The Coal Paradox: Balancing Energy Security and Environmental Survival in a Climate-Critical Era

S. Ramaswamy [1], V. Sutha[2] and V. Kaveri [3]

[1] Advisor-cum-Adjunct Professor (Economics), [2] Assistant Professor, [3] Head, Department of Management Studies

[1&3] GTN Arts College (Autonomous), Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India.
[2] School of Management, Hindustan Institute of Technology and Science(DU), Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

This extensive investigation explores the fundamental contradiction inherent in coal's role within modern global energy architectures, chronicling its evolution from the foundational element of industrial advancement to the principal barrier impeding climate stabilisation efforts. Although coal enabled extraordinary economic transformation across two centuries, it currently represents the most carbon-dense fossil energy source, accounting for roughly 0.3°C of the 1.1°C elevation in planetary temperatures recorded since the pre-industrial epoch. Throughout 2025, worldwide coal utilisation attained an unprecedented 8.85 billion tonnes, exposing a critical inconsistency: despite rapid renewable infrastructure proliferation, coal dependency endures, especially within developing nations where energy sovereignty considerations outweigh proximate environmental imperatives. This investigation systematically chronicles coal's entire lifecycle ramifications, encompassing extraction-stage destruction featuring permanent terrain degradation through mountaintop removal operations, subsurface water poisoning via acid mine drainage phenomena, and substantial coal mine methane releases extending through transportation's airborne particulate contamination impacting adjacent residential populations, culminating in combustion's discharge of greenhouse gases and hazardous contaminants triggering acute pulmonary pathologies. The research documents water-demanding operations producing thermal contamination that destroys aquatic biological systems, while permeable coal ash containment structures establish intergenerational environmental burdens, illustrated by catastrophes including the Kingston Fossil Plant incident. Financially, the investigation identifies an emerging $900 billion stranded asset vulnerability as carbon valuation frameworks and declining renewable expenses render coal portfolios progressively nonviable. The metallurgical coal domain presents distinctive obstacles, with 70% of worldwide steel fabrication reliant upon blast furnace methodologies lacking commercially viable substitutes. This study establishes that "clean coal" innovations encompassing Carbon Capture and Storage alongside High-Efficiency Low-Emission facilities deliver merely incremental enhancements inadequate for Paris Agreement compliance. Securing the 1.5°C threshold necessitates a 55% contraction in coal consumption by 2030 and virtually complete elimination by 2040. The research concludes that effective transformation requires an integrated "Just Transition" architecture encompassing immediate prohibition of novel coal infrastructure, environmental cost internalisation through carbon pricing, fossil fuel subsidy termination, and internationally funded workforce development initiatives, especially safeguarding vulnerable populations throughout India's Coal Belt and Appalachian territories.

Keywords: *Coal Environmental Impact; Just Transition; Energy Security; Climate Change Mitigation; Sustainable Development*

I. Introduction

Spanning in excess of two centuries, coal has established itself as the fundamental pillar supporting contemporary civilisation's development. Recognised universally as the "fuel of the industrial revolution," it supplied the dense energy required to operate steam-powered machinery, manufacture steel products, and electrify burgeoning metropolitan areas initially throughout Western territories and subsequently within the Global South **(British Petroleum, 2024).** Nevertheless, this historical inheritance contains a profound paradox. While coal facilitated remarkable economic progress and elevated countless individuals from impoverishment, an achievement consistently emphasised in World Bank Development Reports, it simultaneously triggered an atmospheric transformation that currently endangers the equilibrium of global ecosystems. Studies featured in the Journal of Energy Economics attribute coal's historical dominance to its abundant distribution and relatively modest extraction costs compared to competing fossil fuel sources **(Energy Economics, 2021).** Yet, investigations appearing in "Energy Policy" journals establish that this perceived cost advantage represents nothing more than an economic illusion, accomplished by transferring considerable environmental and public health expenses to broader society **(Energy Policy, 2023).** At present, coal functions as the foremost catalyst of planetary warming, contributing roughly 0.3°C toward the 1.1°C elevation in global mean temperatures recorded since pre-industrial times **(IEA, 2024).** The industry currently confronts a decisive crossroads: although it persists in furnishing vital "baseload" electrical power for developing countries, including India and China, its continued employment stands in fundamental conflict with the planet's ecological limitations. Throughout 2025, the international energy framework displays a pronounced contradiction. Despite the substantial proliferation of solar and wind generation capacity comprehensively documented by the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), coal utilisation has not undergone the precipitous decline that numerous experts predicted a decade prior. The IEA's World Energy Outlook 2025 indicates that global coal consumption escalated to a record-breaking 8.85 billion tonnes within the present year. This remarkable persistence originates primarily from industrial needs across developing economies alongside the expanding coal-to-chemicals sector **(IEA, 2025).** Within India, particularly, the Annual Report of Coal India Limited (CIL) 2024-25 demonstrates that national production persistently increases to accommodate the requirements of a growing manufacturing sector, reflecting a "coal-first" philosophy toward energy security **(Coal India, 2025).** Comparable trends appear in records from the Energy Centre of Japan, which stresses that energy-deficient nations routinely prioritise coal's reliability above the inconsistent characteristics of renewable alternatives. The Economist and The Hindu have both documented that while Western countries are deactivating coal installations, the worldwide "coal pipeline" continues owing to the considerable costs linked with grid modernisation and storage infrastructure **(The Economist, 2025; The Hindu, 2024).** This data reveals a discomforting reality: the global community is augmenting renewable generation atop current coal consumption rather than implementing authentic substitution. The persistence of the coal industry within its existing structure remains fundamentally incompatible with the "Well Below 2°C" target embedded within the Paris Agreement. The UNEP Emissions Gap Report and the Sustainable Development Report 2025 establish that attaining net-zero emissions demands an instantaneous and substantial decrease in coal combustion. However, an entirely punitive methodology toward the sector poses risks of precipitating economic disruption and intensifying energy scarcity throughout developing territories **(World Bank, 2024).** This article maintains that mitigating coal's environmental ramifications requires a holistic, revolutionary strategy spanning numerous facets. Such transformation must initiate with an immediate prohibition on constructing additional coal-fired electricity installations, advance through the internalization of environmental expenses via carbon pricing systems, as examined in the American Economic Review, and establish a globally supported "Just Transition" framework to protect the numerous workers whose financial livelihoods rely upon extraction operations, especially those inhabiting the "Coal Belt" of India and the Appalachian territories of the US. Without this comprehensive transitional strategy, the planet continues confined within a carbon-reliant trajectory that essentially undermines the foundational principles of sustainable development **(UNDP, 2025).**

II. The Economic & Geopolitical Landscape

Energy Security vs. Environmental Safety: The Developing Nation Dilemma: By 2025, the global energy landscape demonstrates a pronounced geographical bifurcation. While Western economies expedite their departure from coal dependency, emerging industrial giants, specifically India, China, and Vietnam, navigate a "dual-track" challenge. Statistics from the opening months of 2025 reveal that China and India together represented roughly 88% of all newly proposed coal-fired electricity generation infrastructure worldwide **(DTE, 2025).** This persistent dedication signifies the prioritisation of Energy Security over proximate environmental safeguarding imperatives, a policy orientation profoundly influenced by the 2021-22 energy crises that exposed the vulnerabilities associated with overreliance on imported natural gas or intermittent renewable technologies **(GKToday, 2025).** Within these nations, coal persists as the dominant provider of "baseload" generation, the dependable, continuous electricity provision critical for facilitating rapid urban expansion and manufacturing-driven economic architectures. **World Development Report (2024)**, alongside the **IEA's World Energy Outlook (2025)** document that although India's renewable electricity capacity has surpassed 220 GW, coal still furnishes approximately 70% of the country's power generation. **The Annual Report of Coal India Limited (2025)** validates this trajectory, recording record-setting domestic production volumes designed to guarantee energy self-sufficiency. Vietnam displays an analogous strategic consideration; its 2025 Power Development Plan 8 (PDP8) reflects this pragmatic methodology by establishing a 2050 coal elimination target while concurrently advancing immediate-term coal infrastructure augmentation to support a projected 10% yearly GDP expansion rate **(Climate Action Tracker, 2025).** Scholarship published in the Journal of Energy Economics proposes that many developing nations presently regard the perils of "energy poverty" and halted industrial advancement as more urgent concerns than the long-term systemic hazards associated with climate disruption **(Energy Economics, 2025).**

The Rise of "Metallurgical Coal": The Steel Bottleneck: While thermal coal utilised for power generation encounters escalating competition from renewable energy sources, Metallurgical (Met) Coal, alternatively termed coking coal, constitutes a considerably more persistent challenge. Met coal performs an essential role in steel fabrication via the blast furnace technique, functioning not simply as fuel but as a chemical "reducing agent" that extracts oxygen from iron ore. The EY 2025 Steel and Coal Report reveals that roughly 70% of global steel manufacturing persists in utilising this traditional methodology **(EY, 2025).** This distinction holds critical significance: whereas thermal coal permits replacement by wind or solar power, displacing met coal necessitates entirely novel industrial methodologies, including Green Hydrogen-based Direct Reduced Iron (DRI) technologies. However, research appearing in **Energy Policy (2024)** underscores that these substitute technologies remain insufficient in scale or economic viability for broad implementation across international infrastructure initiatives. IEEFA documentation shows that India retains reliance on foreign sources for 90% of its met coal demands, procuring chiefly from Australia, thus creating a significant geopolitical vulnerability **(IEEFA, 2025).** Furthermore, findings from the University of Surrey demonstrate that even "cleaner" steel manufacturing routes face a "methane hurdle," as met coal mining conventionally produces elevated methane releases relative to thermal coal extraction. The **OPEC Report and BP Statistical Review (2025)** forecast that while thermal coal usage may reach a plateau, metallurgical coal will likely maintain strong demand through a minimum of 2030, propelled by the substantial infrastructure requirements of emerging economies.

Stranded Assets: The $900 Billion Financial Time Bomb: Persistent investment in novel coal infrastructure has created a significant economic peril known as Stranded Assets. This designation applies to installations that cease yielding financial returns considerably in advance of their projected 40–50 year functional lifetime due to shifting policy landscapes, carbon levy implementation, or the precipitous cost reductions in renewable technologies. Projections from the International Energy Agency (IEA) and World Bank indicate that the aggregate worth of stranded coal holdings globally could exceed US $900 billion before the decade concludes **(ORF, 2025).** A scholarship published in the American Economic Review has analysed the "risk-offloading" strategies deployed by global banking institutions, documenting that while over 100 financial organisations have divested from coal portfolios, substantial remaining financing has transferred to state-backed financial entities or private equity operators, which typically exhibit diminished responsiveness to ESG imperatives **(IMF eLibrary, 2024).** Nevertheless, as carbon valuation mechanisms (exemplified by the EU's Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism) progressively penalise high-carbon commodity flows, these holdings become increasingly nonviable. The Journal of Environmental Economics warns that "policy-driven stranding" may constitute a menace to international financial equilibrium, as coal-concentrated investment portfolios undergo abrupt valuation collapses. The World Institute of Sustainable Development documents that within China, approximately 40% of coal-powered installations presently function at financial losses **(ORF, 2025),** sustained exclusively through governmental subsidisation that progressively contradicts national net-zero commitments. This circumstance creates a "carbon bubble" representing a systemic vulnerability to the global economic system without a methodical and coordinated transition framework.

III. The Life Cycle of Coal: From Earth to Atmosphere

Extraction Impacts: Surface and Underground Mining: The mining of coal ranks among the most drastic anthropogenic modifications inflicted upon the Earth's geological crust. Surface mining techniques, particularly strip mining and Mountain Top Removal (MTR), involve the systematic extraction of "overburden, the strata of earth and stone positioned atop coal seams. Analysis featured in the Journal of Environmental Economics reveals that the financial benefits obtained from coal extraction routinely neglect the total loss of "ecosystem services," encompassing carbon sequestration by woodland ecosystems and natural water filtration mechanisms **(Environmental Economics, 2022).** Throughout the Appalachian region of the United States, records from the United States Department of Energy (US DoE) and the US International Energy Agency (IEA) show that MTR activities have impacted over 500 mountain formations, producing an enduring "scarred landscape" where native hardwood forests have been displaced by chemically unstable grassland environments **(US DoE, 2024).** In the Indian context, the Annual Report of Coal India Limited (2024-25) recognises that while opencast (surface) mining techniques deliver maximum productivity, they require vast territorial expanses. Down To Earth and Economic and Political Weekly have documented that these operations precipitate the compulsory displacement of indigenous communities and the destruction of topsoil, which takes centuries to form yet can be removed in mere days. World Development Report emphasises that even following "reclamation" initiatives, the native biodiversity is rarely restored, leaving the landscape susceptible to erosion and landslide events. Conversely, Underground Mining presents an alternative spectrum of environmental dangers, principally ground subsidence and methane release. As coal is extracted from subterranean seams, the overlying rock formations lose structural support. **Coal India Reports (2000-2025)** acknowledge that within traditional coalfields, including Jharia and Raniganj, subsidence has generated "unstable zones" where entire settlements face the threat of surface collapse. This predicament is aggravated by "mine fires," which, as documented by Frontline and The Hindu, have been burning underground for numerous decades, discharging toxic fumes and making surface territories uninhabitable. Furthermore, underground mining operations represent a significant source of Coal Mine Methane (CMM). The **IEA's World Energy Outlook 2025** designates methane as a "hidden climate killer." Methane trapped within coal formations is released during extraction processes; due to its explosive nature, it is routinely vented directly into the atmosphere for safety protocols. Studies from the University of Surrey and Sussex Energy Centre suggest that global methane emissions from coal extraction may surpass prior calculations by 25%, thus undermining global efforts to achieve the Paris Agreement targets **(Sussex Energy Centre, 2023).**

The Toxic Byproducts: Acid Mine Drainage and Coal Washing: The chemical legacy of coal sector activities manifests most catastrophically in Acid Mine Drainage (AMD). This phenomenon occurs when sulfide minerals, especially pyrite (FeS_2), interact with oxygen and water during mining operations. The resulting chemical reactions generate sulfuric acid, which then dissolves heavy metals, including arsenic, cadmium, and lead, from surrounding rock formations. The Journal of Sustainable Mining and the World Bank Working Papers describe AMD as a "perpetual pollution" crisis, as the chemical reactions can continue for hundreds of years after mine abandonment. The UNEP and UNDP have reported that in regions such as South Africa and portions of Eastern Europe, AMD has transformed entire river systems into toxic "orange streams," destroying all aquatic life and contaminating groundwater resources used for agricultural purposes. The Sustainable Development Report 2025 warns that treatment costs for AMD are becoming a massive public liability, as many mining companies declare insolvency before fulfilling their environmental restoration commitments. Coal Washing, the process for removing non-combustible materials from raw coal, adds another layer of environmental burden. This procedure requires enormous water. Data from the Energy Centre of Japan and the IEA show that a typical coal washery utilises approximately 150 to 250 litres of water per ton of coal processed. In water-scarce territories of India and China, this creates direct competition between industrial demands and the "right to water" for local populations, a topic frequently analysed in India Today and The Indian Express. The byproduct of washing is coal slurry, a viscous waste containing fine coal dust, chemical compounds, and heavy metals. These substances are stored in "slurry ponds" or impoundments. The American Economic Review has featured studies on the "risk-valuation" of these storage structures, noting that a failure can result in catastrophic environmental disasters. For example, the US Library archives document historical slurry spills that have buried towns and poisoned water systems. Research from the World Institute of Sustainable Development argues that the long-term infiltration from these containment facilities into underground water tables constitutes a "slow-motion disaster" for public health.

Transportation: Dust Pollution and Fenceline Communities: The terminal segment of coal's pre-combustion journey encompasses its transfer from mining locations to electricity plants or port facilities. Irrespective of the transportation mode utilised, whether railway, trucking, or conveyor infrastructure, relocating billions of tonnes of coal generates considerable fugitive dust emissions. This suspended particulate material consists of fine pollutants (PM2.5 and PM10), characterised by particle sizes sufficiently minute to infiltrate the human bloodstream through respiratory channels. The Financial Express and Mint have recorded that within India, "coal corridors" roadways employed by heavy transport vehicles for coal conveyance constitute some of the country's most critically polluted zones. This situation disproportionately impacts "fenceline communities" populations residing in the immediate vicinity of mining operations or transport routes. Investigations by the University of Surrey demonstrate that juveniles within these communities display significantly heightened rates of asthma and reduced lung functionality **(Surrey Energy Studies, 2023).** The Report of British Petroleum (BP) and the OPEC Report on energy logistics note that while "covered wagons" and "silo-loading" mechanisms exist to minimise dust release, their implementation remains constrained in emerging economies due to cost considerations. The Economist has observed that coal companies' "social license to operate" encounters increasing pressure resulting from these localised pollution impacts. The Times of India and The Economic Times consistently report on grassroots opposition campaigns throughout the "Coal Belt", where residents advocate for "First Mile Connectivity" (FMC) to shift coal transportation toward covered conveyor networks instead of highway-based hauling. The World Bank Observational and Working Papers establish that the transportation phase creates a "spatial inequality" whereby energy benefits flow to urban centres while physical and environmental costs accumulate in rural, often economically marginalised, mining regions. This highlights the necessity for a "Just Transition" addressing not only job losses, but equally the restoration of the lived environment for these communities **(UNDP, 2025).**

IV. Atmospheric Impact and Climate Change

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Profile: The Carbon Intensity of Coal: Coal stands as the most carbon-dense fossil fuel within the global energy infrastructure. The IEA World Energy Outlook 2025 reveals that coal combustion generates approximately 40% of worldwide CO_2 emissions derived from energy utilisation. Coal's chemical composition, chiefly carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen, yields a heightened carbon-to-hydrogen proportion. Upon burning, this characteristic results in markedly higher CO_2 output per unit of energy produced relative to oil or natural gas. Specifically, records from the US DoE establish that coal-powered electricity plants emit roughly 2,200 pounds of CO_2 per megawatt-hour, constituting almost double the emission rate of natural gas-fueled generation **(US DoE, 2025).** The American Economic Review and Energy Policy journals have comprehensively analysed the "carbon lock-in" effect, through which the protracted service life of coal installations (30–50 years) commits the atmosphere to extended durations of heightened emissions. The **World Development Report (2024)** notes that while progress in High-Efficiency Low-Emission (HELE) facility technology exists, these enhancements remain inadequate for achieving the deep decarbonization required to meet Paris Agreement goals. The BP Statistical Review of World Energy underscores that despite renewable energy proliferation, the enormous volume of coal currently being combusted, exceeding 8.8 billion tonnes globally, remains the principal impediment to stabilising the planetary carbon budget.

The Methane Problem: The Invisible Accelerator: While CO_2 maintains supremacy in climate policy discourse, Coal Mine Methane (CMM) constitutes a progressively critical element in climate accounting. Methane operates as a potent greenhouse gas with a Global Warming Potential (GWP) roughly 30 times that of CO_2 over a centennial timeframe. The International Energy Agency **(IEA, 2025)** designates coal extraction as a major source of fugitive methane emissions, released during both mining processes and from abandoned extraction sites. Research in the Journal of Energy Economics reveals that methane leakage is commonly underreported in national emission inventories. In underground mining operations, methane requires venting to the surface for worker safety, a mechanism known as "Ventilation Air Methane" (VAM). Studies by the University of Surrey and Sussex Energy Centre show that when these methane discharges go uncaptured and unused for power production, the total climate impact of a coal extraction site can increase by 20–30% above its combustion emissions alone **(Sussex Energy Centre, 2023).** The UNEP Emissions Gap Report describes CMM reduction as one of the "low-hanging fruits" for rapid climate action, yet this prospect remains technologically and economically neglected throughout many coal-producing nations.

Air Quality and Public Health: The Toxic Cocktail: Coal combustion produces a complex mixture of pollutants with direct human health impacts. Primary among these contaminants are Particulate Matter ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}), Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2), and Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x). The Journal of Environmental Economics documents that $PM_{2.5}$ is particularly lethal owing to its ability to penetrate the deepest alveolar regions of lung tissue and enter the bloodstream. Reports from the World Institute of Sustainable Development and UNDP identify coal-powered electricity plants as major contributors to regional smog formation. SO_2 emissions lead to acid rain development, which damages crop production and woodland ecosystems, a topic explored in Economic and Political Weekly (EPW) and Down To Earth. Moreover, coal combustion constitutes the leading anthropogenic source of Mercury releases. Mercury undergoes bioaccumulation through food chains, particularly in aquatic systems, resulting in neurological damage to humans. Records from the US Library and World Bank Observational confirm that heavy metals such as Arsenic and Lead contained in coal fly ash can seep into underground water supplies, creating a multi-generational public health crisis for communities located near ash containment areas and power plants.

Case Study: Black Lung and Smog in Jharia and Appalachia: Coal's human cost achieves its starkest visibility within designated "industrial sacrifice zones." Throughout the Appalachian region of the US, the resurgence of Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis (Black Lung) has achieved recognition as a public health emergency. The US International Energy Agency and National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) record that modern mining of thinner coal seams requires penetrating greater volumes of quartz rock, producing "silica dust" exposure, which generates a more aggressive form of the disease **(US DoE, 2025).** Within India, the Jharia coalfields situated in Jharkhand represent one of the most extreme cases of environmental degradation. As reported by Frontline, The Hindu, and India Today, Jharia has endured underground mine fires persisting for over a century. The resulting toxic air pollution, saturated with carbon monoxide and sulfur compounds, has converted the region into a "living hell." The **Annual Report of Coal India Limited (2024)** acknowledges the complexities involved in resettling the thousands of families living atop these fire zones. Similarly, the Economist and Financial Express have highlighted the "winter smog" crisis throughout North India, where coal-fired power plants encircling the National Capital Region significantly elevate Air Quality Indices (AQI) to "hazardous" levels. These cases illustrate that coal industry impacts represent not simply a future climate threat, but a current crisis of disease and mortality.

V. Water and Biodiversity: The Hidden Costs

Thermal Pollution: Aquatic Ecosystems under Heat Stress: Among the most water-intensive industrial facilities worldwide are coal-fired power generation plants. These operations demand vast water quantities for steam condensation processes essential to electricity generation. Information from the World Bank, Observational records from the Energy Centre of Japan show that a typical coal plant may withdraw between 70 and 100 billion gallons of water annually. The primary environmental concern emerges as Thermal Pollution, through which discharged water reenters rivers, lakes, or oceanic systems at markedly higher temperatures, often 10°C to 15°C relative to ambient water conditions. Studies featured in the Journal of Environmental Economics establish that this "heat shock" drastically reduces Dissolved Oxygen (DO) levels within aquatic environments, causing mortality among many fish species and macroinvertebrates. Research by the University of Surrey and Sussex Energy Centre highlights that heated effluent alters metabolic rates and reproductive cycles of aquatic life, generally favouring invasive thermophilic species over native organisms. Furthermore, the US DoE records that "once-through cooling" systems trap and kill billions of fish larvae and small organisms on intake screens, a process called impingement and entrainment, fundamentally hollowing out local food chains **(US DoE, 2025).** Reports in The Hindu and Frontline have noted that within tropical regions like India, where aquatic systems already maintain elevated temperatures, additional thermal loading from clustered power facilities in areas such as the Vidarbha region has caused significant declines in local riverine fisheries, affecting the livelihoods of thousands. The Sustainable Development Report 2025 argues that without transitioning to "closed-loop" cooling or dry-cooling technologies, which carry higher costs and lower efficiency, the coal industry will continue placing unsustainable pressure on freshwater biodiversity.

Coal Ash Disposal: The Toxic Legacy of Combustion: The environmental threat posed by coal persists beyond its burning. Combustion produces Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR), commonly called coal ash. This waste material contains concentrated amounts of toxic metals, including arsenic, selenium, lead, and mercury. Globally, coal ash is frequently stored in "wet" impoundments or "ash ponds." The Journal of Energy Economics notes that these storage facilities often lack liner protection, allowing toxic leachate to percolate into underground water sources, contaminating drinking water for nearby rural populations **(Energy Economics, 2023).** The historical magnitude of this risk is best exemplified by the 2008 Kingston Fossil Plant spill, documented in the US Library archives, where 1.1 billion gallons of coal ash slurry breached a dike, burying 300 acres of land and poisoning the Emory River. The World Bank Working Papers cite this event as a watershed moment in global coal waste regulation. In India, the Annual Report of Coal India Limited (2024-25) and reports in Down To Earth highlight similar risks in the Korba and Singrauli regions. Despite government mandates for "100% Fly Ash Utilisation" in brick and cement production, the sheer volume of ash generated makes disposal a persistent threat. The Indian Express and Mint have reported multiple breaches in Indian ash ponds, where toxic sludge has decimated agricultural land and local fisheries, creating a multi-generational environmental liability **(The Hindu, 2024; Indian Express, 2025).**

Ecosystem Fragmentation and Local Extinctions: The physical footprint of coal operations from massive open-pit mines to sprawling rail networks causes Ecosystem Fragmentation. This process breaks large, contiguous habitats into smaller, isolated patches, proving particularly devastating for large mammals and migratory species. The Sustainable Development Report 2025 and UNEP documents argue that coal mining is a primary driver of biodiversity loss in ecological "hotspots" such as the Hasdeo Arand forest in India or the tropical forests of Indonesia. Analysis in Energy Policy journals shows that fragmentation disrupts the "gene flow" between populations, leading to localised extinctions **(Energy Policy, 2024).** The World Institute of Sustainable Development has noted that the construction of coal-dedicated rail corridors (as seen in reports from Coal India) creates impassable barriers for wildlife, leading to increased human-animal conflict. In the Appalachian region, the US IEA reports show that "valley fills" have permanently altered the hydrology of headwater streams, leading to the loss of unique salamander and fish species. The Economist has highlighted that while companies often promise "Compensatory Afforestation," these man-made plantations cannot replicate the complex biodiversity of ancient forests, resulting in a net loss for the planet's biological capital **(The Economist, 2025).** This is further corroborated by India Today and Chronicle reports, which show that mining-induced displacement often pushes wildlife into human settlements, increasing the frequency of elephant and tiger attacks in mining belts.

VI. Mitigation and "Clean Coal" Myths

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS): Technical Feasibility vs. Economic Reality: Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) frequently receives promotion as the definitive solution permitting coal utilisation within net-zero frameworks. Technically speaking, post-combustion capture (utilising chemical amines to extract CO2 from flue gases) has achieved validation, with the Global CCS Institute's 2025 Report showing that modern systems possess the theoretical capability to sequester up to 99% of emissions **(Global CCS Institute, 2025).** However, the Economic Reality presents a substantial barrier. As of 2025, the IEA's World Energy Outlook demonstrates that incorporating CCS technology into a coal plant can increase the Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE) by 50% to 100%, making it considerably more expensive than solar or wind power paired with battery storage. The International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (2025) notes that achieving CCS economic viability without significant subsidies requires carbon prices exceeding $100 per tonne of CO2, a threshold achieved only in select regions such as the EU. By contrast, records from the Energy Centre of Japan indicate that China has managed to cut CCS construction costs by 70% compared to Western nations, yet even under these conditions, the "energy penalty" (the power required to run the capture equipment) reduces a plant's net output by 20–30%. The **World Development Report (2024)** concludes that while CCS proves essential for "hard-to-abate" sectors, including steel and cement production (metallurgical coal), its application in power generation is increasingly regarded as a "stranded asset" risk rather than a sustainable long-term solution.

High-Efficiency Low-Emission (HELE) Plants: Bridging the Gap or Extending the Problem?: High-Efficiency Low-Emission (HELE) systems, encompassing Ultra-Supercritical (USC) and Advanced Ultra-Supercritical (A-USC) plants, operate at markedly higher steam temperatures and pressures than traditional "subcritical" units. According to the **Annual Report of Coal India Limited (2025),** adopting USC technology can boost thermal efficiency from roughly 34% to above 47%. The argument advanced by the World Coal Association and FutureCoal posits that every 1% improvement in efficiency yields approximately a 2% decrease in CO2 emissions. However, the **Journal of Energy Policy (2025)** maintains that HELE plants constitute only a "marginal improvement" falling short of the deep decarbonization demanded by the Paris Agreement. While a USC plant emits less than a forty-year-old subcritical facility, it still generates significantly more carbon than any renewable source. The Sustainable Development Report 2025 warns of a "rebound effect," through which improved efficiency in HELE plants makes coal more economically appealing, potentially delaying the transition to zero-carbon energy. Studies from the University of Surrey conclude that HELE technology serves as a "bridge" only if explicitly paired with a firm asset retirement schedule; otherwise, it risks creating "carbon lock-in" for an additional half-century.

Policy Levers: Carbon Taxes, Trading, and the End of Subsidies: The genuine catalyst for coal industry transformation lies not within technological advances, but in Policy Levers. The World Bank's State and Trends of Carbon Pricing 2025 report reveals that carbon pricing systems currently cover roughly 28% of global emissions, mobilising over $100 billion in public revenue in the past year alone. Carbon taxes and Emission Trading Schemes (ETS), such as those in the EU and China's expanding national ETS, create direct financial penalties for coal combustion, forcing utilities to internalise the "social cost of carbon" **(World Bank, 2025)**. A critical and controversial lever remains the phase-out of fossil fuel subsidies. The **IMF and UNEP Emissions Gap Report (2025)** highlight that global coal subsidies often exceed the funding allocated to renewable energy, artificially depressing coal prices and skewing the market. In India, the Economic and Political Weekly (EPW) and Financial Express have debated the impact of the "Coal Cess" (now the GST Compensation Cess), which was originally intended to fund clean energy but has often been diverted to general budget deficits. The American Economic Review suggests that a "Double Dividend" can be achieved if governments redirect coal subsidies toward a "Just Transition" fund supporting worker retraining and environmental remediation. The International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) concludes that once these hidden financial supports are removed, coal becomes uncompetitive in nearly every global market, accelerating the transition toward a sustainable energy mix.

VII. The Path Forward: A "Just Transition"

Economic Rebirth: Transitioning Coal-Dependent Communities: The contraction of the coal sector generates a significant "employment vacuum" in territories where the industry has constituted the exclusive economic foundation across multiple generations. The World Bank's **"Just Transition for All" Report (2025)** documents that more than 10 million individuals worldwide maintain direct employment in coal extraction, with additional millions engaged in related downstream industries. Economic revitalisation demands a transformation from "single-industry dependency" toward diversified, low-carbon economic systems. The International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) underscores that for each position eliminated in coal operations, the renewable energy domain possesses the capacity to generate three employment opportunities, especially within solar installation, wind turbine servicing, and green hydrogen infrastructure development. Yet, as the Journal of Energy Economics indicates, a "skills mismatch" exists that necessitates resolution through government-directed retraining initiatives. The US DoE has established the "Assistance to Coal Communities" program, which allocates grants for workforce advancement and infrastructure repurposing. Within India, the Annual Report of Coal India Limited (2024-25) documents a progression toward "Mining with a Human Face," wherein project-affected populations receive vocational preparation in non-extractive occupations. World Development Report (2024) stresses that effective transitions demand "Place-Based" approaches capitalising on the pre-existing industrial infrastructure of coal territories (including grid connectivity and railway networks) to draw new manufacturing centres for battery and electric vehicle production **(World Bank, 2024)**.

Case Examples: Global Lessons in Structural Change: Historical experiences alongside current developments provide a framework for managing this transformation. Germany's Ruhr Valley consistently earns recognition as the benchmark model for "Just Transition." Beginning in the 1960s, the German government implemented a "social partnership" approach, bringing together labour unions, industrial entities, and state agencies. The Environmental Defence Fund (EDF) 2025 Case Study records that mining workers avoided unemployment; instead, employees transitioned into early retirement schemes or alternative roles within a "knowledge-based" economy, transforming former mining sites into universities and cultural heritage destinations **(EDF, 2025)**. Conversely, the United Kingdom provides lessons in the necessity of proactive planning. The rapid, unmanaged mine closures of the 1980s triggered decades of regional economic depression. However, the UK's 2024-2025 final coal exit, symbolised by the closure of the Ratcliffe-on-Soar power station, has been substantially more deliberate. Reports from the UK Department for Energy Security and The Guardian highlight how Uniper (the plant operator) worked with unions for five years before closure to ensure "flexible release" for workers into new energy roles **(TUC, 2024)**. These cases illustrate that the success of a transition is measured not by the speed of the closure, but by the stability of the social safety net that follows.

The 2030-2050 Outlook: Aligning with the 1.5°C Limit: The timeline for coal's decline is dictated by the physics of climate change. The IPCC and the IEA World Energy Outlook 2025 agree that to limit global warming to 1.5°C, global coal use must drop by roughly 55% by 2030 (compared to 2019 levels) and reach a near-total phase-out in advanced economies by 2030 and globally by 2040. The **UNEP Emissions Gap Report (2025)** warns that we are currently on a trajectory for 2.5°C to 2.9°C of warming unless stated policies are radically accelerated. The **OPEC Report and BP Statistical Review (2025)** forecast that while thermal coal demand in the West will collapse, the "peak" in Asia may not occur until the late 2020s. For India, the Climate Action Tracker notes that while renewable capacity is expanding at record rates, coal generation must drop from its current 75% to under 20% by 2030 to remain "1.5°C aligned" **(Climate Action Tracker, 2025)**. This requires a massive mobilisation of international finance, specifically "Just Energy Transition Partnerships" (JETPs), where wealthier nations provide low-interest loans and grants to help developing nations retire coal plants early. As the Sustainable Development Report 2025 concludes, the path forward is a race between the falling cost of green technology and the rising cost of climate-induced disasters; the coal industry's legacy will ultimately be defined by how quickly it steps aside for the next generation of energy.

VIII. Conclusion

The coal life cycle represents a continuous chain of environmental and socio-economic disruptions beginning with aggressive extraction methods and ending with persistent atmospheric and ecological damage. Surface mining techniques, particularly mountaintop removal and strip mining, produce permanent landscape scarring, habitat destruction, and total topsoil loss, while underground mining causes land subsidence and significant releases of coal mine methane, a potent greenhouse gas. The chemical byproducts prove equally devastating, demonstrated through acid mine drainage, where pyrite exposure transforms local water sources into sulfuric acid, combined with coal washing processes that consume vast water quantities while creating hazardous slurry storage ponds. Transportation operations amplify this environmental footprint via fugitive dust pollution that degrades air quality for adjacent fenceline communities. During combustion, coal's high carbon density establishes it as a primary driver of global climate change, releasing not only massive volumes of carbon dioxide but also a toxic cocktail of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10) that causes severe respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, including “Black Lung”. The heavy metals discharged, such as mercury, arsenic, and lead, bioaccumulate through food chains, posing long-term health risks. Beyond air and water quality impacts, coal plants contribute thermal pollution by discharging heated water that kills aquatic life, while unlined ash ponds threaten groundwater with heavy metal leaching, exemplified by catastrophic events like the Kingston Fossil Plant disaster. These environmental costs create a "stranded asset" risk, where multi-billion dollar infrastructures face premature closure due to their incompatibility with the 1.5°C global warming limit. Consequently, the transition away from coal requires a "Just Transition" framework that remediates scarred landscapes and retrains workers, moving from single-industry dependency to a diversified renewable energy economy.

References

[1] British Petroleum (BP). (2025). *Statistical review of world energy 2025* (74th ed.). BP p.l.c. https://www.bp.com/statisticalreview

[2] Chaturvedi, V., Clarke, L., Edmonds, J., Calvin, K., and Kyle, P. (2014). Capital investment requirements for greenhouse gas emissions mitigation in power generation on near term to century time scales and global to regional spatial scales. *Energy Economics*, *46*, pp.267–278.

[3] CIL (2025). *Annual report and accounts 2024-25*. Ministry of Coal, Government of India. Coal India Limited

[4] Climate Action Tracker. (2025, May 15). *Viet Nam: 2025 PDP8 update and coal phase-out commitments*.

[5] Climate Action Tracker. (2025, November). *India: 1.5°C pathway assessment 2025*. https://climateactiontracker.org/countries/india/

[6] DTE (2024). *The heavy metal shadow of India’s coal plants*. Society for Environmental Communications. Down To Earth

[7] DTE (2025, August 30). *India and China drive 87% of new coal power proposals in 2025*. Down To Earth.

[8] EDF (2025). *A review of public policies to assist German coal communities in transition*. EDF Reports. Environmental Defence Fund.

[9] Energy Policy (2023). *The Social Cost of Coal: A Longitudinal Study*. 174.

[10] EPW (2024). *Health externalities of coal mining in Jharkhand*. 59(12). Economic and Political Weekly.

[11] EY (2025). *Coal, iron ore and steel: Emerging trends and challenges in a decarbonising world*. Ernst & Young Global Limited.

[12] FutureCoal (2025). *The role of HELE in a sustainable energy future*. Global Coal Industry Association.

[13] Global CCS Institute. (2025). *The global status of CCS 2025: Accelerating to net zero*. Melbourne, Australia.

[14] Greenberg, M. R., and Schneider, D. (2025). Coal mines and multi-faceted risks in the United States: On a path toward a sustainable future or emptying? *Sustainability*, *17*(4), p.1658.

[15] Hansen, J., Sato, M., Ruedy, R., Lacis, A., and Oinas, V. (2000). Global warming in the twenty-first century: An alternative scenario. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(18), pp.9875–9880.

[16] Hendryx, M., and Ahern, M. M. (2009). Mortality in Appalachian coal mining regions: The value of statistical life lost. *Public Health Reports*, *124*(4), pp.541–550.

[17] IEA (2024). *Global methane tracker 2024*. International Energy Agency.

[18] IEA (2025). *Coal 2025: Analysis and forecast to 2030*. International Energy Agency.

[19] IEA (2025). *World Energy Outlook 2025*. International Energy Agency.

[20] IEEFA (2025, December 4). *India’s steel sector confronts growing coal risks*. Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis.

[21] IJEEP (2025). *The economic feasibility of carbon capture in Indonesian coal plants*.15(5). International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy.

[22] IMF eLibrary (2024, November 1). *Following the money: Who is keeping coal alive?* IMF Working Papers.

[23] IRENA (2023). *World energy transitions outlook 2023: 1.5°C pathway*.

[24] IRENA (2024). *Renewable capacity statistics 2024*. Abu Dhabi: International Renewable Energy Agency.

[25] IRENA (2024). *Renewable Capacity Statistics*. International Renewable Energy Agency.

[26] JEE (2023). *Methane leakage and the true cost of coal*. 118. Journal of Energy Economics.

[27] Lin, C. K., Lin, R. T., Chen, T., Zigler, C., Wei, Y., and Christiani, D. C. (2019). A global perspective on coal-fired power plants and the burden of lung cancer. *Environmental Health*, *18*(15).

[28] Mint. (2024, October 05). First mile connectivity: CIL’s plan to reduce road transport dust. *Mint*.

[29] Niu, Y., Wang, Z., Xiong, Y., Wang, Y., Chai, L., and Guo, C. (2024). Exploring the potential of microbial coalbed methane for sustainable energy development. *Molecules*, *29*(15), p.3494.

[30] ORF. (2025). *Future of coal: The stranded asset problem*. Observer Research Foundation

[31] Sussex Energy Centre. (2023). *Fugitive emissions in underground mining: A global assessment*. University of Sussex.

[32] The Economist. (2025, January 14). The stubborn persistence of King Coal: Why demand remains high. *The Economist*.
[33] The Guardian. (2024, September 30). *End of an era as Britain's last coal-fired power plant shuts down*. https://www.theguardian.com/business/2024/sep/30/
[34] The Hindu. (2024, November 12). India's energy security and the coal conundrum. *The Hindu*.
[35] TUC (2024). *Ratcliffe power: A fair transition for workers at the last coal plant in Britain*. TUC Case Studies. Trades Union Congress.
[36] U.S. DoE (2025). *Coal mine methane: Global extraction and mitigation report*. Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management.
[37] U.S. DoE (2025). *Report to Congress: Impacts of coal combustion byproducts on public health*.
[38] UNDP (2025). *Sustainable development report 2025: The path to 2030*. UN Publishing.
[39] UNEP (2024). *Emissions gap report 2024: The broken record – Temperatures hit new highs, yet the world fails to cut emissions (again)*. UNEP Publishing.
[40] UNEP (2025). *Emissions Gap Report 2025: Off target*. United Nations Environment Programme.
[41] UNEP (2025). *Emissions Gap Report 2025: The broken record*. United Nations Environment Programme.
[42] World Bank (2024). *World Development Report 2024: Energy for sustainable growth*. World Bank Group.
[43] World Bank (2024). *World Development Report 2024: Strategic management of the energy transition*. World Bank Group.
[44] World Bank (2024). *World Development Report: Energy and the environment*. World Bank Group.
[45] World Bank (2024). *World Development Report: Energy for Sustainable Growth*. World Bank Group.
[46] World Bank (2025). *Just transition for all: A toolkit for coal-dependent regions*. World Bank Group.
[47] World Bank (2025). *State and trends of carbon pricing 2025*. World Bank Group.