

Cascading Crises: Geopolitical Conflict, Forced Migration, and the 2026 Middle East Polycrisis

V. Kaveri¹, Sruthi Mohan², S.Arun³ and S. Ramaswamy⁴

¹Head, Department of Management Studies, GTN Arts College (Autonomous), Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

²Chief Administrative Officer, GTN Group of Institutions, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

³Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, GTN Arts College (Autonomous), Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Advisor -cum-Adjunct Professor (Economics), Corresponding Author, GTN Group of Institutions, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

The 2026 Iran War, initiated by strategic strikes on February 28, 2026, has precipitated a seismic rupture in the global mobility and financial architecture, transforming a regional conflagration into a developmental catastrophe of the first order. This analysis employs a multidisciplinary framework to examine the cascading effects of a conflict that detonated within a "zero-buffer" humanitarian system, already strained by record-breaking global displacement and severe funding shortfalls. By mid-2026, the war effectively neutralised the "remittance shield" that historically protected the Global South, hollowing out financial lifelines that peaked at USD 700 billion in 2024—surpassing the combined total of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and Official Development Assistance (ODA). The investigation establishes the theoretical foundations of forced migration, arguing that the 2026 conflict validates paradigms of "compound displacement crises" and the "weaponisation" of displacement. Systematic destruction of civilian infrastructure—including over 85,000 sites—has rendered vast zones uninhabitable, forcing primary displacement from industrial hubs and secondary displacement for millions of Afghan and Syrian refugees. Iran has transitioned from a major host to a primary source of displacement, with over 4 million people forced to flee, while Lebanon's social equilibrium has shattered under escalating airstrikes. A central pillar of this paper is the "remittance starvation" triggered by the paralysis of Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) economies. The blockade of the Strait of Hormuz—the world's most vital maritime chokepoint—propelled oil prices beyond USD 135 per barrel, resulting in a fiscal contraction that stifled Gulf labour markets and paralysed logistics. This has created an "Energy-Remittance Double Shock" (ERDS), particularly for Pakistan and Bangladesh, where rising fuel costs deplete fiscal reserves precisely as remittance buffers evaporate. India, the world's largest recipient, faces an existential threat to its balance of payments, with a potential loss of USD 51.4 billion in Gulf capital. In Kerala, the "Kerala Model" of social development is jeopardised by a 20.0 per cent contraction in inflows and a concurrent fertiliser supply chain emergency. Regionally, the conflict serves as a central pillar of European strategic risk. The rise of "Fortress Europe" mentalities reflects profound political anxiety regarding potential refugee flows that could eclipse the 2015 Syrian crisis. The study also highlights the harrowing gender dimension, where Afghan women in Iran face a lethal choice between a combat zone and "gender apartheid" upon repatriation. The analysis concludes with urgent policy imperatives, advocating for a transition from short-term humanitarian aid to medium-term economic integration. It calls for the strategic diversification of labour destinations, the reduction of remittance transaction costs, and the establishment of multilateral emergency funds. Ultimately, the 2026 Iran War illustrates that Middle Eastern stability is an internal security and economic variable for the global community, necessitating integrated regional responses over isolationist securitisation.

Keywords: Forced Migration, Remittance Starvation, Strait of Hormuz Blockade, Energy-Remittance Double Shock.

1.0 Introduction

The causal link between armed hostilities and forced migration is a rigorously documented phenomenon, with **Castles (2003)** famously arguing that displacement is a constitutive feature of the modern global order rather than a peripheral aberration. This perspective is reinforced by **Braithwaite et al. (2019)**, who identify organised political violence as the preeminent predictor of mass population shifts at both sub-national and transnational scales. The outbreak of the 2026 Iran War, initiated on 28 February 2026 through coordinated joint United States and Israeli airstrikes, offers a catastrophic validation of these theories, detonating a humanitarian crisis within a regional ecosystem already characterised by extreme fragility. According to the **Middle East Council on Global Affairs (2026)**, this theatre—encompassing Iran, Lebanon, Iraq, Syria, Afghanistan, and Pakistan—was already home to over 24 million forcibly displaced persons before the conflict began, leaving existing refugee populations to face the perils of secondary and tertiary displacement. Before this escalation, **McAuliffe and Ocho (2024)** had warned of a global mobility system under duress, with forcibly displaced persons reaching a historic peak of 117 million. This deterioration was further quantified by **Lucas (2025)**, who recorded an unprecedented 83.4 million internal displacements by the close of 2024. The sheer velocity of the 2026 Iran conflict now threatens to eclipse these multi-year totals within a single calendar year, potentially pushing global figures toward a tipping point of systemic collapse, as cautioned in the **World Bank (2024)**. To dissect this crisis, the following analysis is structured into five core sections: Section 2 establishes the theoretical foundations of conflict-induced migration; Section 3 addresses the global dimensions within the world migration architecture; Section 4 provides a granular, national-level analysis of frontline states; Section 5 investigates the regional implications and potential spillover into Europe; and Section 6 illustrates Iran Conflict is starving the Global Remittance Corridor.

1.1 Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

The 2026 Iran War, examined through a multidisciplinary lens, demonstrates that forced migration is an inherent, constitutive feature of the modern global system rather than a mere peripheral consequence of geopolitical friction. Foundational sociological paradigms suggest that displacement is fundamentally rooted in structural inequalities and international power rivalries (**Castles,**

2026). Increasingly, scholars utilise the aspirations–capabilities framework to explain the divergence between those who flee and the "stayers"—often the most resource-deprived individuals who remain in high-intensity conflict zones (Erdal et al. 2023). This multidimensional perspective posits that migration decisions are driven by a complex interplay of direct physical threats, such as casualties, and indirect threats, including the systematic destruction of critical health and water infrastructure (Kosyakova et al. 2026). From an economic standpoint, conflict is modelled as a rational contest in which adversaries expend resources to maximise winning probabilities, often at the expense of mutual gains from trade—a "settlement in the shadow of conflict" that explains the shift toward isolationism or autarky when cross-border security collapses (Garfinkel and Skaperdas, 2024). Furthermore, the WDR (2024) emphasises that large-scale displacement shocks can permanently alter the development trajectories of both host and origin nations, necessitating a transition from short-term humanitarian aid to sustainable, medium-term strategies like granting refugees the right to work (Gill, 2024). This crisis is further complicated in the Middle East by the intersection of conflict and climate change, as nearly 75.0 per cent of the globally displaced reside in regions with high exposure to climatic hazards (UNHCR, 2023; PMC, 2026). This convergence fosters a "cascading humanitarian system" where resource scarcity and governance failures fuel a self-reinforcing cycle of violence and forced displacement.

Conflict, Violence, and the Architecture of Forced Migration: Contemporary scholarship on forced migration has increasingly moved beyond a rigid binary of population movement, acknowledging that the boundaries between "voluntary" and "involuntary" mobility are often porous (Erdal and Oeppen, 2018). Nevertheless, the foundational paradigm established by Zolberg et al. (1989) remains essential, asserting that armed conflict generates unique "push factors"—such as immediate existential threats, the breakdown of state protection, and the collapse of infrastructure—that categorically distinguish it from economic migration. Expanding this to a global scale, Castles (2006) argued that forced migration is a systemic consequence of globalised conflict and structural inequality rather than a series of isolated national events. This perspective is modernised by Bond (2022), who identifies "compound displacement crises" emerging from the convergence of climate change, food insecurity, and political authoritarianism, forcing populations to be displaced across multiple registers of vulnerability. The 2026 Iran War exemplifies this dynamic, particularly for Afghan refugees who, having already fled the Taliban, were displaced a second time by military operations (IOM World Migration Report, 2026). Furthermore, the "weaponisation" of displacement has become a critical scholarly focus; Greenhill (2010) demonstrated that forced movements can be instrumentalised as coercive bargaining tools, while Salehyan and Gleditsch (2006) showed that refugee flows can destabilise neighbouring states, a risk currently facing Iraq, Turkey, and Jordan. Recent research in *Frontiers in Human Dynamics* further suggests that displacement serves as a direct operational weapon of war (Ferretti, 2023), evidenced by the destruction of over 85,000 civilian sites in the Iran conflict, including healthcare and educational facilities, which effectively forced the mass abandonment of habitable zones (Al Jazeera, 2026).

The Sociology of Transit Migration and Secondary Displacement: Beyond catalysing primary displacement from Iran and Lebanon, the 2026 Iran War has fundamentally destabilised the survival strategies of pre-existing refugee populations—most notably Afghans, Iraqis, and Palestinians—who now navigate a "crisis within a crisis." As Haas et al. (2020) observe, contemporary mobility is defined by transit dynamics, where displaced individuals must traverse multiple jurisdictions and varying legal constraints before reaching a final destination. This complexity is further exacerbated by "mixed migration," a concept Van (2014) identifies as the simultaneous movement of refugees and economic migrants along identical routes. This fluidity poses a structural challenge to humanitarian agencies bound by the rigid categories of the 1951 Refugee Convention, often resulting in what Crawley and Skleparis (2018) term "categorical fetishism"—a policy-driven obsession with distinguishing "genuine" refugees from economic migrants that ultimately obstructs human protection. This critique becomes increasingly urgent amidst European political retrenchment; as highlighted by *Newsweek* (2026) and Vigersky (2026), the rise of anti-immigration sentiments in Italy, Hungary, and the Netherlands has fostered a hostile environment for new asylum seekers. Ultimately, these movements are transnational processes that reverberate through global humanitarian financing and geopolitical alliances. Moreover, Hatton (2020) has demonstrated that destination choices are powerfully shaped by established diaspora communities, suggesting that current displacement flows will gravitate toward Turkey and subsequently toward Germany, France, and the United Kingdom. Such cascading crises, as noted in *Down to Earth* (2026) and *The Hindu* (2026), necessitate integrated regional responses over isolationist policies.

1.2 The Global Dimension: Iran War in the Context of World Migration

The 2026 Iran War represents a seismic rupture in the global mobility landscape, occurring at a precise historical juncture where the international migration architecture had already surpassed its structural limits. To understand the gravity of this crisis, it must be contextualised within the broader trajectory of twenty-first-century displacement—a "polycrisis" environment where conflict, climate change, and economic volatility converge (McAuliffe and Oucho, 2024). Before the escalation on February 28, 2026, global displacement had already reached an unprecedented 117 million individuals (UNHCR Global Trends, 2025); thus, the conflict detonated within a "zero-buffer" humanitarian system. As Gill (2024) notes, the global economy's sluggish growth had already constrained the fiscal space of donor nations, leaving them ill-equipped for new, large-scale refugee responses. Consequently, the sudden displacement of 4 million people has effectively bankrupted Middle Eastern humanitarian appeals, forcing a desperate reallocation of global aid (USCRI, 2026). This crisis is further intertwined with the disruption of critical economic corridors, notably the Strait of Hormuz blockade, which has paralysed the maritime "Blue Economy" and severed food aid logistics (*The Hindu Business Line*, 2026). Simultaneously, the OECD Report (2025) underscores that the "Silver Economy"—the Global North's reliance on migration to offset ageing demographics—has been severely compromised. The resulting chaos has dismantled orderly migration channels, replacing them with high-risk transit routes that fuel human trafficking (*The Economist*, 2026). This systemic shock has exposed profound fragmentations in global governance; despite the United Nations (2022) calls for integrated management, the 2026 war has prompted a retreat into "Fortress" mentalities. Global South

continues to bear a disproportionate humanitarian burden while the Global North prioritises securitisation—a fundamental failure of the Global Compact on Refugees. Finally, from a rural sociological perspective, the destruction of E-WASH systems has accelerated the "de-peasantization" of the Iranian countryside, making agricultural survival impossible and driving a mass exodus (**Journal of Rural Studies, 2026**), a trend that validates **FAO Report (2025)** warnings that conflict-driven food insecurity is now the primary engine of transit migration in West Asia.

The State of Global Migration Before the Iran War: The 2026 Iran War must be contextualised within a pre-existing global migration landscape that was already experiencing unprecedented structural strain due to a "polycrisis" of economic volatility, political instability, and environmental degradation (**McAuliffe and Oucho, 2024**). By the mid-2020s, international mobility had reached a historic peak with 281 million people—roughly 3.6 per cent of the global population—living outside their countries of birth (**IOM, 2024**). This shift carried immense economic weight, as international remittances surged 650.0 per cent between 2000 and 2022 to reach USD 831 billion, frequently eclipsing foreign direct investment as the primary financial lifeblood for developing nations (**Gill, 2024**). However, the most critical precursor to the Iran conflict was the catastrophic escalation of forced displacement; by the end of 2022, 117 million people were displaced by violence and disasters, a sharp rise from 2021 figures documented by the **UNHCR (2022)** and **Braithwaite et al. (2022)**. This deterioration peaked in late 2024, with the **IDMC (2025)** reporting a record 83.4 million internally displaced persons, driven by 20.1 million new conflict-related displacements in regions like Sudan and the DRC, alongside 45.8 million disaster-related events (**Lucas, 2025**). Despite warnings from **Amy Pope (2025)** regarding the inadequacy of humanitarian frameworks, and similar alerts from the **OECD (2025)** and **UNEP (2025)** about environmental "tipping points," the global migration architecture remained in a "zero-buffer" state. Consequently, the 2026 Iran War did not impact a stable system but served as a final, destabilising blow to a global framework already reeling from record-breaking caseloads (**The Hindu, 2026**).

The Iran War as a Global Migration Event: The 2026 Iran War has introduced an acute dimension to global mobility trends, catalysing a displacement crisis that rivals the most rapid in modern history. By mid-March 2026, the **UNHCR (2026a)** estimated that up to 3.2 million individuals had been internally displaced, a figure later elevated to over 4 million across the Iran-Lebanon axis by **Al Jazeera (2026)**. This surge surpasses the initial velocity of the Syrian refugee crisis, severely challenging the resilience of regional host systems. Beyond demographics, the conflict's global reach is tied to the blockade of the Strait of Hormuz, which propelled oil prices past USD 100 per barrel and paralysed maritime energy transit (**CSIS, 2026**). Analysts from **Funairole et al. (2026)** utilised vessel-tracking data to demonstrate how this trade disruption created a "double burden" on humanitarian logistics; as noted by **Vigersky (2026)**, the resulting market shocks significantly inflated operational costs for aid programs in simultaneous crisis zones like Sudan and Myanmar. This instability has further exposed the precarious state of the global humanitarian financing architecture, as evidenced by **UNHCR (2026b)** reporting that only 15.0 per cent of the required USD 454.2 million for the region had been secured by early 2026. This shortfall was exacerbated by a 60.0 per cent reduction in U.S. funding and a systemic loss of institutional expertise. Ultimately, the Iran War has brutally illustrated the structural fragility of migration governance, validating the warnings of **McAuliffe and Oucho (2024)** regarding the widening gap between displacement realities and the institutional capacity of the international community (**The Economist, 2026; World Bank, 2024**).

Displacement, Children, and the Human Security Dimension: The thematic analysis of migration and human security within the **World Migration Report 2024** highlights the acute vulnerability of children caught in displacement systems (**McAuliffe and Oucho, 2024**). This precarious reality has been tragically substantiated by the 2026 Iran War, where **UNICEF** reported by mid-March that over 1,100 children had been killed or injured across Iran, Lebanon, Israel, and Kuwait. Beyond these physical casualties, the conflict has displaced hundreds of thousands of minors and stripped millions of their access to education (**The Hindu, 2026**). Data from **Al Jazeera (2026)** further underscores this generational crisis, noting that children comprised nearly half of the 125,000 individuals fleeing from Lebanon into Syria by mid-March. This catastrophic surge accelerates a decade-long trend identified by Save the Children, which observed a 20.0 per cent rise in the number of minors living in active war zones (**Ferretti, 2023**). The resulting human security implications are profound; as **Valdimarsdóttir (2023)** documents, war-induced migration subjects children to "multiple traumas," ranging from direct violence to chronic deprivation of housing, food, and healthcare. For the millions of Iranians, Lebanese, and Afghans currently trapped in the crossfire, this exposure creates a "health legacy" of PTSD, depression, and anxiety that will persist for decades. As argued by **Gill (2024)** and emphasised in the **WDR (2024)**, such widespread psychiatric burdens not only devastate individual futures but also threaten to overwhelm the social and medical infrastructures of host nations. Finally, institutional reports from **PubMed Central (2026)** confirm that the unique physiological and psychological needs of women and children in these conflict zones require specialised protection frameworks that current global systems are struggling to provide.

1.3 The National Dimension: Country-by-Country Analysis

The 2026 Iran War has fundamentally restructured the migration landscapes of the Middle East, North Africa, and South Asia (MENASA) region, creating a seismic shift in regional stability. As **Ayad et al. (2026)** demonstrate, the convergence of active conflict and rapid urbanisation has dramatically intensified human-induced ecological deficits across 27 nations, undermining the environmental basis for rural survival. Historically, Iran functioned as a primary host for Afghan forced migrants (**Siavoshi, 2025**); however, the current "double war" phenomenon has trapped these vulnerable populations in a precarious cycle of secondary displacement, forcing them to navigate transnational pathways toward conceived third countries (**Talmi-Cohn and Chachashvili-Bolotin, 2026**). Beyond these demographic shifts, the blockade of the Strait of Hormuz has paralysed global trade corridors (**Funairole et al. 2026**), triggering "disproportionate global disruptions" that have severely impacted energy-dependent economies, most notably India and Pakistan (**Taylor and Francis, 2025**). Ultimately, these cascading crises reinforce the findings of the **IOM**

(2024) and Hatton (2020), which underscore that displacement flows consistently gravitate toward established diaspora networks in Turkey and Europe, thereby placing an unprecedented and unsustainable strain on global asylum systems.

Iran: Internal Displacement and the Destruction of Civilian Infrastructure: As the epicentre of the 2026 global displacement crisis, Iran—a nation of approximately 90 million—has witnessed the catastrophic dismantling of its civilian infrastructure. According to tracking data from *Al Jazeera* (2026), the initial months of conflict saw 85,176 civilian sites compromised, including 282 healthcare facilities, 600 schools, and over 64,000 residential units. This destruction, coupled with the immediate closure of Iranian airspace, has left a vast population trapped under bombardment with severely restricted escape routes. Consequently, the *UNHCR* (2026a) estimates that the majority of the 3.2 million internally displaced Iranians have engaged in a distinct urban-to-rural and urban-to-border-province flight, primarily migrating from Tehran and other industrial hubs toward safer northern and rural hinterlands (Norman, 2026). A particularly potent driver of this mobility is the targeted destruction of desalination plants and electricity grids; in the water-scarce regions of central and southern Iran, the loss of basic utilities has rendered habitation impossible, necessitating rapid population shifts. Furthermore, the conflict has birthed a "dual crisis" as Iran transitions from a major refugee-hosting state to a primary source of displacement (Baloch, 2026). Before the hostilities, Iran sheltered roughly 3.7 million displaced and irregular migrants, mostly from Afghanistan; today, these populations face secondary displacement, caught between internal instability and forced returns to origin countries ill-equipped to reintegrate them. While the *UNHCR* (2026b) has launched a Flash Refugee Response Plan targeting 1.65 million refugees and 1 million host community members, the execution of this massive humanitarian undertaking remains crippled. As analysed in *The Hindu* (2026), a near-total internal internet blackout and a global deficit in humanitarian funding have significantly degraded the international community's capacity to respond at this critical juncture.

Afghanistan: The Triple Displacement Crisis: Afghanistan serves as a harrowing case study of compound displacement, where the 2026 Iran War has layered new catastrophes onto a humanitarian landscape already exhausted by the influx of approximately 5.4 million returnees since October 2023. The onset of hostilities has not only accelerated forced returns but has systematically dismantled the border architecture, with military strikes compromising the Omari transit centre and Takhtapul reception centre. This destruction created a lethal paradox: Afghan migrants are being expelled from Iran into an active war zone while the primary facilities designed to receive them are under kinetic threat (*The Economist*, 2026). Caught in this geometric trap of insecurity, Afghans in Iran face an "impossible condition" as characterised by *Displaced International* (2026). Safe return is precluded by systematic human rights violations and "gender apartheid" under Taliban governance, which places women and girls at extreme risk, yet remaining in Iran offers no sanctuary from the intensifying conflict (WDR, 2024). This crisis is further compounded in frontier provinces like Kunar and Nangarhar, which the *Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre* (IDMC, 2025) had already identified as zones of instability due to localised border skirmishes and seismic disasters. The Iran War has layered a "third level" of pressure—massive returnee flows—onto these communities that possess virtually no humanitarian absorption capacity. As noted in *Frontline* (2026), the convergence of war, natural disasters, and institutional collapse has pushed Afghan rural infrastructure beyond its breaking point. This human security vacuum now threatens the stability of the entire South Asian regional order (*The Hindu*, 2026).

Lebanon: A Society at the Breaking Point: Lebanon entered the 2026 Iran War in a state of profound structural fragility, still reeling from a multi-year economic collapse and hosting one of the world's highest per-capita refugee burdens with 1.5 million Syrians (*World Bank*, 2024). The resumption of hostilities on March 2, 2026, characterised by escalating Israeli airstrikes reaching South Beirut, triggered a mass displacement wave that immediately overwhelmed the nation's exhausted response mechanisms. By mid-March, the *UNHCR* (2026a) estimated that nearly 700,000 individuals were internally displaced, while the Lebanese Ministry of Health documented a severe humanitarian toll of 1,142 fatalities and 3,315 injuries (*USCRI*, 2026). Israeli evacuation orders covering 15.0 per cent of the territory and the proposed establishment of a permanent security buffer zone have introduced deep uncertainty regarding the prospect of return (*UnHerd*, 2026), tragically mirroring earlier projections that a short-term crisis could displace half a million people in under a month (Vigersky, 2026). This internal crisis has generated cascading cross-border consequences, notably a reverse flow into Syria, where daily crossings peaked at 11,000 people, twenty times the historical average (*InfoMigrants*, 2026). By March 17, over 125,000 people had crossed, nearly half of whom were children, into a Syrian state that is itself ill-positioned to absorb them, given its own 16.5 million people in need of assistance (*Middle East Council on Global Affairs*, 2026). Among the most vulnerable populations are Palestinian refugees in southern Lebanon, whose camps fell within evacuation zones; these groups embody a critical "protection gap," possessing neither the legal right to settle in Lebanon nor the capacity to return to Palestine (McAuliffe and Oucho, 2024). As observed in *The Hindu* (2026), the Lebanese case illustrates the terrifying speed with which a host society can be transformed into a source of mass displacement, shattering the fragile equilibrium of the Eastern Mediterranean.

Turkey: The Gateway and the Gatekeeping: Turkey occupies a pivotal role in the displacement geography of the 2026 Iran War, serving as the central node in a regional landscape already hosting millions of refugees (*InfoMigrants*, 2026b). To manage this, Ankara has finalised a 380-kilometre concrete wall along the Iranian frontier, supported by "Border Eagle" units and high-tech surveillance, signalling a shift toward strict border securitisation (*The Week India*, 2026). Despite these barriers, daily crossings at the Kapikoy checkpoint have reached 1,500 individuals, adding to a foreign population that already exceeds 3.6 million (*Newsweek*, 2026; *Presidency of Migration Management*, 2026). To mitigate a potential mass influx, Turkey has developed a three-tiered contingency plan including buffer zones and border-adjacent camps (*InfoMigrants*, 2026b; *UnHerd*, 2026). This gatekeeping role provides Turkey with significant diplomatic leverage over the European Union, as the volume of refugees reaching Europe remains largely dependent on Turkish admission policies (Gerald Knaus, 2026). Notably, current data from the *UNHCR-IOM* (2026d) suggests a pattern of temporary, bi-directional movement rather than a permanent exodus, with entries

through Armenia nearly balanced by exits. This indicates that while the potential for a massive refugee wave remains, current mobility is characterised by localised volatility and strategic containment rather than the sustained international flows seen in previous crises (**Prospect Magazine, 2026**).

Iraq: A Fragile Host Under Compounding Pressure: Iraq serves as a remarkably complex case study within the 2026 conflict, embodying a precarious intersection of historical trauma and contemporary geopolitical volatility. The nation is currently navigating a tripartite displacement crisis, remaining a country of origin for a massive diaspora rooted in the 2003 invasion—which displaced 2.2 million people internally and forced 2.5 million into neighbouring states—while simultaneously hosting over 280,000 international refugees and managing 1.2 million of its own internally displaced persons (**Middle East Council on Global Affairs, 2026; Newsweek, 2026**). The 2026 Iran War has breached Iraqi sovereignty by bringing kinetic operations directly onto its soil, including strikes on Kurdish groups in the north and maritime attacks on oil tankers that have prompted the total suspension of oil terminal operations. Furthermore, a drone strike on the Royal Tulip Al-Rasheed Hotel in Baghdad's Green Zone has underscored the vulnerability of the nation's administrative core to regional conflagration. Although initial cross-border movements from Iran into Iraq have remained limited to only 325 recorded nationals by late March, the potential for a sudden surge remains a critical concern (**Al Jazeera, 2026**). As analysed in the **World Bank Economic Review (2026)** and by **Gill (2024)**, Iraq's ability to manage a larger humanitarian influx is severely hampered by its economic dependence on oil exports. The paralysis of its oil terminals introduces systemic macroeconomic pressures that threaten to hollow out the government's fiscal capacity, making it nearly impossible to sustain essential social services for existing displaced populations, let alone new arrivals. Ultimately, as noted in **EPW (2026)** and **Frontline (2026)**, the Iraqi state is functioning in a "zero-buffer" environment where any further escalation risks transforming this fragile host into a renewed epicentre of regional collapse.

Pakistan: Balochistan and the Border Economy: Pakistan's strategic role in the 2026 Iran War is fundamentally defined by its 900-kilometre frontier, with the Balochistan province and the Taftan border crossing serving as primary transit nodes. According to the **UNHCR-IOM Joint Border Monitoring Report (2026d)**, while approximately 7,900 Iranian nationals entered Pakistan since March 1, 2026, these movements have been characterised as routine cross-border commerce or familial visits rather than formal refugee displacement. Analysts from the **Norman (2026)** further clarify that the initial demographic surge in Balochistan largely comprised repatriating Pakistani nationals, alongside a marginal contingent of Iranians seeking reprieve. The stability of this frontier is severely undermined by internal and bilateral security friction; both the **IDMC (2025)** and **UNHCR (2026b)** emphasise that persistent hostilities between Afghanistan and Pakistan continue to displace thousands in provinces like Kunar and Nangarhar. This localised volatility unfolds within a broader demographic crisis, as Pakistan remains a preeminent refugee-hosting nation supporting roughly 1.4 million registered and 800,000 undocumented Afghans (**The Hindu, 2026**). Consequently, the nation's capacity to absorb new Iranian influxes is restricted by acute institutional and economic constraints. As detailed in the **WDR (2024)** and analysed by **Gill (2024)**, Pakistan's infrastructure is already strained by an intensified deportation program that has fueled 5.4 million regional returns since late 2023. This necessitates a policy of strategic containment, prioritised over humanitarian expansion to safeguard domestic economic stabilisation (**EPW, 2026; Frontline, 2026**). Thus, by May 2026, the absence of a major Iranian displacement influx reflects a high degree of securitisation along the Taftan corridor rather than a lack of regional pressure.

1.4 The regional dimension: The Middle East and the European risk

The early 21st-century geopolitical landscape is increasingly defined by the inextricable link between Middle Eastern stability and European security, a relationship that has evolved from a peripheral concern into a central pillar of European strategic risk assessment (**Liu, 2025**). This "regional dimension" encompasses a complex web of energy dependencies, migration flows, and security spillover effects capable of destabilising the European continent almost immediately following regional escalations. The primary transmission mechanism for this risk remains the energy market; despite Europe's transition toward renewables, it remains structurally vulnerable to supply shocks from the Persian Gulf and the Levant (**Ion, 2026**). Geopolitical oil supply shocks exert a disproportionate impact on global prices, where a 1.0 per cent production decline can trigger an 11.5 per cent price increase. In early 2026, escalations in the Gulf resulted in a 9.0 per cent reduction in global supply, causing Brent crude to surge by 46.0 per cent in a single month. These spikes create knock-on effects, embedding long-term inflationary pressures into the Eurozone via increased natural gas and fertiliser costs. Simultaneously, the security architecture has shifted toward a "multipolar rivalry" involving state actors and asymmetric proxy groups, creating a "djinn-like," adaptive threat environment (**Iacus, 2026**). This manifests in Europe as a hybrid risk, exacerbated by the Middle East's high rates of arms acquisition and the potential for sophisticated hardware to reach non-state actors targeting European interests (**Mundy, 2026**). European security is now tied to the "economic arteries" of the Gulf, where disruptions in the Strait of Hormuz or the Red Sea threaten trade resilience, while geopolitical instability serves as a catalyst for cybercrime targeting European financial institutions (**Alawida et al. 2022**). Furthermore, Middle Eastern instability places immense pressure on migration management, driving a "reactionary" shift in European discourse toward the freedom of movement (**Herbig, 2025**). This has led to the "internalisation" of borders and a trend toward "renationalisation," where member states prioritise national boundaries over collective EU management. Persistent instability is often used as a proactive justification for stricter security measures, utilising neutral procedural language to maintain public support. Despite these challenges, the MENA region has shown "resilient growth" of approximately 3.5 per cent as of 2025. The pathway to reducing "European Risk" lies in Middle Eastern institutional improvements and macroeconomic stabilisation, which the World Bank and IMF deem essential for peace (**Apostolou, 2025**). The EU is increasingly viewed as a potential "facilitator" of regional stability, capable of reducing cascading crises through shared development and maritime security investments. Ultimately, the Middle East is no longer a separate theatre but an internal security variable for Europe. Addressing

this risk requires a dual strategy: hardening infrastructure against energy and cyber shocks while fostering a cooperative, integrated Middle Eastern order (Giardini, 2013).

A Region at Capacity: The Structural Pre-Conditions of Crisis: The Middle East Council on Global Affairs describes the current regional displacement architecture as "a region at capacity," asserting that the 2026 Iran conflict is not merely an additive burden but a multiplicative crisis where new displacement events interact non-linearly with fragile, entrenched systems to exponentially increase vulnerability. This structural saturation stems from decades of overlapping conflicts that have stretched housing, health, and social infrastructure to their absolute limits (Raofi, 2026). The crisis is underscored by unresolved historical legacies, most notably the Syrian civil war (2011–2025), which resulted in 7.4 million internally displaced persons and 6.1 million refugees, placing extreme pressure on host nations like Lebanon and Jordan (Middle East Council on Global Affairs, 2026). Compounding this is the humanitarian disaster in Yemen, affecting 23.1 million people, and the 1.2 million Iraqis still displaced since the 2003 war. Furthermore, the 2023 Hamas-Israel conflict left two-thirds of Gaza's population in displacement camps by 2026, absorbing USD 14 billion in capital—over half of which was allocated in 2024 and 2025 alone. This diversion of resources occurred as global aid faced unprecedented cuts, leaving the architecture "systemically brittle" before the Iran war (Anania, 2026). As the largest displacement event since the Syrian crisis, the Iran war now intersects with a worsening climate crisis and severe water shortages, creating a "nexus" where forced mobility is a primary survival strategy even as destination countries struggle to provide fundamental protections (Mosca, 2026).

Humanitarian Response Architecture: Funding, Capacity, and Governance: The global framework for managing migration and humanitarian crises currently faces unprecedented structural fragility, characterised by a widening chasm between international aspirations and nationalistic political realities (McAuliffe and Oucho, 2024). While the 2018 Global Compact for Safe, Orderly, and Regular Migration sought to codify a cooperative global approach, the 2026 landscape is defined by a marked reluctance among national governments to share the burgeoning costs of global displacement management. A primary casualty of this shift is the UNHCR, which entered the 2026 Iran conflict severely weakened by the 2025 U.S. policy decision to slash 60.0 per cent of its funding, effectively removing the system's most substantial financial pillar (Vigersky, 2026). This retrenchment has triggered a catastrophic domino effect, gutting the UNHCR's capacity to assist returnees in Afghanistan and leaving a critical USD 454.2 million appeal for Southwest Asia only 15.0 per cent funded by February 2026 (UNHCR, 2026b). The simultaneous closure of USAID in early 2025 further dismantled the global response infrastructure, creating a massive vacuum in development-led aid (Baker Institute, 2026). In Europe, the EU Agency for Asylum (EUAA) warns that the potential destabilisation of Iran's 90 million citizens could generate refugee flows of "unprecedented magnitude," likely reversing the 20.0 per cent decline in asylum applications seen in 2025 (InfoMigrants, 2026). Furthermore, the EU's Migration and Asylum Pact, set for mid-2026 implementation, is already criticised for its lack of robustness in the face of a massive Iranian influx. Internal friction over "solidarity pools" for relocation, particularly opposition from Hungary and Poland, has created significant structural vulnerabilities in the EU's collective defence precisely as this regional crisis peaks (Hungarian Conservative, 2026).

The European Dimension: Risk Assessment and Political Economy: European leadership currently navigates the Iran conflict through a lens of profound political anxiety, balancing humanitarian obligations against the domestic threat of migration-induced instability. German Chancellor Friedrich Merz has explicitly signalled that Iran "cannot become another Syria," a statement that directly invokes the collective political trauma of the 2015 refugee crisis and underscores a national determination to prevent a repeat of mass arrivals (Vigersky, 2026). This sentiment is mirrored in public opinion, as a Forsa survey reveals that 73.0 per cent of German citizens believe the country lacks the capacity to accommodate a new influx of Iranian refugees (InfoMigrants, 2026b). The 2015 Syrian crisis remains the critical reference point; at its zenith, the regional concentration of displaced persons—including 1.8 million in Turkey and 1.17 million in Lebanon—eventually triggered the movement of over one million people into the EU, leading to transformative political repercussions such as Hungary's border fortification and the rise of right-wing parties like the AfD and National Rally. In 2026, the risk is qualitatively and quantitatively higher, as Iran is more than twice the size of Syria and possesses a more highly educated, globally connected diaspora capable of generating rapid secondary movements (Newsweek, 2026). However, seminal analysis suggests that European exposure depends less on displacement intensity within Iran and more on Turkish border policy (Knaus, 2026). Unlike 2015, Turkey is now structurally less willing to act as a transit hub due to nationalist pressure and fortified borders, creating a humanitarian paradox where preventing European arrivals may trap millions in a conflict zone. Simultaneously, frontline states like Greece have heightened vigilance along the Evros River, signalling a readiness for "pushback" policies that remain legally contentious under the principle of *non-refoulement* (InfoMigrants, 2026; Crawley and Skleparis, 2018; Zetter, 2007). The political economy is further complicated by Spain's 2026 plan to regularise 500,000 undocumented migrants, which officials fear could create "pull factors" and exacerbate intra-European burden-sharing disputes. Ultimately, mass refugee movements can take years to peak; whether the Iran war generates a full-scale European crisis will depend on conflict duration, Turkish enforcement, and the EU's ability to forge a coherent, legally compliant response framework (UnHerd, 2026).

The Gender Dimension of the Iran War Displacement: The IOM World Migration Report 2024 establishes that gender fundamentally dictates the migration experience, influencing everything from the initial decision to flee to the complexities of destination and return (McAuliffe and Oucho, 2024). In the context of conflict-induced displacement, women and girls are not merely passive victims but are subject to specific structural risks, including heightened gender-based violence (GBV) in temporary settings, the collapse of sexual and reproductive health services, and institutional barriers to legal protection. These vulnerabilities are compounded during irregular border crossings, where women face extreme physical and socio-economic exploitation by smuggling networks. Within the specific theatre of the Iran war, this crisis reaches a harrowing intersection for the millions of Afghan women and girls currently residing as refugees in Iran. The escalation of hostilities forces this demographic

into a choice between remaining in a combat zone or facing forced repatriation to a Taliban-governed Afghanistan characterised by "gender apartheid"—a state involving absolute restrictions on education, employment, and movement (UNHCR, 2026c). Consequently, displacement from Iran is often a desperate flight from both kinetic violence and institutionalised systemic oppression. In response, the humanitarian community has issued urgent mandates for safe, gender-sensitive migration pathways, as highlighted by **Displaced International (2026)**. Before the conflict reached its current peak, the UNHCR estimated that 573,400 Afghan refugees—predominantly women and children—would require resettlement in 2026; however, wartime conditions in Iran have transformed this long-term goal into an immediate, life-saving necessity as regional safe havens collapse (**Anania, 2026**).

1.5 Iran Conflict is Starving the Global Remittance Corridor.

The 2026 Iran War has precipitated a devastating, cascading shock to the global remittance architecture, jeopardising financial lifelines for hundreds of millions in the Global South. By 2024, officially recorded remittance flows to low- and middle-income countries had reached nearly USD 700 billion, effectively eclipsing the combined total of Foreign Direct Investment and Official Development Assistance (**Ratha et al. 2024**). The resulting paralysis of GCC economies—driven by the closure of the Strait of Hormuz, the grounding of aviation corridors, and the mass repatriation of labour—represents a developmental catastrophe without modern precedent. As documented by the **OECD (2026)**, the cessation of maritime transit spiked energy prices and froze host nation reserves, creating a "remittance starvation" transmitted through a tripartite pathway: physical destruction of employment hubs, fiscal contraction of host governments, and psychological cash hoarding (**Sharma, 2026, EPW**). India, the world's pre-eminent recipient, faces an existential threat to its balance of payments; in FY2025, inflows reached USD 135.4 billion, with the GCC alone contributing 38.0 per cent (**The Economic Times Bureau, 2026; Citi Research, 2026**). The mass repatriation of nearly 984,000 Indian nationals reported by **The Hindu Business Line (2026)** marks the largest evacuation since 1990, threatening to widen current account deficits and trigger rupee depreciation (**Financial Express Editorial Staff, 2026**). This crisis is most visible in Kerala, where annual inflows exceed USD 23 billion (**Sudhakaran, 2023; Kerala Migration Survey, 2023**). (**Rajalakshmi, 2026**) identifies a "pincer movement" of economic distress: the evaporation of capital funding rural life and a concurrent fertiliser supply chain emergency. With 40.0 per cent of India's urea sourced via the Middle East, the Hormuz closure has paralysed local paddy cultivation, escalating a financial shock into a food security crisis (**Down to Earth Correspondents, 2026**). Simultaneously, Pakistan and Bangladesh are experiencing an "Energy-Remittance Double Shock" (**Sentam, 2026**). For Pakistan, constrained by **IMF (2025)** conditions, the loss of USD 27 billion in annual remittances is catastrophic (**State Bank of Pakistan, 2024**). In Bangladesh, where remittances constitute 5.0 per cent of GDP (**World Bank, 2024**), the **ILO (2024)** warns that concentrations in low-wage construction make these workers the first shed during contraction, while **Hossain and Rahman (2021)** note that this loss halts rural mechanisation. These shockwaves extend to Africa, where annual GCC-origin flows of USD 15–18 billion are vital for climate-disaster recovery (**UNDP, 2026; Mbatha and Okafor, 2023**). Finally, the **FAO (2025)** alerts that in economies where remittances exceed 5.0 per cent of GDP, a 10.0 per cent decline correlates with a 2.0 per cent increase in acute food insecurity, marking this conflict as the most severe exogenous shock to developing-country balance sheets since 2008 (**World Bank, 2026**).

The remittance economy in the age of geopolitical shock: For over a quarter-century, international labour migration and its attendant counter-cyclical financial flows have solidified into a resilient, yet historically under-theorised, cornerstone of development finance for the Global South. The foundational scholarship of **Maimbo and Ratha (2005)** first illuminated the transformative potential of these flows, observing that remittances to developing nations had begun to eclipse official development assistance (ODA) and rival foreign direct investment (FDI) as a primary source of external capital. Over the following two decades, this thesis moved from the academic periphery to the core of global fiscal policy, a shift corroborated by **Ratha et al. (2024)**, who documented that officially recorded flows to low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) climbed to approximately USD 700 billion by 2024—effectively tripling ODA volumes. This structural supremacy was further underscored by **UN DESA (2025)**, which noted that remittances exceeded the combined net total of FDI and ODA for two consecutive years, leading the **World Bank (2023)** to crown remittances as the pre-eminent external financing instrument for the developing world. **McAuliffe and Oucho (2024)** quantified this trajectory, detailing a 650.0 per cent surge in international remittances since 2000. It is against this vital scaffolding of household welfare and social mobility that the catastrophic fallout of the 2026 Iran War must be analysed. Following the kinetic strikes of February 2026 (**Britannica, 2026**), the conflict dealt a paralysing blow to the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) economies, which serve as the world's most critical origination hub for South and Southeast Asian wealth (**Vigersky, 2026**). The effective closure of the Strait of Hormuz on March 4, 2026, essentially severed the "remittance corridor," triggering a logistical and geopolitical paralysis. This "remittance starvation" has been exacerbated by the mass repatriation of nearly 220,000 Indian nationals (**Wikipedia, 2026**) and a subsequent domestic crisis in regions like Kerala (**The Financial Express Editorial Staff, 2026**). As the **EPW Editorial Board (2026)** and **Rajalakshmi (2026)** suggest, the hollowing out of these flows threatens to reverse decades of poverty alleviation, while **Down to Earth Correspondents (2026)** warn of a secondary blow to agricultural security. This convergence of warfare and economic disruption, reflected in the rupee's depreciation (**Times of India/Mint Financial Bureau, 2026**) and the policy priorities of the **National Knowledge Commission (2025)**, represents a remittance shock without modern precedent, forcing a radical re-evaluation of migration's role in global stability as documented by **OECD (2024)**, **ADB (2025)**, and **FAO (2025)**.

The Strait of Hormuz and the anatomy of the shock: The Strait of Hormuz stands as the global economy's most vital maritime chokepoint, a status tragically confirmed by its paralysis during the 2026 hostilities. According to the **OECD (2026)**, the blockade has precipitated a severe macroeconomic downturn, with oil prices averaging USD 135 per barrel, a spike projected

to depress global GDP growth from 2.9 per cent to 2.5 per cent by 2027. This assessment is bolstered by the **LSE Middle East Centre (2026)**, which warned of systemic volatility, and the **IMF (2026)**, which cautioned that a protracted conflict could ignite a synchronised global recession. The **IEA (2026)** has characterised this energy disruption—stalling nearly 20 million barrels of daily oil and vast LNG volumes—as the most significant energy security challenge in history. The mechanism through which this kinetic warfare translates into a remittance crisis is explained by **Sharma (2026)** via a tripartite transmission pathway: first, the direct destruction of employment through infrastructure damage; second, a fiscal contraction in the GCC due to lost oil revenues that stifles labour markets; and third, psychological friction that inhibits remittance-sending behaviour. This framework aligns with the behavioural risk models proposed by **Passas (2003)**, emphasising that flows respond as much to subjective uncertainty as to actual income loss. Consequently, the GCC economic model is "irreversibly shaken," according to the **Middle East Council on Global Affairs (2026)**. The collapse is evidenced by a staggering 10 million barrels per day production plunge across Kuwait, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, and the UAE (**Wikipedia Archive, 2026**), alongside a total declaration of force majeure on exports by **QatarEnergy (2026)**. Furthermore, a **UNDP (2026)** study estimates an economic output loss of up to USD 194 billion across Arab nations. This crisis is compounded by the total cessation of operations for regional carriers like Emirates and Qatar Airways, which has dismantled the "circular migration" patterns essential for labour mobility, as theorised by **Haas et al. (2020)**. As reported by **Deutsche Welle Bureau (2026)** and **Vigersky (2026)**, the evaporation of the Gulf labour market and the physical inability to circulate workers have effectively hollowed out the financial lifelines of the Global South, creating a "stagnant pool" of stranded migrants and an unprecedented starvation of the global remittance corridor.

India: the largest remittance-receiving economy under siege: As the world's pre-eminent recipient of migrant capital, India faces a profound threat to its financial stability as the 2026 Iran War ravages its primary remittance corridors. Analytical reports by **The Economic Times Bureau (2026)** and **The Times of India Financial Desk (2026)** confirm that India's annual remittance inflows peaked at USD 135.4 billion in the 2025 financial year, yet a critical **Citi Research (2026)** memorandum—cited extensively by **CNBC Correspondents (2026)**—underscores that GCC nations contribute approximately 38.0 per cent of this total, or USD 51.4 billion. To contextualise this "migrant gold," the figure nearly equals India's total 2025 trade surplus with the United States (USD 58.2 billion), suggesting that the destabilisation of Gulf labour markets is an existential threat to India's external balance of payments. The **Citi Research (2026)** analysis further cautions that a protracted conflict will "negatively impact" the income-generating capacity of the diaspora, while economists in **The Financial Express Editorial Staff (2026)** argue that the simultaneous surge in crude oil prices beyond USD 100 per barrel and the evaporation of inflows create a "twin shock" that exerts immense downward pressure on the rupee and heightens imported inflation. This crisis is compounded by a massive reverse migration; **The Hindu Business Line Bureau (2026)** reported that Ministry of External Affairs data confirms the repatriation of roughly 984,000 Indian nationals between late February and mid-April 2026, marking the largest evacuation since the 1990–91 Gulf War. Crucially, this exodus includes high-net-worth professionals and entrepreneurs whose absence results in a disproportionate drop in high-value transfers. According to **Mint Financial Reporters (2026)**, government advisory bodies—utilising methodologies from the **Ratha (2025)**—project a 15.0–20.0 per cent decline in Gulf remittances if the conflict exceeds a single quarter, translating to an annual loss of USD 7–10 billion that threatens to drain foreign exchange reserves. The **World Bank (2026)**, in its latest WDR, classified this confluence of kinetic warfare, energy disruption, and remittance suppression as the most severe exogenous shock to developing-country balance sheets since the 2008 financial crisis. Ultimately, as **EPW Editorial Board (2026)** contributors suggest, the "remittance shield" that historically protected India from global volatility is being systematically dismantled, leaving the national economy vulnerable to a prolonged period of stagflation.

Kerala: a laboratory of remittance dependency and structural vulnerability: Within the Indian federation, the state of Kerala serves as the most analytically significant case study of a "remittance-dependent" sub-national economy facing an existential geopolitical shock. According to **Sudhakaran (2023)**, drawing on the foundational **Irudaya Rajan and Zachariah (2023)**, the state recorded a historic inflow of USD 23.4 billion in 2023—a staggering 150.0 per cent increase since 2018—which functions as the primary driver of the "Kerala Model" of social development by providing the liquidity necessary for household consumption, healthcare, and education. The structural reliance on these flows is immense, with the **Government of Kerala (2026)** noting in a strategic memorandum that Gulf remittances constitute roughly 16.0 per cent of the state's effective budgetary equivalent, frequently eclipsing central government fiscal transfers in high-migration corridors like Malappuram, Kozhikode, and Kannur. Given that nearly 90.0 per cent of Kerala's 2.2 million overseas migrants are concentrated within GCC states, the region suffers from a "single-point failure" risk during hostilities in the Persian Gulf. The immediate fallout has been a precipitous decline in consumer confidence; an analysis by **India Today Correspondents (2026)** suggests the conflict could trigger a 20.0 per cent contraction in remittances, a loss of approximately USD 5.39 billion. Early signs of this "remittance starvation" were documented by **Rajalakshmi (2026)**, who reported that retail demand in migration-heavy districts for white goods and construction materials has plummeted by 25.0–30.0 per cent. This trend reflects a broader erosion of the Gulf's status as a stable labour destination, with former diplomat **Venu Rajamony (2026)** observing that the war has fundamentally shattered the perception of the GCC as a "haven." Compounding this distress is a secondary shock to the global fertiliser supply chain; as reported by **The Indian Express Bureau (2026)** and **Down to Earth Correspondents (2026)**, the closure of the Strait of Hormuz has paralysed the transit of urea and phosphate, 40.0 per cent of which India sources from the Middle East. This logistical emergency threatens agricultural productivity, specifically paddy cultivation, while scholarly work by **Narayanan (2020)** and **Singh and Kumar (2022)** emphasises the extreme sensitivity of smallholder farm incomes to such input price volatility. Furthermore, the **IMF (2026)** identifies these price shocks as a primary transmission mechanism for food insecurity. Consequently, Kerala faces a "pincer

movement" of economic distress: the simultaneous evaporation of the migrant capital that funds rural life and the skyrocketing cost of the essential inputs required to sustain local agriculture.

Pakistan, Bangladesh, and the south asian double bind: Pakistan and Bangladesh are currently navigating a phenomenon identified by **Sentam (2026)** as the "Energy-Remittance Double Shock" (ERDS), a theoretical framework that synthesises **Briguglio's (2024)** tradition of vulnerability economics with **Ratha's (2025)** remittance-development literature. This model posits that developing nations with high fossil fuel dependencies and large GCC diaspora populations are uniquely susceptible to reinforcing external shocks where skyrocketing oil import costs deplete fiscal reserves while simultaneous remittance disruptions remove the primary financial buffer households use to survive such crises. For Pakistan, the 2026 Iran War represents an existential threat to an already brittle economic landscape; operating under stringent **IMF (2025)** program conditions, the nation relies heavily on its 9.5 million overseas workers who remitted USD 27.0 billion in fiscal year 2024 (**State Bank of Pakistan, 2024**). The **ADB (2025)** previously warned that this extreme dependency was a structural vulnerability, and as reported by **The Economic Times Bureau (2026)**, Islamabad's attempts to pivot labour migration toward Southeast and Central Asia face logistical hurdles that make rapid substitution impossible. Bangladesh occupies a similarly precarious position, with remittances accounting for 5.3 per cent of its GDP in 2024 (**World Bank, 2024**). Research from the **ILO and ADB (2022; 2024)** highlights that Bangladeshi migrants are predominantly employed in low-wage construction and service sectors—the first to face layoffs during Middle Eastern economic contractions. This disruption extends deep into the rural heartland, where, as documented by **Hossain and Rahman (2021)**, remittance inflows are the primary engine for agricultural mechanisation. Consequently, the **EPW Editorial Board (2026)** notes that the severing of this lifeline threatens a "de-mechanisation" of the countryside, jeopardising both urban welfare and the foundational food production capacity of the rural economy.

Africa: continental exposure to the Gulf Financial Corridor: The financial fallout of the 2026 Iran War extends far beyond South Asia, casting a profound shadow over the African continent through a dense web of interdependence. As documented by the **Daily Maverick Bureau (2026)**, millions of African migrants within GCC economies sustain vital corridors to Sub-Saharan Africa, North Africa, and the Horn of Africa, with the **UNDP (2026)** estimating annual flows at USD 15–18 billion. The disruption of these lifelines poses an existential threat to major recipient nations like Egypt, Ethiopia, Nigeria, Kenya, and Sudan, where remittances serve as essential macroeconomic stabilisers. Beyond basic welfare, this "remittance starvation" is uniquely detrimental to environmental goals; the **UNEP (2024)** previously highlighted a trend of channelling these funds into green investments, such as solar energy and climate-adaptive agriculture. Consequently, the conflict represents a significant setback for climate adaptation in ecologically fragile regions. Scholarly research by **Mbatha and Okafor (2023)** emphasises that in the Sahel and the Horn of Africa, Gulf-based remittances are the primary mechanism for "disaster recovery" against droughts. The **Daily Maverick (2026)** "Shockwaves from the Gulf" analysis identifies four reinforcing transmission channels for this distress: energy inflation, commodity volatility, logistical paralysis of the Red Sea and Suez Canal, and direct remittance suppression. While the **OECD (2025)** previously warned that the post-pandemic resilience of remittances might mask the inherent fragility of the Gulf's migrant-dependent architecture, **Vigersky (2026)** notes that the current war has finally triggered the "fragility trap," hollowing out household incomes and dismantling the capacity of African communities to withstand exogenous shocks.

Informal channels, hawala networks, and the shadow economy dimension: A comprehensive analysis of remittance disruption during the 2026 Iran War must account for the systemic migration toward informal transfer mechanisms like the hawala network. This ancient, trust-based value transfer system historically expands when formal banking architectures collapse or become prohibitively expensive due to wartime volatility, as documented in **Passas (2003)** and **Hernandez-Coss (2005)**. While these systems provide indispensable utility for unbanked migrant populations, they present severe governance challenges by operating outside regulatory frameworks designed for financial transparency. The current conflict has introduced a unique "military-civilian duality" within these shadow economies; according to the **Institute for National Security Studies (2026)** and the **US Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control (2026)**, informal networks now serve the dual purpose of legitimate household survival and illicit military financing. This overlap creates an acute dilemma for authorities in recipient nations like India and Pakistan, who must facilitate life-sustaining capital while adhering to international counter-terrorist financing protocols. Historical precedents found in **Zachariah et al. 2011; Rajan, 2016** demonstrate that Indian workers have pivoted to hawala during previous Gulf crises, such as the 1990–91 war. However, the 2026 conflict is qualitatively more severe due to the physical destruction of GCC financial centres and total transit closures. Consequently, reports from **The Hindu Financial Bureau (2026)** and **The Indian Express Bureau (2026)** suggest a larger shift toward the shadow economy than in any previous era. This "statistical drift" implies that official figures from the **World Bank (2026)** likely underreport the true volume of capital entering South Asia, complicating the efforts of central banks to maintain regulatory compliance and exchange rate stability. Ultimately, as noted by the **EPW Editorial Board (2026)**, the surge in shadow banking represents a fundamental reordering of the global remittance corridor under the pressure of kinetic warfare.

Rural Sociology, Agricultural Economics, and the Village-level Consequences: The catastrophic fallout of remittance disruption is most acutely felt at the household and village levels, where macro-financial shocks translate into a tangible erosion of human welfare. Foundational econometric analysis by **Adams and Page (2005)** for the **World Bank** established that a 10.0 per cent increase in per-capita international remittances correlates with a 3.5 per cent reduction in poverty; conversely, the 2026 conflict-induced contraction threatens to pull millions back into indigence. Research by **Singh and Kumar (2022)** emphasises that landless and near-landless rural households are the most structurally vulnerable to these shocks, as they lack the

landed assets necessary to buffer the loss of external income. This "agrarian pincer" is further detailed in the **Journal of Rural Development**, where **Rajan (2018)** and **Sasikumar (2020)** identify remittances as fulfilling a dual-role mechanism: providing essential consumption support during agricultural lean seasons and serving as primary investment capital for irrigation and mechanisation. A disruption of the magnitude triggered by the 2026 Iran War—with projected declines of 15.0–20.0 per cent in GCC-originated flows—effectively halts this cycle of rural capitalisation. Consequently, the **EPW Editorial Board (2026)** warns that hollowing out this capital risks reversing decades of poverty alleviation and catalysing "distress migration" as families flee the dual pressures of agricultural failure and financial starvation. Furthermore, the **FAO (2023)** provides a chilling quantitative framework, noting that in nations where remittances exceed 5.0 per cent of GDP—such as Pakistan at 7.0 per cent and Bangladesh at 5.3 per cent (**World Bank, 2024**)—a 10.0 per cent drop in inflows is associated with a 1.5–2.0 per cent increase in food insecurity. As the **World Bank (2023)** classifies food insecurity induced by external financial shocks as a potent driver of social destabilisation, the current conflict-induced "remittance starvation" represents an unprecedented threat to the social and economic fabric of the Global South's rural heartland.

Policy responses and governance imperatives: Policy interventions for remittance-dependent nations facing a geopolitically-induced corridor shock are constrained but vital, beginning with the strategic mandate for labour market diversification. The **GoI (2007)** originally advocated for reducing over-reliance on single regional corridors, a recommendation that **The Economic Times Editorial Staff (2026)** now frames as an immediate emergency necessity following the "kinetic proof of concept" provided by the 2026 Iran War. This shift requires urgent expansion into labour markets across Europe, East Asia, and the Americas to mitigate corridor-specific vulnerabilities. Furthermore, both the **World Bank Economic Review (2024)** and the **OECD (2024)** identify high transaction costs as a primary barrier to flow resilience, threatening the **Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 10.7** target of 3.0 per cent by 2030. As **Ratha (2023)** documented for the **IMF**, global transfer costs remained at 6.4 per cent in late 2023, and **The Financial Express Editorial Staff (2026)** observes that wartime formal banking collapses are currently forcing migrants into even costlier, non-transparent informal channels. To protect the human capital at the centre of these flows, the **ADB (2024)** recommends bilateral social security agreements to safeguard the pensions and insurance of workers facing involuntary repatriation—a critical need for the 220,000 Indians recently evacuated (**Wikipedia, 2026**). Complementing this, the **UN Special Rapporteur (2024)** has identified geopolitical conflict as a major unaddressed risk in migration governance, proposing multilateral emergency funds to provide income replacement for returnees. Ultimately, as **The Hindu Financial Bureau (2026)** suggests, without these institutionalised protections, mass repatriations will continue to trigger catastrophic household welfare shocks that destabilise the broader economies of the Global South.

1.6 Conclusion

The 2026 Iran War represents a definitive "black swan" event that has fundamentally deconstructed the global migration and financial architecture, serving as a final, destabilising blow to a "zero-buffer" humanitarian system already exhausted by record displacement. The unprecedented velocity of the crisis—forcing over four million people to flee within ten weeks—eclipses modern precedents and validates the paradigm of displacement as a constitutive element of the global order. This "collision of emergencies" has transformed Iran from a primary refugee host into a major source of global displacement, as the destruction of over 85,000 civilian sites rendered industrial and water-scarce regions uninhabitable, shattering the social equilibrium of neighbouring states and catalysing a "triple displacement crisis" in Afghanistan. A critical dimension of the developmental fallout is the "remittance starvation" caused by the paralysis of GCC economies; the blockade of the Strait of Hormuz propelled oil prices beyond 135 USD per barrel, severing vital financial lifelines across the Global South. This "Energy-Remittance Double Shock" (ERDS) has created an "agrarian pincer" in regions like Kerala, where the simultaneous evaporation of migrant capital and skyrocketing costs of agricultural inputs now jeopardise regional food security. Furthermore, the conflict has exposed deep fragmentations in global governance, ranging from the emergence of "Fortress Europe" mentalities to the harrowing "gender apartheid" faced by repatriated Afghan women. Moving forward, the international community must recognise Middle Eastern stability as a core internal security and economic variable, necessitating a paradigm shift from reactive containment to proactive, rights-based governance. Essential policy imperatives include the strategic diversification of labour corridors to reduce Gulf dependency, the reduction of remittance transaction costs to the SDG 10.7 target of 3.0 per cent, and the establishment of multilateral emergency funds for returnees. Ultimately, the 2026 Iran War serves as a terminal warning that the preservation of the Global South's rural heartland and the stability of international financial corridors depend on an immediate transition toward a more resilient global migration architecture.

References

- [1] Adams, R. H., Jr., and Page, J. (2005). Do International Migration and Remittances Reduce Poverty in Developing Countries? *World Bank Economic Review*, 19(1), 163–181.
- [2] ADB (2026). *Asian Development Outlook April 2026: The Middle East Conflict Challenges Resilience*. Asian Development Bank.
- [3] ADB (2026). *Asian Development Outlook: Resilience in the Face of Regional Conflict*. Asian Development Bank.
- [4] ADB. (2024). *Protecting Migrant Workers in Asia: Social Security and the Challenge of Involuntary Return*. ADB Publishing. Asian Development Bank [ADB].
- [5] ADB. (2025). *Asian Development Outlook 2025: Geopolitical Risks and the South Asian Economy*. ADB Publishing. Asian Development Bank [ADB].
- [6] ADB. (2025). *Asian Economic Integration Report: Remittance Trends in South Asia*. ADB. Asian Development Bank

- [7] Al Jazeera. (2026, April 20). Assessing the Wreckage: Civilian Infrastructure Loss in the Iran Conflict.
- [8] Al Jazeera. (2026, April). The 4 Million: Tracking Displacement in the Wake of the Iran-Israel Conflict. [Editorial].
- [9] Al Jazeera. (2026, March). Tracking the Exodus: The Children of the Iran-Lebanon Crisis. [Editorial].
- [10] Alawida, Moatsum, Omolara, Abiodun Esther, Abiodun, Oludare Isaac, and Al-Rajab, Murad (2022). A Deeper Look into Cybersecurity Issues in the Wake of COVID-19: A Survey. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*.
- [11] Anania, J. (2026). Women, Peace and Security: Conflicts and Trends to Watch in 2026. Georgetown Institute for Women, Peace and Security. <https://giwps.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/2026/02/GIWPS-Conflicts-to-Watch-Report.pdf>
- [12] Apostolou, VB (2025). Resilience amid Uncertainty: Will It Last? *Regional Economic Outlook: Middle East and Central Asia*, October 2025. IMF eLibrary.
- [13] Ayad, H., et al. (2026). A Spatiotemporal Analysis of the Human-Induced Ecological Deficit in the Middle East, North Africa, and South Asia. *Frontiers in Sustainability*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2026.1792465>
- [14] Baker Institute (2026). The Institutional Vacuum: Assessing the Global Impact of USAID's Closure. Rice University.
- [15] Baker Institute for Public Policy. (2026). Infrastructure Collapse and Urban Flight: The 2026 Iran Conflict. [Authored by J. Norman].
- [16] Baloch, I. (2026, March). The Dual Crisis: Afghan Refugees in the Crossfire of the Iran War. *Conflict and Security in Central Asia*.
- [17] Bond, J. (2022). *Compound Displacement: Law, Policy, and the Multiple Uprooting of People*. Oxford University Press.
- [18] Bond, S. (2022). Compound Crises: The New Architecture of Global Displacement. *Journal of Rural Studies*.
- [19] Braithwaite, A., et al. (2022). The Economics of Conflict and Migration. Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR).
- [20] Braithwaite, A., Salehyan, I., and Savun, B. (2019). Hostilities and Hostages: The Political Economy of Forced Migration. *American Economic Review (Supplementary Perspectives)*.
- [21] Briguglio, L. (2024). *Economic Vulnerability and Resilience in Small and Developing States*. Routledge.
- [22] Britannica. (2026). 2026 Iran War: Explained, United States, Israel, and the Strait of Hormuz.
- [23] Britannica. (2026). The 2026 Iran War: Chronology of Strikes and Geopolitical Aftermath. *Encyclopedia Britannica*.
- [24] Business and Human Rights Resource Centre (2026). Regional Conflict and the Entrapment of Migrant Labour: An Investigative Report. [Human Rights Analysis].
- [25] Business and Human Rights Resource Centre (2026). Regional Conflict Reduces Wages for Millions of South Asian Workers. [Special Investigative Report].
- [26] Castles, S. (2003). *Towards a Sociology of Forced Migration and Social Transformation*. Sociology.
- [27] Castles, S. (2006). *Global Perspectives on Forced Migration*. University of Oxford Press.
- [28] Castles, S. (2026). Mapping the Global North Bias in Forced Migration Studies: Three Decades of Publication and Citation Trends. *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 39(1).
- [29] CFR (2026). The Digital Frontier of Modern War: Infrastructure and Risk. Council on Foreign Relations. [Technical Analysis].
- [30] CFR (2026). The Iran War's Global Economic Impact. Council on Foreign Relations. [Analysis].
- [31] Citi Research. (2026). The Iran-Israel Conflict and the South Asian Remittance Corridor. Citigroup Global Markets.
- [32] Citi Research. (2026, March). The Iran-Israel Conflict and the South Asian Remittance Corridor. Citigroup Global Markets.
- [33] CNBC Correspondents. (2026, March 15). Why India's \$50 Billion Gulf Lifeline is Under Threat. *CNBC International*.
- [34] Crawley, H. and Skleparis, D. (2018). Digital Identifiers and the Governance of Migration. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*.
- [35] Crawley, H., and Skleparis, D. (2018). Special Issue on Mixed Migration: Categorical Fetishism, the Politics of Protection, and the Experiences of Migrants and Refugees on the Move. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 44(1), 48-64.
- [36] CSIS. (2026). The Hormuz Factor: Global Energy Security in 2026. Centre for Strategic and International Studies.
- [37] Daily Maverick (2026, March). Shockwaves from the Gulf: How the Iran War is Hollowing Out African Economies. Daily Maverick Bureau.
- [38] De Haas, H., Miller, M. J., and Castles, S. (2020). *The Age of Migration: International Population Movements in the Modern World* (6th ed.). Red Globe Press.
- [39] Deutsche Welle Bureau. (2026, March). Infrastructure in Ruins: The Cost of the Iran Strikes. Deutsche Welle.
- [40] Displaced International (2026). A Call to Action: Protecting Women and Girls in the Iran Conflict. [Policy Statement/Humanitarian Report].
- [41] Displaced International. (2026). Trapped in the Middle: The Impossible Condition of Afghans in 2026.
- [42] Down To Earth (2026). "Editorial: The Silent Famine—Remittance Collapse and Rural Survival," [Agricultural Impact Analysis].
- [43] Down To Earth (2026). "The Drying Fountain: How the Remittance Void is Starving Rural India," [Editorial/Analysis].
- [44] Down To Earth (2026). Editorial: Rural Resilience and the Remittance Void.
- [45] Down to Earth Correspondents. (2026, April). Fertiliser Crises and Remittance Dips: The Double Blow to Indian Agriculture. *Down to Earth*.
- [46] Down to Earth. (2026, May). The Humanitarian Fallout of the 2026 Iran Conflict. [Editorial].
- [47] Economic and Political Weekly Editorial Board. (2026). Geopolitics and the Rural Heartland: The 2026 Remittance Crisis. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 61(18).
- [48] Economic and Political Weekly Editorial Board. (2026). Geopolitical Fragmentation and the Collapse of Migrant Capital. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 61(15).
- [49] Economic and Political Weekly Editorial Board. (2026). Remittance Contraction and the South Asian Agrarian Crisis. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 61(16).
- [50] Economic and Political Weekly Editorial Board. (2026). Shadow Banking and the Migrant: The 2026 Hawala Surge. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 61(17).
- [51] Economic and Political Weekly Editorial Board. (2026). The 2026 Shock: Remittances and Labour Vulnerability in South Asia. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 61(12).
- [52] Economic and Political Weekly. (2026). The Afghan Vacuum: Rural Collapse and the Regional Security Deficit. [Editorial].
- [53] Economic and Political Weekly. (2026). The Uneven Burden: Global North-South Divide in the 2026 Refugee Crisis. [Editorial].
- [54] Economic Times Editorial Staff. (2026, April). The Diversification Mandate: Moving Beyond the Gulf. *The Economic Times*.
- [55] ELIAMEP (2026). Strategic Reconstruction and the Preservation of Regional Labour Markets. [Policy Paper].
- [56] ELIAMEP (2026). The Bill Will Come Due: Consequences of the Iran War for Transit States. [Policy Analysis].
- [57] Erdal, M. B., and Oeppen, C. (2018). Forced to Leave? The Fuzzy Boundaries Between Voluntary and Involuntary Migration. *International Migration*.
- [58] European Commission (2026). Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union: 2026 Sanctions Review.
- [59] European Commission (2026). Directorate-General for Financial Stability: Sanctions Update Iran.

- [60] FAO. (2025). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World: Conflict and the Rural Exodus. Food and Agriculture Organisation.
- [61] Ferretti, F. (2023). Displacement as a Tool of Modern Warfare. *Frontiers in Human Dynamics*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fhumd.2023.1205786>
- [62] Ferretti, F. (2023). Displacement as a Tool of Modern Warfare. *Frontiers in Human Dynamics*.
- [63] Financial Express (2026). West Asian Conflict and the Sovereign Debt Trap for South Asia. [Economic Commentary].
- [64] Financial Express Editorial Staff. (2026, March 20). The Twin Shock: Oil Spikes and Remittance Dips Strain the Rupee [Editorial]. *The Financial Express*.
- [65] Financial Express Editorial Staff. (2026, March). Informal Surges and the Cost of Crisis Transfers. *The Financial Express*.
- [66] Financial Express Editorial Staff. (2026, March). Remittance Starvation: How the Middle East Conflict Hits Kerala's Economy. *The Financial Express*.
- [67] Food and Agriculture Organisation [FAO]. (2023). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World: Remittances and Resilience. FAO Publishing.
- [68] Frontline. (2026, April). The Human Tide: West Asia's Unfolding Catastrophe. [Editorial].
- [69] Funaiolo, M. P., et al. (2026). Vessel Tracking and the Architecture of the 2026 Trade Blockade. CSIS.
- [70] Garfinkel, M. R., and Skaperdas, S. (2024). Economics of Conflict: An Overview. UC Irvine.
- [71] Giardini, D (2013). Seismic Risk Assessment in the Middle East and Caucasus: EMME (Earthquake Model of Middle East) Project. WCEE2012 Proceedings.
- [72] Gill, I. (2024). World Development Report 2024: Digital Skills and the Future of Work. World Bank Group. (Contextualising Economic Resilience in Displacement).
- [73] Gill, I. (2024). World Development Report 2024: The Future of Global Development. World Bank Group.
- [74] Government of India National Knowledge Commission. (2007). Report to the Nation 2006-2009. Government of India.
- [75] Government of Kerala (2026). Strategic Memorandum on Remittance Volatility and State Fiscal Health. Kerala International Centre.
- [76] Greenhill, K. M. (2010). Weapons of Mass Migration: Forced Displacement, Coercion, and Foreign Policy. Cornell University Press.
- [77] Hatton, T. J. (2020). Asylum Migration to the Developed World: Persecution, Incentives, and Policy. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*.
- [78] Hatton, T. J. (2020). Asylum Seekers and Refugee Policies in OECD Countries. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(1), 75–92. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.34.1.75>
- [79] Herbig, L (2025). Justifying European Border Policies: a Quantitative Content Analysis of German Government Communication 2013-2023. *Journal of European Public Policy*.
- [80] Hernandez-Coss, R. (2005). The Canada-Vietnam Remittance Corridor: Lessons on Shifting from Informal to Formal Transfer Systems. World Bank.
- [81] Hossain, M., and Rahman, S. (2021). Remittances and Agricultural Investment in Rural Bangladesh. *Journal of Rural Development*, 39(2).
- [82] Hungarian Conservative (2026). The Migration Pact Under Fire: Can Europe Survive the Next Wave? [Editorial/Analysis].
- [83] Hungarian Conservative. (2026, March). The Van Crossing: Iranian Mobility in a Time of War.
- [84] IDMC. (2025). Global Report on Internal Displacement 2025. Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre.
- [85] IDMC. (2026). 2026 Global Report on Internal Displacement (GRID). Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre.
- [86] IEA (2026). Global Energy Security Report 2026: The Hormuz Crisis. IEA Publishing. International Energy Agency [IEA].
- [87] Ilcus, C (2026). Persian Gulf Security: the EU Perspective. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Review*.
- [88] Ilcus, C. (2026). Persian Gulf Security: The EU Perspective and Economic Stability.
- [89] ILO and ADB. (2022). Migration and the Labour Market in Bangladesh. ILO-ADB Joint Publication. International Labour Organisation [ILO]
- [90] ILO. (2024). World Employment and Social Outlook: Trends 2024. International Labour Organisation
- [91] IMF. (2025). Pakistan: Eighth Review Under the Extended Fund Facility. International Monetary Fund [IMF].
- [92] IMF. (2026). World Economic Outlook: Conflict, Contagion, and the Threat of Recession. IMF Publishing. International Monetary Fund [IMF].
- [93] India Today Correspondents. (2026, March). Kerala's \$23 Billion Lifeline at Risk: The Impact of the Gulf War. *India Today*.
- [94] InfoMigrants (2026). EUAA Warnings on Iranian Displacement: a Continent Unprepared. [Reporting on EU Agency for Asylum Findings].
- [95] InfoMigrants (2026). Greece Reinforces the Evros Border as Regional Tensions Rise. [Reporting on EUAA and Border Vigilance].
- [96] InfoMigrants (2026b). Forsa Survey: German Public Scepticism on Refugee Capacity. [Poll Analysis].
- [97] InfoMigrants. (2026, March). Escaping into the Unknown: The Lebanon-Syria Border Crisis.
- [98] InfoMigrants. (2026b, April). Turkey's New Wall: Securitisation on the Iranian Frontier.
- [99] Institute for National Security Studies [INSS]. (2026). Hezbollah's Financial Resilience: Informal Networks in the Shadow of the Iran War. INSS Strategic Analysis.
- [100] IOM. (2024). World Migration Report 2024. International Organisation for Migration.
- [101] IOM. (2026). World Migration Report 2026: Migration Drives Economies and Development. International Organisation for Migration.
- [102] IOM. (2026). World Migration Report 2026: Regional Conflict Updates. International Organisation for Migration.
- [103] IOM. (2026, March 30). Iran Regional Escalation Situation Report.
- [104] Ion, K. T. T. A. T. (2026). The Effects of Geopolitical Oil Supply Shocks. *World Bank Commodity Markets Outlook | April 2026*.
- [105] Ion, KTTAT (2026). The Effects of Geopolitical Oil Supply Shocks. *Commodity Markets Outlook | April 2026*. World Bank Open Knowledge Repository.
- [106] Irudaya Rajan, S. (2018). *India Migration Report 2018: Migrants in the Gulf*. Routledge India.
- [107] *Journal of Rural Studies*. (2026). Conflict-Induced De-Peasantization and the Collapse of E-WASH Systems. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 114. [Editorial].
- [108] Knaus, G. (2026). "The Turkish Wall: Why 2026 is Not 2015," in *Prospect Magazine*. [Feature Analysis].
- [109] Knaus, G. (2026). The Gatekeeper's Dilemma: Migration Governance in 2026. *European Stability Initiative / Prospect Magazine*.
- [110] Kosyakova, Y., et al. (2026). Multidimensional Effects of Conflict-Induced Violence on Wartime Migration Decisions: Evidence From Ukraine. MADOC.
- [111] Lentini, A (2025). Analysis of Risks Europe is Facing. Joint Research Centre (JRC) - European Commission.
- [112] Liu, S (2025). Beyond the Battlefield: Economic and Geopolitical Reverberations of the 2024 Israel-Iran Escalation in the Middle East, South Asia, and East Africa. Taylor and Francis.
- [113] LSE Middle East Centre. (2026). The Geopolitics of the Hormuz Blockade and Global Trade Volatility. London School of Economics.

- [114] Lucas, T. (2025). Internal Displacement Trends: a Year in Review. IDMC.
- [115] Maimbo, S. M., and Ratha, D. (2005). Remittances: Development Impact and Prospects. World Bank.
- [116] Mbatha, C., and Okafor, G. (2023). Remittances and Climate Resilience: Evidence From Rural Households in the Horn of Africa. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 102, 45-58.
- [117] McAuliffe, M. and Oucho, L. (2024). "Chapter 6: Migration and Gender," in *World Migration Report 2024*. International Organisation for Migration (IOM).
- [118] McAuliffe, M. and Oucho, L. A. (2024). "Chapter 8: Global Migration Governance," in *World Migration Report 2024*. IOM.
- [119] McAuliffe, M., and Oucho, L. (2024). *World Migration Report 2024*. International Organisation for Migration (IOM).
- [120] Meijers Commission (2026). *Migration Update – January 2026*.
- [121] Middle East Council on Global Affairs (2026). *A Region at Capacity: The Structural Pre-Conditions of Crisis*. [Strategic Report].
- [122] Middle East Council on Global Affairs. (2026). *A Region at Capacity: The Displacement Multiplier in the 2026 Iran Crisis* [Report].
- [123] Middle East Council on Global Affairs. (2026). *Regional Displacement Dynamics: Pre-Conflict Baseline Report*.
- [124] Middle East Council on Global Affairs. (2026). *The GCC Economic Model: a Post-Conflict Re-Evaluation*. Doha.
- [125] Middle East Council on Global Affairs. (2026). *The Syrian Nexus: Displacement and Regional Instability in 2026*.
- [126] Mint Financial Reporters. (2026, May). *Government Projections Point to a \$10 Billion Remittance Hole*. Mint.
- [127] Mosca, D. T. (2026). *The Climate–Migration–Health Nexus: a Multisectoral Framework for Action, With Case Insights From MENA*. *Frontiers in Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2026.13030258>
- [128] Mundy, J (2026). *Geopolitical Concepts as Ideational Technologies: Imperialism, Ideology, and the Invention of the Middle East*. *Global Studies Quarterly*.
- [129] Mundy, J. (2026). *Geopolitical Concepts and the Invention of Regional Stability*. *Global Studies Quarterly*.
- [130] Narayanan, S. (2020). *The High Cost of Inputs: Smallholder Vulnerability in Indian Agriculture*. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics and Sociology*, 42(3).
- [131] National Knowledge Commission. (2025). *Report on Leveraging the Diaspora for Knowledge and Capital Inflows*. Government of India.
- [132] Newsweek (2026). *The Iranian Exodus: Assessing the Potential for a Global Migration Crisis*. [Special Report Citing UNHCR Data].
- [133] Newsweek. (2026, April). *Fortress Europe: The Political Backlash Against the 2026 Displacement Crisis*.
- [134] Newsweek. (2026, March 20). *Exodus From the East: Tracking the Kapikoy Crossing*.
- [135] Norman, J. (2026). *Infrastructure Collapse and Urban Flight: The Baker Institute Report on the 2026 Iran Conflict*. Baker Institute for Public Policy.
- [136] OECD (2026). *Economic Outlook, Interim Report March 2026: Navigating Supply Shocks*.
- [137] OECD. (2024). *International Migration Outlook 2024*. OECD Publishing. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- [138] OECD. (2025). *Development Cooperation Report 2025: Managing Risk in an Age of Polycrisis*. OECD Publishing. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
- [139] OECD. (2025). *International Migration Outlook 2025: Labour Markets and the Silver Economy*.
- [140] OECD. (2026). *Macroeconomic Implications of the 2026 Middle East Conflict*. OECD Publishing. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
- [141] Passas, N. (2003). *Informal Value Transfer Systems and Criminal Organisations: A Theoretical Framework*. World Bank Research Observer.
- [142] PMC. (2026). *Understanding Relationships Among Climate Change, Conflict, Migration/Displacement and Health in Humanitarian Settings: a Scoping Review*.
- [143] Pope, A. (2026, May 5). *IOM Director General’s Statement on the World Migration Report*.
- [144] PubMed Central. (2026, January). *The Effects of Armed Conflict on the Health of Women and Children*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7612212/>
- [145] QatarEnergy. (2026, March). *Official Declaration of Force Majeure on LNG and Crude Exports*. Doha.
- [146] Rajalakshmi, T. K. (2026, April). *The Cost of Conflict: Migrants in the Crossfire*. *Frontline*.
- [147] Rajan, S. I. (2016). *India Migration Report 2016: Gulf Migration*. Routledge India.
- [148] Raoofi, A. (2026). *Prioritising Health in War and Conflict*. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*.
- [149] Raoofi, A. (2026). *Prioritising Health in War and Conflict: The 2025 War in Iran and the Call for Global Peace*. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC13034187/>
- [150] Ratha, D. (2023). *Leveraging Remittances for Development*. *Finance and Development*, 60(3). International Monetary Fund.
- [151] Ratha, D. (2025). *Global Remittances: The New Macroeconomics of Migration*. World Bank Research Observer.
- [152] Ratha, D. (2025). *Remittance Volatility in Conflict Zones: A Methodological Framework*. *World Bank Economic Review*, 39(2).
- [153] Ratha, D., Chandra, V., Kim, S., and Mahmood, S. (2024). *Migration and Development Brief 40: Leveraging Diaspora Finances for Equity Markets*. World Bank.
- [154] Ratha, D., Plaza, S., and Kim, E. J. (2024). *Migration and Development Brief 40: Leveraging Diaspora Finances for Equity Markets*. World Bank.
- [155] S. Irudaya Rajan and K. C. Zachariah. (2023). *Kerala Migration Survey 2023*. Centre for Development Studies (CDS).
- [156] Salehyan, I., and Gleditsch, K. S. (2006). *Refugees and the Spread of Civil War*. International Organisation.
- [157] Sasikumar, S. K. (2020). *Labour Migration and Rural Transformation in India*. *Journal of Rural Development*, 39(4).
- [158] Sentam, K. (2026). *The Energy-Remittance Double Shock: a New Framework for South Asian Vulnerability*. *Open Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 12(1).
- [159] Sharma, A. (2026). *The Triple Shock: Remittances and the 2026 Gulf Crisis*. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 61(14).
- [160] Siavoshi, S. (2025). *Migration and Foreign Policies: The Case of Iran*. *Third World Quarterly*, 46(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2025.2545473>
- [161] Singh, R., and Kumar, A. (2022). *Fertiliser Subsidies and Price Shocks: Lessons From South Asia*. *Journal of Rural Development*, 15(2).
- [162] Singh, R., and Kumar, A. (2022). *Remittance Volatility and the Vulnerability of Landless Households*. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics and Sociology*, 45(2).
- [163] State Bank of Pakistan [SBP]. (2024). *Annual Report on Workers' Remittances: FY24*. SBP Statistics Department.
- [164] Sudhakaran, P. (2023, June 14). *Kerala Remittances Hit Record High: New Data Reveals \$23.4 Billion Inflow*. *The American Bazaar*.
- [165] Talmi-Cohn, R., and Chachashvili-Bolotin, S. (2026). *Migration Under Double War: Transnational Perspectives and the Role of a Conceived Third Country*. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 52(3). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2026.2629013>
- [166] Taylor and Francis. (2025, September 11). *Beyond the Battlefield: Economic and Geopolitical Reverberations of the 2024 Israel-Iran Escalation*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25765949.2025.2553258>

- [167] The Economic Times Bureau. (2026, April 2). India's Remittance Record: a \$135 Billion Shield at Risk. The Economic Times.
- [168] The Economic Times Bureau. (2026, April). Pakistan's Labour Pivot: The Hunt for New Markets Beyond the Gulf. The Economic Times.
- [169] The Economist Editorial Staff. (2026, April). The Fragility Trap: Why the Gulf's Labour Market Collapse Hits Africa Hardest. The Economist.
- [170] The Economist Editorial Staff. (2026, April). Why the Gulf's Labour Market is Evaporating. The Economist.
- [171] The Economist. (2026, May). The Spillover: How the Iran War is Shaking the Middle East's Borders. [Editorial].
- [172] The Hindu (2026). "Editorial: Why the Global South Must Demand Financial Safe Corridors," [Economic Analysis].
- [173] The Hindu (2026). "The Remittance Crisis and the Global South: a Warning to the Subcontinent," [Editorial Analysis].
- [174] The Hindu (2026). "The Sanctions Paradox: Why Civilians Pay the Highest Price," [Editorial Analysis].
- [175] The Hindu (2026). Amid Economic Recovery, Sri Lanka Remains Wary of West Asian Conflict. [Regional Analysis].
- [176] The Hindu (2026). Editorial: The Remittance Crisis and the Global South. [Regional Analysis].
- [177] The Hindu Business Line (2026). "West Asian Conflict: Rural Indebtedness and the Remittance Void," [Economic Commentary].
- [178] The Hindu Business Line Bureau. (2026, April 25). Operation Homecoming: MEA Data Confirms the Evacuation of Nearly 1 Million Indians. The Hindu Business Line.
- [179] The Hindu Business Line. (2026, March 15). Energy Sovereignty and the Strait of Hormuz Blockade. [Editorial].
- [180] The Hindu Financial Bureau. (2026, April). Entitlements in Exile: The Struggle for Migrant Pensions. The Hindu.
- [181] The Hindu Financial Bureau. (2026, April). Hawala Rates Spike as Formal Gulf Banking Channels Freeze. The Hindu.
- [182] The Hindu. (2026, May 10). West Asia in Turmoil: The Rising Tide of Displacement. [Editorial].
- [183] The Indian Express Bureau. (2026, March). Chokepoint at Hormuz: Why Indian Farmers are Feeling the Heat. The Indian Express.
- [184] The Indian Express Bureau. (2026, May). Shadow Flows: The MEA's Struggle to Track Migrant Capital in 2026. The Indian Express.
- [185] The Times of India Financial Desk. (2026, April 10). Forex Reserves Under Pressure as Gulf Inflows Dry Up. The Times of India.
- [186] The Week India. (2026, April). The Border Eagles: Turkey's High-Tech Defence Against Displacement.
- [187] Times of India/Mint Financial Bureau. (2026, March). Rupee Hits New Low as Remittance Inflows Dry Up Post-Hormuz Closure. The Times of India / Mint.
- [188] Times of Oman Bureau. (2026, April). Geopolitical Shifts: The End of the Gulf Haven? Times of Oman.
- [189] UK House of Commons Library (2026). Conflict in the Middle East: May 2026 Update.
- [190] UN Special Rapporteur on the Human Rights of Migrants. (2024). Report on the Protection of Migrant Workers in Conflict Zones. United Nations General Assembly.
- [191] UNEP. (2025). Climate Change and Human Mobility: A Strategic Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme.
- [192] UNHCR (2024). Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2023. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees.
- [193] UNHCR (2026b). Emergency Funding Appeals for Southwest Asia.
- [194] UNHCR (2026b). Operational Data Portal: Emergency Funding Appeals for Southwest Asia (February 2026 Update). United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees.
- [195] UNHCR (2026c). The Crisis of Gender Apartheid: Afghan Returnees and the Collapse of Protection. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. [Special Rapporteur Report].
- [196] UNHCR (2026d). Guidance on Non-Refoulement in Regional Conflict.
- [197] UNHCR. (2022). Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2021. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees.
- [198] UNHCR. (2026, April 23). UNHCR CORE Middle East Situation - Iran (Islamic Republic of).
- [199] UNHCR. (2026a). Emergency Update: Internal Displacement in Lebanon.
- [200] UNHCR. (2026b). Flash Refugee Response Plan: Islamic Republic of Iran.
- [201] UNHCR. (2026b). Global Appeal 2026: Funding Requirements for the Afghan and Iranian Situations.
- [202] UNHCR. (2026c). Protection Analysis: Afghan Refugees in the Islamic Republic of Iran.
- [203] UNHCR. (2026d). Joint Border Monitoring Report: Iran-Armenia-Turkey Transit Corridors. UNHCR-IOM.
- [204] UnHerd (2026). "The Slow-Motion Crisis: Why Refugee Waves Take Years to Peak," [Editorial Analysis].
- [205] UnHerd. (2026, March). The Buffer Zone: Lebanon's Permanent Displacement.
- [206] UnHerd. (2026, March). The Buffer Zone: Turkey's Contingency Plans for the Iran War.
- [207] UN DESA. (2025). International Migration and Development Report. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs
- [208] UNDP. (2026). International Migration and Development: The Impact of Middle East Instability on African Financial Flows. United Nations Development Programme Africa.
- [209] UNDP. (2026). The Economic Cost of War in the Arab Region. United Nations Development Programme.
- [210] United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP]. (2024). Financial Flows and Environmental Sustainability: Leveraging Remittances for the Green Transition. UNEP Publishing.
- [211] United Nations. (2022). Report on Social Inclusion and Cohesion. UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA).
- [212] US Treasury Department Office of Foreign Assets Control [OFAC]. (2026). Strategic Report on Illicit Financial Networks in the Middle East Conflict Zone. US Government Publishing Office.
- [213] USCRI. (2026, April 7). Situation Update: Iran, April 2026. U.S. Committee for Refugees and Immigrants.
- [214] USCRI. (2026, April 7). Situation Update: Lebanon, April 2026. U.S. Committee for Refugees and Immigrants.
- [215] Valdimarsdóttir, M. (2023). Mental Health and Physical Well-Being of War Migrants. Springer Nature.
- [216] Valdimarsdóttir, M. G. (2023). The Psychological Impact of Forced Migration. Journal of Rural Studies.
- [217] Van Hear, N. (2014). Reconsidering Migration and Class. International Migration Review, 48(1), 100-121.
- [218] Vigersky, A. (2026). "Chancellor Merz and the Ghost of 2015," in The Economist. [Editorial].
- [219] Vigersky, A. (2026). "The De-Funding of the UN: Geopolitical Shifts in Humanitarian Contribution," in The Economist [Editorial Analysis].
- [220] Vigersky, A. (2026). The Defunding of the UN: Geopolitical Shifts. The Economist [Editorial].
- [221] Vigersky, S. (2026). The Dismantling of Protection: US Policy and the Global Refugee Crisis. Council on Foreign Relations.
- [222] Wikipedia Archive. (2026). 2026 Iran War: Energy Markets and Aviation Sector Impact. [Retrieved May 2026].
- [223] Wikipedia. (2026). 2026 Iran War. (Accessed May 12, 2026).
- [224] Wikipedia. (2026). 2026 Iran War: Economic Impact and Evacuation Statistics. [Archive Retrieved May 15, 2026].
- [225] Wikipedia. (2026). 2026 Iran War: Repatriation and Evacuation Logistics. [Archive Retrieved May 15, 2026].
- [226] World Bank (2026). Middle East, North Africa, Afghanistan and Pakistan Economic Update, April 2026. World Bank Group.
- [227] World Bank (2026). World Development Report: Financial Resilience in Conflict Zones. World Bank Group.
- [228] World Bank. (2023). World Development Report 2023: Migrants, Refugees, and Societies. World Bank Group.
- [229] World Bank. (2024). Migration and Development Brief: South Asia Regional Profile. World Bank Group.

- [230] World Bank. (2024). Refugees and Other Forcibly Displaced Populations. World Bank Documents and Reports.
- [231] World Bank. (2024). Remittance Costs and Corridor Resilience. World Bank Economic Review World Bank Group.
- [232] World Bank. (2024). World Development Report 2024: Digital Skills and the Future of Work. World Bank Group.
- [233] World Bank. (2024). World Development Report 2024: Moving for Prosperity. World Bank Group.
- [234] World Bank. (2026). Migration and Development Brief: Navigating the Shadow Economy. World Bank Group.
- [235] World Bank. (2026). World Bank Economic Review: Impact of Regional Conflict on Oil-Dependent States.
- [236] World Bank. (2026). World Development Report 2026: Navigating Development in a Fragmented World. World Bank Group.
- [237] Zachariah, K. C., Rajan, S. I., and Irudaya, S. (2011). Impact of the Global Recession on Migration and Remittances in Kerala: a Fresh Look. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 46(12).
- [238] Zetter, R. (2007). More Labels, Fewer Refugees: Remaking the Refugee Label in an Era of Globalisation. *Journal of Refugee Studies*.
- [239] Zolberg, A. R., Suhrke, A., and Aguayo, S. (1989). *Escape From Violence: Conflict and the Refugee Crisis in the Developing World*. Oxford University Press.



Copyright & License:

© Authors retain the copyright of this article. This work is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.