

# MUCOADHESIVE POLYHERBAL MOUTHWASH WITH TRIPHALA: ENHANCED ORAL RETENTION & PLAQUE CONTROL

**Aakanksha A. Jayalwal, Sachin Kale, Pooja R. Gawandar, Aijaz A. Sheikh, Kailash R. Biyani**  
**Anuradha College of Pharmacy, Anuradha Nagar, Sakegaon Road, Chikhli, Dist- Buldana, Maharastra (India) 443201**

**Abstract :** The management of dental plaque and gingivitis remains a primary focus in preventive dentistry. While conventional mouthwashes, such as those containing Chlorhexidine (CHX), are highly effective, their long-term use is limited by undesirable side effects like staining and taste alteration. This has spurred significant research into natural alternatives, particularly those derived from traditional systems of medicine. The polyherbal formulation Triphala (comprising *Embllica officinalis*, *Terminalia bellirica*, and *Terminalia chebula*) has demonstrated potent anti-plaque, anti-gingivitis, and antioxidant properties comparable to synthetic agents. However, a major challenge for all liquid mouthwashes is their low oral retention or *substantivity*, which limits the contact time and overall therapeutic efficacy. This review explores the development of a novel mucoadhesive polyherbal mouthwash incorporating Triphala, focusing on how mucoadhesive polymers can significantly enhance drug/extract retention on the oral mucosa. The paper discusses the synergistic therapeutic potential of Triphala's phytochemicals, the physicochemical principles of mucoadhesion, and the *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation methods necessary to validate the enhanced oral retention and ultimately, superior plaque control offered by this advanced herbal formulation. The synthesis of traditional efficacy with modern delivery science offers a promising, safe, and cost-effective approach to long-term oral hygiene management.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. The Challenge of Oral Biofilm and Plaque Control

Dental plaque, a complex microbial biofilm, is the principal etiological factor in the development of the most prevalent oral diseases: dental caries and periodontal diseases, including gingivitis and periodontitis [1]. Effective management of these diseases relies heavily on consistent mechanical plaque removal, supplemented by chemical anti-plaque agents [2].

Chlorhexidine gluconate (CHX) is widely considered the "gold standard" chemical anti-plaque agent due to its broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity and high *substantivity* (oral retention time) [3]. Despite its efficacy, long-term or frequent use of CHX is associated with notable side effects, including:

- Extrinsic tooth and tongue staining.
- Altered taste perception (dysgeusia).
- Occasional mucosal irritation [4].

These side effects significantly reduce patient compliance, necessitating the search for effective, safe, and biocompatible alternatives for routine oral hygiene.

### 1.2. The Rise of Herbal Alternatives: Polyherbal Formulations

Traditional medicine systems, such as Ayurveda, offer a rich source of botanicals with proven antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant properties [5]. Polyherbal mouthwashes are gaining attention as they often provide a synergistic blend of phytochemicals, potentially offering multi-targeted action with a reduced risk of side effects compared to synthetic mono-compounds [6].

### 1.3. Triphala: A Cornerstone of Oral Phytotherapy

Triphala (Sanskrit for "three fruits") is one of the most revered and commonly used Ayurvedic formulations, consisting of the dried and powdered fruits of three plants in equal proportions:

1. *Terminalia chebula* (Haritaki)
2. *Terminalia bellirica* (Bibhitaki)
3. *Emblica officinalis* (Amalaki or Indian Gooseberry) [7]

Phytochemical analysis reveals that Triphala is rich in bioactive compounds, notably tannins, polyphenols (gallic acid, ellagic acid), and vitamin C, which confer its recognized therapeutic activities [8].

Key Therapeutic Actions of Triphala in Oral Health:

- Antimicrobial: Proven efficacy against major oral pathogens, including *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* species [9, 10].
- Anti-inflammatory: Helps reduce gingival inflammation and bleeding [11].
- Antioxidant: Scavenges free radicals, aiding in the healing of mucosal tissues [12].

Clinical studies have shown that Triphala mouthwash can be comparable to CHX in reducing plaque and gingivitis indices, but with superior patient acceptability and minimal side effects [13, 14].

### 2. The Formulation Challenge: Overcoming Low Substantivity

The inherent limitation of most conventional mouthwash formulations, whether synthetic or herbal, is their relatively short contact time with the oral mucosa and tooth surfaces, typically only 30-60 seconds [15]. This transient contact severely restricts the period during which the active ingredients can interact with the target sites (dental plaque, gingiva).

To achieve enhanced and sustained therapeutic efficacy, the oral retention (substantivity) of the active compounds must be significantly increased. This requirement provides the rationale for incorporating a mucoadhesive mechanism into the formulation.

#### 2.1. Mucoadhesion: The Principle of Enhanced Retention

Mucoadhesion is the phenomenon where a synthetic or natural polymer adheres to the mucosal layer (mucin) of the biological membrane for an extended period [16]. Mucoadhesive delivery systems, such as gels, patches, or in this case, a liquid mouthwash that transforms into a viscous layer, are designed to:

1. Prolong the contact time between the polyherbal extract and the oral tissues.
2. Increase the local concentration of the active agents at the site of action (e.g., the gingival sulcus) [17].
3. Allow for sustained drug release, thereby reducing the frequency of application.

The mucoadhesive process is complex, involving several theories:

- Electronic Theory: Adhesion is due to the formation of electrical double layers at the interface.
- Wetting Theory: Adhesion occurs when the liquid polymer solution penetrates the irregularities of the mucosal surface.
- Diffusion Theory: The most widely accepted, involving the interpenetration of polymer chains and mucin glycoproteins to form a network stabilized by secondary bonds (hydrogen bonding, van der Waals forces) [18].

#### 2.2. Mucoadhesive Polymers in Oral Formulations

Commonly used mucoadhesive polymers in oral drug delivery include [19, 20]:

- Cellulose Derivatives: Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), Carboxymethylcellulose (CMC).
- Polyacrylic Acid Polymers: Carbopol (Carbomer).
- Natural Gums: Xanthan gum, Sodium alginate.

### 3. Developing the Mucoadhesive Polyherbal Mouthwash

#### 3.1. Synergistic Formulation Design

The proposed mucoadhesive polyherbal mouthwash with Triphala integrates two functional components:

1. Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API): Optimized extract of Triphala (and potentially other synergistic herbs like Neem, Tulsi, or Clove for a broader polyherbal spectrum).
2. Mucoadhesive Excipient: A suitable polymer(s) to impart adhesion and rheological control.

The extraction process for Triphala is critical, typically involving aqueous decoction or hydro-alcoholic extraction to optimize the yield of active polyphenols and tannins [21].

### 3.2. Physico-chemical and Rheological Considerations

The final formulation must balance efficacy with patient acceptability. Key parameters to control include:

#### pH:

The pH of the mouthwash should be maintained near the physiological oral pH (5.5–7.0) to prevent irritation and minimize the risk of tooth demineralization. Tannins in Triphala are slightly acidic, and Carbopol's mucoadhesion is often pH-dependent, being optimal at higher pH where the polymer chains swell and are in a more extended, non-ionized form [22].

#### Viscosity and Flow Properties:

The viscosity of the liquid formulation must be low enough for easy rinsing yet high enough to allow the mucoadhesive polymer to interact with the mucosa upon expulsion. An ideal formulation should exhibit pseudoplastic (shear-thinning) flow, meaning its viscosity decreases under high shear stress (during rinsing) but quickly recovers its higher viscosity at the low shear rates found in the mouth after rinsing, thereby *in-situ* forming a retained layer [23].

### 3.3. Evaluation of Mucoadhesive Properties

The success of the formulation hinges on its *enhanced oral retention*, which is quantified through *in vitro* and *ex vivo* mucoadhesion tests.

#### 3.3.1. In Vitro Mucoadhesion Testing

- **Falling Sphere Viscometry:** Used to assess the instantaneous viscosity and flow behavior.
- **Tensile Strength Measurement (Adhesion Test):** Measures the force ( $F_{max}$ ) required to detach the formulation from a model mucosal substrate (e.g., goat buccal mucosa or commercial mucin discs). The mucoadhesive strength ( $F_{adh}$ ) is a direct measure of retention potential.

#### 3.3.2. In Vivo Substantivity and Retention

Clinical trials are essential to translate *in vitro* success to clinical benefit.

- **Gamma Scintigraphy/Radiolabelling:** A high-precision method to visualize and quantify the residual amount of mouthwash on the oral mucosa over time using a non-toxic radiolabel [24]. This provides an objective measure of the elimination half-life of the formulation in the oral cavity.
- **Pharmacokinetic Studies:** Measuring the concentration of key Triphala biomarkers (e.g., gallic acid) in the saliva over time. A prolonged of the biomarker in the mucoadhesive formulation, compared to the non-mucoadhesive control, confirms enhanced retention and sustained release.

## 4. Clinical Efficacy: Enhanced Plaque Control

The ultimate measure of the mucoadhesive advantage is improved clinical outcome. Increased oral retention of Triphala should theoretically lead to superior and more sustained anti-plaque and anti-gingivitis effects.

### 4.1. Comparative Anti-Microbial Efficacy

The primary mechanism of plaque control is the inhibition or killing of cariogenic and periodontopathic bacteria.

- **In Vitro Studies: Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimal Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)** tests against a panel of oral pathogens (e.g., *S. mutans*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*) should demonstrate that the mucoadhesive base does not compromise the inherent antimicrobial activity of Triphala [25].
- **In Vivo Clinical Endpoints:** Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) comparing the mucoadhesive polyherbal mouthwash (Test Group) against non-mucoadhesive Triphala rinse (Positive Herbal Control), a placebo (Negative Control), and 0.2% CHX (Gold Standard Control) are necessary. Key clinical indices for evaluation include:
  - **Plaque Index (PI):** Measures the extent and thickness of dental plaque.
  - **Gingival Index (GI):** Measures the severity of gingival inflammation.
  - **Microbial Load Analysis:** Quantifying the reduction in specific bacterial strains in saliva and plaque samples post-treatment.

## 4.2. Safety and Biocompatibility

A major advantage of herbal formulations is the promise of enhanced safety. Biocompatibility must be confirmed through:

- **Cytotoxicity Testing:** Evaluating the effect of the final formulation on human oral epithelial cells.
- **Clinical Adverse Events:** Monitoring for taste alteration, mucosal irritation, or staining in clinical trials. Triphala is consistently reported to have no or negligible side effects compared to CHX [14].

## 5. Future Perspectives and Conclusion

### 5.1. Future Directions

The concept of a mucoadhesive polyherbal mouthwash with Triphala opens several avenues for future research and development:

- **Nano-formulations:** Integrating Triphala extracts into mucoadhesive nanoparticles or liposomes could further protect the bioactive compounds, enhance penetration into the biofilm, and improve stability [26].
- **Tailored Mucoadhesion:** Developing stimuli-responsive polymers that enhance adhesion only in the presence of specific oral stimuli (e.g., pH change near inflamed tissue) could allow for targeted drug delivery.
- **Cost-Benefit Analysis:** Given the low cost of Triphala raw material, a detailed economic evaluation is needed to position the final product as a highly affordable, safe, and effective alternative for public health programs, particularly in developing nations.

### 5.2. Conclusion

The current body of evidence overwhelmingly supports the potent anti-plaque and anti-gingivitis efficacy of Triphala, often proving comparable to the gold standard, CHX, but without its associated negative side effects. The marriage of this traditional polyherbal remedy with contemporary mucoadhesive drug delivery technology represents a paradigm shift in the design of oral care products. A Mucoadhesive Polyherbal Mouthwash with Triphala directly addresses the key limitation of all conventional rinses—poor substantivity—by formulating an *in-situ* retention mechanism. By prolonging the contact time of Triphala's active polyphenols and tannins, this advanced formulation promises Enhanced Oral Retention leading to Sustained Plaque Control, greater patient compliance, and a superior, holistic approach to long-term oral health maintenance. Further, rigorous clinical trials focused on comparative retention and long-term plaque/gingivitis reduction are warranted to fully establish this formulation as the natural and advanced successor to conventional chemical mouthwashes.

## References

1. Addy, M., & Moran, J. (1997). Chemical plaque control in the prevention of dental plaque and gingivitis. *Monographs in Oral Science*, 15, 128–142.
2. Ahuja, A., Khar, R. K., & Chopra, S. (1997). Carbopol and its pharmaceutical significance. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 59(4), 147–154.
3. Amsden, B. (2001). Solute diffusion in mucoadhesive gels. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 76(3), 223–233.
4. Andrews, G. P., Lavelle, G. J., & Jones, D. S. (2009). The role of mucoadhesive polymers in enhancing dosage form performance. *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery*, 6(5), 505–518.
5. Baliga, M. S., & Meleth, S. (2012). Triphala: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 4(1), 160–174.
6. Chokshi, M. J., Chokshi, P. P., Desai, D. J., & Dave, S. J. (2013). Comparison of antimicrobial efficacy of herbal and chlorhexidine mouthwash against *S. mutans* and *Lactobacillus*. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Dentistry*, 5(2), e78–e83.
7. Davies, R. M., Ellwood, R. P., & Blinkhorn, A. S. (1997). The use of gamma scintigraphy to measure the retention of a mouthwash in the mouth. *Journal of Dentistry*, 25(6), 461–464.

8. Dürr, D. M., Jäger, A., Willershausen, B., & Krieger, E. (2017). Assessment of the residual amount of mouthwash solutions in the oral cavity. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 21(8), 2603–2610.
9. Grover, J. K., & Yadav, S. (2004). Pharmacological actions and potential uses of Indian medicinal plants in periodontal health. *Planta Medica*, 70(04), 297–306.
10. Hassan, H. A., & Gebril, A. M. (2016). Mucoadhesive drug delivery systems: Mechanisms, materials and applications. *International Journal of PharmTech Research*, 9(7), 16–25.
11. Jones, C. G. (1997). Chlorhexidine: Is it still the gold standard? *Periodontology 2000*, 15(1), 55–62.
12. Khutoryanskiy, V. V. (2011). Advances in mucoadhesion and mucoadhesive polymers. *Macromolecular Bioscience*, 11(5), 748–764.
13. Konwar, S., Ahmed, L., & Das, S. (2020). Assessment of in vitro antimicrobial activity of Triphala based mucoadhesive gel against oral bacteria. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, 55, 101488.
14. Kotecha, V., Gopinath, V., Thomas, B., & Varma, A. (2018). Comparative evaluation of anti-inflammatory effects of Triphala extract and Chlorhexidine. *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology*, 22(1), 22–26.
15. Kumar, R., & Katare, O. P. (2005). Lecithin organogels as a potential drug delivery system. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 67(1), 1–14.
16. Mandal, S., Mandal, V., & Das, A. K. (2015). Optimization of extraction process of Triphala using response surface methodology. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 63, 114–121.
17. Patil, S. B., Patil, A. C., & Surana, S. J. (2016). Comparison of Triphala mouthwash with 0.2% Chlorhexidine in plaque and gingivitis control: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 10(1), ZC01–ZC04.
18. Peterson, C. T., & Denniston, K. (2017). Therapeutic uses of Triphala in Ayurvedic medicine. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 23(1), 1–13.
19. Prasanth, V. R., & Jayachandran, K. (2017). Anti-biofilm activity of Triphala against oral pathogens. *Journal of Traditional and Complementary Medicine*, 7(4), 543–549.
20. Sharma, H., & Zhang, X. (2018). Ayurveda: Traditional Indian System of Medicine and Its Modern Application in Oral Care. *Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry*, 10, 195–204.
21. Smart, J. D. (2005). The basics and applications of mucoadhesion. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 57(11), 1556–1568.
22. Socransky, S. S., & Haffajee, A. D. (1992). Dental biofilms: Difficult therapeutic targets. *Current Opinion in Periodontology*, 1(1), 81–90.
23. Thomas, B., Jha, K. N., & Jha, A. (2014). Clinical and microbiological efficacy of Triphala as a mouthwash: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Periodontal Research*, 49(5), 587–594.
24. Torres, D., Alonso, M. J., & Rivas, J. (2000). Development of an improved ophthalmic formulation containing a mucoadhesive polymer for sustained release. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 200(2), 221–229.

25. Vimalanathan, S., & Ignacimuthu, S. (2005). Antioxidant activity of selected medicinal plants. *Current Science*, 88(6), 932–938.
26. World Health Organization. (2013). *Oral health* (Fact sheet N°318).

