IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

SURVEY REPORT ON PLANT STRESS DETECTION

[1]Mrs.M.A. Paralikar,[2]Ayush Anil Gudhekar,[3]Dhruv Pritam Thorat, [4]Shivam Mohan Kotkar,[5]Shubham Sandip Sabale
[1]Sr.Lecturer,[2]Diploma Student,[3]Diploma Student,[4]Diploma Student,[5]Diploma Student
[1]Department of Information Technology,
[1]Pimpri Chinchwad Polytechnic College, Pune, India

Abstract: Catching plant stress early can significantly impact harvest health and crop commonly. Most traditional methods, such as checking soil moisture, canopy temperature, or vegetation indices, only identify stress after some damage has occurred. Recent research shows that plants communicate under stress through biomechanical and acoustic signals. Advance warning indicators may include tiny vibrations and ultrasonic sounds due to processes like xylem cavitation or insect feeding that are normal in actual environment.
This survey discusses current methods for detecting plant stress. It covers sensors and imaging tools as well as new vibration-based and audio methods that are more accurate. It talks about their potency, expenses, and limitations.

Keywords: Plant stress detection, IoT, acoustic emission, piezoelectric sensors, precision agriculture

I.Introduction
Every farmer knows the disappointment of catching crop stress too late. Whether caused by drought, heat, salty soil, or insect damage. Traditional detection commonly depends on visual checks or indirect indicators, which may delay necessary action. What if we could listen to plants directly? Many studies indicate that stressed plants emit both mechanical and acoustic signals that reveal problems before visible symptoms appear. This survey reviews existing methods, highlighting their trade-offs, and presents an IoT-based approach that may provide farmers with earlier and more dependable insights.

II.Need of the Study
There is too much focus on indirect indicators instead of direct signals.
Imaging methods are often too expensive and complex to use for smallholder farmers.
Few systems combine multiple sensing methods that leads to complexity.
There is a lack of real-time feedback systems that provide farmers with clear, actionable advice if stress is detected.
Conventional plant stress detection is still common and works well, but it often reacts too late, leading to losses in crops. Imaging and remote sensing improve accuracy but not affordability and complex.
Hence, there is a need for an system that detects stress before symptoms appear and provides real-time monitoring and recommendations.

III.Research Methodology
A. Background
Plants respond to stress in many ways that are measurable:
- Cavitation in xylem: When water transport fails or falls short, tiny ultrasonic clicks that are 20 to 100 kHz occurs normally in plants.
- Tissue vibrations: Insect chewing or physical strain creates low-frequency vibrations lower than 500 Hz.
- Spectral changes: Changes in pigments and water content alter how leaves reflect light.

Learning to capture and interpret these signals is essential for developing better real-time monitoring systems that would detect stress.
B. Existing Techniques
1.Conventional Sensor Methods
Soil moisture sensor are affordable and simple but don’t show plant condition directly.
Infrared thermography detects canopy heating, but results vary significantly with weather conditions.
Normalized Vegetation Index also know as remote sensing helps at the field scale but lacks precision at the individual plant level.

2.Imaging Approaches
Hyper-spectral cameras can detect small amount of stress signatures, but their cost and complexity often make them impractical for most farms.
Drone-based monitoring allows to work on large areas, but its effectiveness is limited by flight conditions and equipment costs.
3.Acoustic and Vibration Methods
Ultrasonic acoustic emissions: Research shows drought-stressed plants emit ultrasonic clicks that correlate with water stress.
Piezoelectric vibration sensors: These kinds of sensors can detect insect feeding or stem strain as they are under 500 Hz mostly, but background noise is a potential issue in actual environment.
4.IoT-Based Solutions
Most IoT platforms track environmental factors such as soil moisture or humidity. Few focus on the direct biomechanical signals that plants generate, which is an area ripe for exploration.
5.Machine Learning Integration
Image-based ML models categorize plant diseases from photos, tho this require high processing power.
Signal-based ML is growing, with TinyML models on microcontrollers capable of classifying stress sounds in real time.

IV.Results and Discussion

Method	Strengths	Weaknesses	Early Detection
Soil/Leaf Sensors	Low-cost, easy to use	Indirect, delayed signals	Low
Infrared Imaging	Non-invasive, scalable	Strongly weather dependent	Medium
NDVI/Drone Imaging	Covers large fields	Costly, slower response	Medium
Acoustic Emissions	Direct drought indicator	Needs special hardware	High
Piezo Vibration Sensing	Detects insects/mechanics	Sensitive to noise	High
IoT + ML Systems	Real-time, scalable	Power/connectivity limits	High

V.Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the valuable references that supported this survey.
The authors acknowledge the following contributions to background research and reference material:

- Tyree, M. T., & Zimmermann, M. H. (2002). *Xylem Structure and the Ascent of Sap.* Springer Series in Wood Science.
- Mishra, A., & Li, D. (2021). "Ultrasonic Acoustic Emission for Drought Stress Detection in Plants." *IEEE Sensors Journal.*
- Ponomarenko, A., et al. (2020). "Piezoelectric Detection of Insect Feeding Vibrations on Crop Stems." *Elsevier – Biosystems Engineering.*
- IoT-Based Agricultural Monitoring Reports and Datasets (IEEE Access, Elsevier, 2022–2024).
- Plant Stress Detection through Bioacoustics and MEMS Sensors (MDPI Sensors Journal, 2023).