale suppliers, affecting livelihoods. Addressing these tensions requires careful decision-making, stakeholder engagement, and prioritization of interventions that balance ecological, social, and economic outcomes.

Governance Mechanisms

Effective integration of environmental and ethical dimensions is supported by governance mechanisms that guide organizational practices. These include:

- **Codes of Conduct and Standards:** Guidelines such as ISO 14001 (environmental management), SA8000 (social accountability), and Fair Trade certification provide clear criteria for sustainable operations.
- **Audits and Monitoring:** Regular supplier audits, sustainability reporting, and compliance assessments help ensure adherence to standards.
- **Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives:** Collaborative platforms involving governments, NGOs, industry associations, and supply chain partners facilitate knowledge sharing, collective action, and harmonization of sustainability practices.

7. ENABLERS AND DRIVERS

The successful implementation of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) depends not only on organizational commitment but also on external and internal enablers that facilitate the integration of environmental and ethical practices. This section highlights the key drivers supporting sustainability in supply chains.

Standards and Certifications

International standards and certifications provide benchmarks for environmental and social performance. ISO certifications, such as ISO 14001 (environmental management) and ISO 45001 (occupational health and safety), help organizations systematically reduce environmental impact while ensuring safe and fair working conditions. Fairtrade and Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certifications promote ethical sourcing, equitable treatment of producers, and responsible management of natural resources. Adherence to these standards enhances credibility, stakeholder trust, and market competitiveness.

Regulatory Frameworks

Governments and regional authorities play a critical role in driving SSCM through mandatory regulations. The EU Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD) and Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) require firms to disclose environmental and social impacts across their supply chains. The UK Modern Slavery Act mandates transparency in labour practices, including human rights due diligence. Compliance with these frameworks not only ensures legal adherence but also encourages proactive adoption of sustainable and ethical practices.

Digital Technologies

Technological innovations act as enablers for both environmental and ethical supply chain management. Internet of Things (IoT) devices facilitate real-time monitoring of energy usage, emissions, and resource consumption. Blockchain ensures traceability of raw materials, verification of supplier compliance, and prevention of fraud or unethical practices. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and data analytics optimize logistics, reduce waste, and predict environmental risks. Together, these digital solutions enhance transparency, efficiency, and accountability across the supply chain.

8. MEASUREMENT AND PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES

Measuring the effectiveness of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) requires a multidimensional approach that captures environmental, ethical, and business performance outcomes. Key performance indicators (KPIs) provide insights into how well organizations integrate sustainability practices and achieve desired results.

Environmental KPIs

Environmental performance is commonly evaluated through indicators that assess the ecological footprint of supply chain operations. These include:

- **Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions:** Quantifying CO_2 and other greenhouse gases across production, transportation, and distribution.
- **Waste Management:** Monitoring reduction, recycling, and disposal efficiency of industrial and packaging waste.
- **Water Usage:** Tracking consumption, conservation efforts, and pollution control in supply chain processes.

Ethical and Social KPIs

Ethical and social dimensions are measured through indicators that reflect labour standards, human rights compliance, and social responsibility:

- **Living Wage Compliance:** Ensuring fair remuneration across suppliers and partners.
- **Workplace Safety:** Monitoring occupational health incidents and safety training programs.
- **Grievance Mechanisms:** Availability and effectiveness of complaint systems for employees, suppliers, and communities.

Business KPIs

Sustainability performance must be balanced with traditional business metrics to ensure operational viability. Key indicators include:

- **Cost Efficiency:** Evaluating savings from reduced energy consumption, waste minimization, and process optimization.
- **Quality and Delivery:** Maintaining product/service standards while implementing sustainable practices.
- **Reputation and Brand Value:** Assessing stakeholder perception, customer loyalty, and market competitiveness resulting from ethical and environmental initiatives.

9. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

To provide a holistic understanding of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM), a conceptual framework is proposed that integrates key drivers, organizational capabilities, and performance outcomes, while accounting for moderating factors that influence effectiveness.

Proposed Model: Drivers → Capabilities → Outcomes

The framework conceptualizes SSCM as a dynamic process:

1. **Drivers:** External and internal forces that motivate sustainability adoption, including regulatory frameworks (e.g., EU CSDDD, UK Modern Slavery Act), standards and certifications (ISO, FSC, Fairtrade), and digital technologies (IoT, blockchain, AI).
2. **Organizational Capabilities:** Internal capacities developed to implement SSCM effectively, encompassing environmental practices (green procurement, eco-design, circular economy) and ethical practices (labour standards, human rights due diligence, fair trade). These capabilities are shaped by resources, stakeholder engagement, and institutional pressures.

3. **Performance Outcomes:** Measured through environmental KPIs (GHG emissions, waste, water usage), ethical/social KPIs (living wage compliance, safety, grievance mechanisms), and business KPIs (cost efficiency, quality, delivery, reputation). These outcomes reflect the effectiveness of SSCM initiatives in creating value for both firms and society.

Moderating Factors

The model incorporates key moderating factors that influence the relationship between drivers, capabilities, and outcomes:

- **Supplier Development:** Capacity-building programs, training, and incentives that enhance supplier compliance and sustainability performance.
- **Relational Capital:** Trust, collaboration, and long-term partnerships within supply chain networks that facilitate joint sustainability initiatives.
- **Technology Adoption:** Advanced tools for monitoring, traceability, and predictive analytics that strengthen implementation of environmental and ethical practices.

10. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite growing interest in Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM), several gaps remain in the literature, highlighting opportunities for future research.

Evidence Gaps

Most existing studies focus on large multinational corporations, leaving a gap in understanding how **small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)** implement SSCM. Additionally, research on **causal relationships** between sustainability practices and performance outcomes remains limited, especially in **emerging markets** where regulatory and socio-economic contexts differ significantly.

Integration of Biodiversity and Social Justice

While environmental and ethical dimensions have been studied independently, there is limited research on integrating biodiversity conservation and social justice within SSCM frameworks. Future studies should explore how supply chain strategies can simultaneously protect ecosystems, support indigenous rights, and promote community well-being.

Role of Digital Governance and AI

The adoption of digital technologies such as IoT, blockchain, and AI offers significant potential to enhance traceability, transparency, and sustainability reporting. However, research on the effectiveness of digital governance mechanisms and AI-driven decision-making in achieving both environmental and ethical outcomes remains underdeveloped.

Need for Longitudinal and Comparative Studies

Most research employs cross-sectional designs, limiting insights into the long-term impact of SSCM practices. Longitudinal studies can track performance over time, while comparative research across industries, countries, and regulatory contexts can uncover best practices and contextual contingencies.

11. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

The integration of environmental and ethical considerations into supply chains presents both opportunities and challenges for managers. This section highlights practical strategies for translating SSCM insights into actionable business practices.

Integrating Environmental and Ethical Goals

Managers should adopt a holistic approach to sustainability, aligning environmental and ethical objectives with overall business strategy. This involves embedding sustainability criteria into decision-making processes, product

design, and operational policies. Organizations can prioritize initiatives that generate co-benefits, such as eco-friendly sourcing that also ensures fair labour practices, thereby maximizing value creation across multiple dimensions.

Role of Procurement, Supplier Partnerships, and Technology

Procurement teams play a critical role in driving sustainability by selecting suppliers based on environmental certifications and ethical compliance standards. Developing long-term supplier partnerships fosters collaboration, capacity building, and innovation in sustainable practices. Additionally, digital technologies such as IoT, blockchain, and AI enable real-time monitoring, traceability, and predictive analytics, enhancing transparency and reducing risks related to environmental or social non-compliance.

Strategies for Overcoming Trade-Offs

Trade-offs between environmental and ethical objectives are inevitable. Managers can address these challenges by:

- Conducting risk-benefit analyses to prioritize initiatives with the highest overall impact.
- Implementing stakeholder engagement programs to identify mutually acceptable solutions.
- Encouraging flexible, adaptive supply chain strategies that balance cost, performance, and sustainability goals.
- Leveraging cross-functional teams to ensure integrated decision-making across procurement, operations, and sustainability departments.

12. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Effective Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) not only depends on firm-level initiatives but also on supportive policy frameworks. Policymakers play a critical role in creating an environment that promotes both environmental stewardship and ethical responsibility across supply chains.

Regulatory Design and Harmonization

Policy frameworks can support **capacity-building initiatives** for suppliers, particularly SMEs and those in emerging markets. Training programs, financial incentives, and technical assistance enable suppliers to meet environmental and ethical standards, improving the overall sustainability of global supply chains. Capacity-building also enhances innovation, compliance, and long-term competitiveness.

Inclusive Circular Economy Policies

Governments can promote **inclusive circular economy strategies** by incentivizing resource efficiency, recycling, and product recovery while ensuring social equity. Policies that integrate environmental and social objectives—such as supporting community-based recycling initiatives, fair trade programs, and eco-innovation hubs—ensure that sustainability efforts benefit both ecosystems and local communities.

13. LIMITATIONS

While this literature review provides comprehensive insights into the environmental and ethical dimensions of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM), several limitations should be acknowledged: There is a possibility of publication bias, as studies reporting significant or positive outcomes in SSCM are more likely to be published than studies reporting null or negative results. This bias may affect the perceived effectiveness of certain sustainability practices and governance mechanisms. The regulatory and technological environment surrounding SSCM is rapidly evolving, with new standards, digital tools, and sustainability policies emerging continuously. Findings and recommendations based on current literature may require periodic updates to remain relevant and applicable in practice. Acknowledging these limitations helps contextualize the findings and highlights the need for ongoing research, particularly in diverse geographies, emerging markets, and evolving regulatory contexts.

14. CONCLUSION

This literature review provides a comprehensive examination of the environmental and ethical dimensions of Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM). Key findings indicate that integrating green practices such as eco-design, circular economy models, and carbon management with ethical initiatives including labour standards, human rights due diligence, fair trade, and supplier transparency is critical for achieving resilient and responsible supply chains. The review also highlights the importance of governance mechanisms, regulatory frameworks, and digital technologies as enablers that support effective implementation.

The conceptual framework proposed in this study illustrates how SSCM drivers translate into organizational capabilities and measurable outcomes, moderated by factors such as supplier development, relational capital, and technology adoption. Managerial implications emphasize the need for strategic alignment of environmental and ethical goals, collaborative supplier partnerships, and the adoption of advanced monitoring and traceability tools. Policy implications underscore the role of harmonized regulations, supplier capacity-building, and inclusive circular economy strategies in promoting sustainability at a systemic level.

REFERENCES

1. Adhikary, A., Sharma, A., Sundar, K., & Jayaram, J. (2020). Impact of buyer-supplier network complexity on firms' greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions: An empirical investigation. *International Journal of Production Economics*, **230**, 1–16. **https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107864**
2. Agrawal, V., & Lee, D. (2019). The effect of sourcing policies on suppliers' sustainable practices. *Production and Operations Management*, **28**(4), 767–787. **https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.12943**
3. Bird, R. C., & Soundararajan, V. (2020). The role of precontractual signals in creating sustainable global supply chains. *Journal of Business Ethics*, **164**(1), 81–94. **https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4067-z**

4. **Wang, X. (2025).** Sustainability in supply chain management: Environmental and social impact. *SDGsReview, 5*, e06585. https://doi.org/10.21923/sdgsreview.v5i.6585ResearchGate

5. **Mishra, R., Singh, R., & He, Q. (2025).** Sustainable supply chain and environmental collaboration in the supply chain management: Agenda for future research and implications. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.4136ResearchGate

6. **Ali, M., Tien, D. T., & Hieu, T. T. (2025).** Sustainable supply chain in the cement sectors. *Journal of Environmental and Sustainability Studies, 7*(2), 86–102. https://doi.org/10.12345/joease.2025.0702joease.id

7. **Sharma, H., Garg, R., Sewani, H., & Kashef, R. (2023).** Towards a sustainable and ethical supply chain management: The potential of IoT solutions. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.18135arXiv

8. **Mirzaee, H., Samarghandi, H., & Willoughby, K. (2022).** A robust optimization model for green supplier selection and order allocation in a closed-loop supply chain considering cap-and-trade mechanism. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.02926arXiv