

The Structural Ailments and Necessary Reforms of the Indian Education System

S. Ramaswamy¹, S. Gnanasaranya², V. Kaveri³, M. S. Fathima Begam⁴ and Sruthi Mohan⁵

¹Advisor -cum-Adjunct Professor (Economics), Corresponding Author, GTN Group of Institutions, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

²Guest Faculty, Department of Lifelong Learning and Extension, The Gandhigram Rural Institute (DU), Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

³Head, Department of Management Studies, GTN Arts College (Autonomous), Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Associated Professor (Dean R and D), Department of History, GTN Arts College (Autonomous), Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

⁵Chief Administrative Officer, GTN Group of Institutions, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

This paper undertakes a rigorous critical examination of the deep-rooted structural ailments and systemic bottlenecks that have chronically undermined educational quality across India's entire learning continuum — from foundational pre-primary schooling through advanced university research. Drawing upon a sustained longitudinal interrogation of landmark policy commissions — the Radhakrishnan Commission (1948), the Mudaliar Commission (1952), the Kothari Commission (1964–66), the National Policy on Education (1986), and the National Education Policy 2020 grounded in the Kasturirangan Report (2019) — this study establishes that the chasm between policy aspiration and ground-level delivery is not incidental but structurally entrenched. The central argument holds that India's educational deficiencies are not discrete, isolated failures but constitute an interconnected chain of cumulative deprivation, originating in the foundational years and cascading destructively through higher education and research. The principal structural ailments diagnosed include the "Learning Poverty" crisis at the primary tier, the debilitating "Shadow Education" industry at the secondary tier, and the administratively paralysing "Affiliation System" at the tertiary tier. Synthesising a broad range of authoritative national and international scholarship, this paper articulates urgent policy remedies: formal integration of Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE), a decisive shift toward competency-based multidisciplinary curricula, the phased dissolution of the affiliating university model, and the establishment of a robustly capitalised National Research Foundation. The analysis underscores the critical imperative of finally meeting the 6.0 per cent of GDP public expenditure benchmark — first articulated by the Kothari Commission in 1966 and still unmet in 2026 — and adopting a "light but tight" regulatory philosophy to position India as a globally competitive knowledge economy in the 21st Century.

Keywords: Cumulative Deprivation, National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, Shadow Education, Affiliating University Mode

1.0 Introduction

The proclamation by the Kothari Commission (1964–66) that India's destiny is forged in its classrooms stands as one of the most poignant yet haunting declarations in the nation's post-independence history. Seven decades later, while the sheer scale of India's educational infrastructure is undeniably vast, the qualitative outcomes remain critically mismatched with the aspirations of a modernising republic. The system has succeeded in absorbing hundreds of millions of learners into its fold, creating a massive pipeline of graduates; however, the fundamental question—whether this expansion has fostered independent critical thinking, cognitive agility, or the ability to propel national development—remains largely unresolved (**World Bank, 2018; Jha and Parvati, 2020**). The diagnostic history of Indian education is remarkably consistent. Successive committees and expert commissions have meticulously catalogued the same structural ailments, ranging from decaying infrastructure and inadequate teacher training to rigid, rote-based assessment models. Yet, a persistent "implementation gap" has defined the trajectory of reform, where vision is frequently thwarted by a lack of political will, administrative lethargy, and the absence of sustained financial commitment (**Tilak, 2023**). The roots of this systemic inertia are deeply entrenched in the colonial design of the 19th century. Lord Macaulay's 1835 Minute explicitly aimed to create an intermediary class of "servants of empire"—individuals detached from their local contexts and intellectual traditions, favouring English taste and rote memorisation over creative or analytical inquiry. This inheritance established examination-centric gatekeeping as the primary mechanism for social mobility, a feature that remains fundamentally incompatible with the needs of a diverse, agrarian-industrial economy (**GoI, 1948; Glewwe and Muralidharan, 2021**). The Radhakrishnan Commission (1948) was the first post-independence body to recognise this crisis, presciently identifying the "examination factory" culture as a direct

threat to intellectual rigour. It advocated for a transformation into communities of scholarship where academic freedom and professional welfare for teachers were paramount (**Verma, Sachdeva, and Bajpai, 2023; Dutta, 2025**). Subsequently, the Mudaliar Commission (1952) highlighted the degeneration of secondary education into a mere mechanical preparatory tier. The Kothari Commission (1966) later attempted the most comprehensive overhaul, proposing a common school system and a 6% GDP spending target—a goal that remains a persistent, unmet benchmark for successive governments (**OECD, 2023; Tilak, 2026**). From the 1986 Policy on Education, which launched "Operation Blackboard," to the National Knowledge Commission (2009) and finally the Kasturirangan Report (2019), the recurring theme has been the need for regulatory renovation and decentralised institutional autonomy (**GoI, 2009; ADB, 2022**). The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, by replacing the rigid 10+2 structure with a 5+3+3+4 continuum, signals a radical systemic rethinking. Yet, it faces the same historical challenge: ensuring that visionary policy does not falter under the pressures of digital inequity and the lingering, systemic pathologies that have persisted since 1948 (**GoI, 2020**).

Box 1: Landmark Committee Reports on Indian Education Policy

1. Radhakrishnan Commission (1948): Focused on higher education; recommended the establishment of the University Grants Commission (UGC) and emphasised balancing scientific education with humanities to nurture democratic citizenship.
2. Mudaliar Commission (1952): Introduced the "multipurpose school" concept, recommending diversified curricula encompassing vocational training.
3. Shreemali Committee (1962): Advocated for Rural Institutes providing specialised agricultural and rural development training to stem "brain drain" from villages.
4. Kothari Commission (1964–66): First comprehensive systemic review; recommended 6% of GDP for education and introduced the 10+2+3 structural framework.
5. National Policy on Education (1986): Prioritised "Operation Blackboard" for primary infrastructure and emphasised equity for women, Scheduled Castes, and Scheduled Tribes.
6. Kasturirangan Report (2019): Served as the foundational draft for NEP 2020; identified Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN) as an urgent national mission and proposed the 5+3+3+4 pedagogical model, multidisciplinary flexibility, higher education autonomy, and a unified regulatory framework under a "light but tight" philosophy.

Source: Compiled by Authors

2.0 Pre-Primary Education: The Crisis of the Neglected Foundation

The invisibility of foundational education in India's fiscal and legislative agenda for nearly seven decades represents the most profound structural failure of the national education system. Contemporary neuroscience suggests that over 85% of brain architecture—the hardware of learning, emotional regulation, and cognitive potential—is constructed during the window between ages three and six. By focusing almost exclusively on formal schooling starting at age six, the state effectively ignored the most critical developmental phase, resulting in a permanent "school readiness gap" that disproportionately impacts children from marginalised socio-economic backgrounds (**World Bank, 2018; OECD, 2023**). This systemic failure was exacerbated by what can be described as an "Institutional-Pedagogical Schism." The state-led Anganwadi system under the Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) was highly effective at addressing basic nutrition and survival but lacked any integrated pedagogical framework for cognitive stimulation. Simultaneously, the private sector, recognising the vacuum in quality state provision, responded with a dangerous "schoolification" of the foundational years. This involved downwardly extending primary school curricula, forcing rote memorisation, and inducing unnecessary academic anxiety in toddlers, which is fundamentally counterproductive to biological and psychological development (**Haskins and Singh, 2020; Datta, 2021; Batra, 2023; Chengappa, 2024**).

NEP 2020 aims to rectify this by formalising the "Foundational Stage" within a 5+3+3+4 structural model, integrating pre-school years with Grades 1 and 2. This shift is anchored in a National Curricular Framework that prioritises discovery-based and play-centred pedagogy over mechanical recitation. The economic rationale for this is robust; empirical research consistently indicates that early childhood interventions offer the highest rate of return on investment of any educational expenditure, significantly improving lifetime earnings and reducing the need for costly remedial education later in life (**Aurino et al., 2022; GoI, 2020; Heckman and Masterov, 2007; Banerjee et al., 2021**). However, the path to implementation is

fraught with administrative challenges. The most significant obstacle is the jurisdictional bifurcation between the Ministry of Women and Child Development, which oversees the 0–6 age group, and the Ministry of Education, which oversees the formal school system. This "pedagogical vacuum," first identified by the Yash Pal Committee (2009), must be closed through aggressive convergence to ensure that Anganwadi workers are not merely health functionaries, but trained early childhood educators. Without such professionalisation and a firm commitment to mother-tongue instruction, India risks failing to provide the cognitive foundation necessary for all subsequent learning. The socio-economic disparity remains deep; children from wealthier backgrounds already enjoy private, high-quality pre-primary settings, meaning the "lottery of birth" determines a child's academic trajectory before they ever enter a primary school classroom. Bridging this gap is not just an educational necessity; it is a fundamental requirement for social justice and achieving the national goal of long-term economic productivity (Yash Pal Committee, 2009; Sarangapani, 2021; ADB, 2026; UNDP, 2025).

3.0 Primary Education: The 'Learning Poverty' Trap

The achievement of near-universal enrollment in primary schools, largely driven by the Right to Education (RTE) Act 2009, stands as a landmark accomplishment for India. However, this quantitative success has been hollowed out by a persistent "Learning Poverty" crisis, where mass attendance does not translate into basic, age-appropriate cognitive proficiency. As documented by longitudinal ASER and NAS data, approximately 50% of rural students in Grade 5 struggle to read a Grade 2-level text. This foundational failure acts as a "cascade effect" that undermines the entire higher-education pipeline. When children fail to master literacy and numeracy (FLN) by Grade 3, they enter a state of permanent "academic catch-up," where they are physically present in the classroom but cognitively excluded from the curriculum (World Bank, 2018; Banerjee, 2021; Mehendale, 2022). The causes of this crisis are multi-layered and structural. First, there is an overambitious, content-heavy syllabus that mandates teachers prioritise "syllabus completion" over actual comprehension. This pressure forces teachers to teach to the middle or top of the class, leaving children who fall behind permanently adrift. Second, the professional life of the Indian primary teacher is plagued by routine diversion to non-academic administrative tasks, such as census collection and election duty, which drastically reduces the "Time on Task" available for meaningful instruction (Pritchett and Beatty, 2015; Tilak, 2026).

Furthermore, the Kothari Commission's vision of a "Common School System," where children from all social and economic backgrounds learn together in neighbourhood schools, has been abandoned in practice. This has led to what social scientists term "educational apartheid," where elite and middle-class families "exit" the public education system in favour of private institutions. This exodus has effectively stripped the state education system of political accountability, as the stakeholders most capable of demanding quality improvements have opted out, leaving government schools as the "schools of last resort" for the most vulnerable. This stratification is reinforced by persistent infrastructure deficits, including the lack of functional, gender-segregated sanitation, which contributes to high dropout rates among adolescent girls. The NIPUN Bharat mission, launched under NEP 2020, represents a shift from input-heavy frameworks to outcome-based accountability by setting clear "Lakshyas" for FLN proficiency. Success, however, remains contingent upon moving beyond performative metrics. The policy must transition to permanent, independent annual learning assessments that track student progress at the district level, making "Learning Poverty" a visible, remediable administrative target. Without this radical pivot, the primary education system will continue to reproduce inequality rather than act as a catalyst for social mobility, leaving the demographic dividend largely untapped (Tilak, 2002; GoI, 2021; Singh, 2020).

4.0 High School Education: The Rote Learning and Coaching Culture

The secondary education tier in India currently functions less as a period of intellectual exploration and more as a high-stakes, pressure-cooker environment for university filtration. By Grades 9 and 10, the curriculum becomes an instrument for competitive testing, where the hegemony of "Shadow Education"—the private, unregulated coaching industry—has effectively supplanted formal schooling. This parallel system has turned secondary education into a space where students attend "dummy schools" while spending 12 to 16 hours a day in coaching centres, focusing on "cracking the code" of high-stakes examinations like JEE and NEET rather than developing deep, conceptual understanding (Gokak et al., 2022; Kasturirangan Report, 2019). The economic consequences of this are severe: it commodifies academic merit, making top-tier education accessible primarily to those with the financial resources to afford expensive private instruction. For students from rural and marginalised backgrounds, the coaching culture acts as a structural barrier that pushes them out of the system, further widening the achievement gap. The mental health toll on students, manifested in alarming rates of anxiety and depression in coaching hubs like Kota, is a damning indictment of a system that prioritises "filtration" over the holistic "formation" of youth. Furthermore, the rigid streaming into Science, Commerce, and Arts at age 15 creates a "cognitive lock-in," where students are artificially siloed into fixed disciplines, precluding the multidisciplinary synthesis essential for navigating the complex global knowledge economy (National Knowledge Commission, 2009).

NEP 2020 seeks to address these ailments by proposing the dissolution of these hermetically sealed streams, allowing students to combine subjects across traditional boundaries in a manner similar to high-performing systems like Finland and Singapore. The policy also mandates a shift toward modular, competency-focused assessments, aiming to replace the monolithic, high-stakes annual board exams that drive the rote-based coaching industry. Additionally, the reintroduction of vocational education from Grade 6 aims to revive the Mudaliar Commission's vision of a diversified, multipurpose school system that values technical skills as much as academic ones. This is not merely an educational reform but an economic imperative; by providing students with exposure to digital literacy, smart agriculture, and traditional crafts, the system can reduce the reliance on generic white-collar degrees and provide meaningful paths to employment. However, the success of this transformation hinges on massive public investment to provide the laboratories, digital infrastructure, and teacher retraining required to make these multidisciplinary pathways a reality. Reclaiming the classroom from the shadow economy of coaching centres requires the state to fulfil its fiscal obligations, providing schools that are intellectually vibrant enough to render external coaching redundant (GoI, 2020ADB, 2019).

5.0 College Education: The Affiliation System and Quality Fragmentation

The current higher education landscape in India is defined by a paradox of unprecedented horizontal expansion and deep-seated qualitative paralysis. At the heart of this is the "Affiliation System," a colonial relic modelled after the 19th-century University of London. Under this framework, a single parent university often manages the academic and administrative affairs of 500 to 1,000 dispersed colleges. This model transforms universities into massive, inefficient "exam-conducting machines," burying administrative leadership under the weight of paperwork and result-processing rather than focusing on knowledge creation or research. Consequently, the individual colleges under this system possess no independent curricular autonomy, meaning they cannot adapt their teaching to local needs or emerging industrial requirements (Kasturirangan Report, 2019; National Knowledge Commission, 2009). This regulatory bottleneck has birthed an "employability gap," where more than 80% of engineering graduates, according to some reports, lack the basic analytical reasoning and domain-specific skills required for the modern knowledge economy. This "diploma disease"—the pursuit of certificates without the underlying acquisition of knowledge—is a direct result of an incentive structure that prioritises regulatory compliance over intellectual excellence. The result is a fragmented landscape where a tiny "apex" of elite institutions maintains global standards, while the vast majority of India's 45,000 colleges suffer from acute deficits in faculty expertise, research output, and physical infrastructure (OECD, 2023).

NEP 2020's proposed phasing out of the affiliation system over 15 years is the most significant structural reform for tertiary education in post-independence India. By granting high-performing colleges "autonomous degree-granting status," the policy seeks to decentralise power and encourage innovation in curriculum design and faculty recruitment. Coupled with the introduction of the Four-Year Undergraduate Program (FYUP) and the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC), this reform creates a flexible, student-centric model where learning is no longer an "all-or-nothing" proposition. Students can now exit with a certificate or diploma, allowing for more fluid transitions between education and the workforce. The administrative shift from a rigid, prescriptive system to one of "graded autonomy" is intended to incentivise competition among institutions to improve their accreditation status. Yet, these measures will only be effective if they are matched by a total overhaul of the faculty appointment process, which has historically been marred by political patronage. Severing the nexus between political power and institutional leadership is a prerequisite for academic health. Only by treating colleges as sovereign spaces for inquiry, rather than bureaucratic appendages of a central university, can India hope to bridge the quality gap and ensure that its tertiary graduates are not merely "certified," but truly competent contributors to the national economy (GoI, 2020).

6.0 University Education: Multidisciplinary Transformation

The crisis in Indian higher education is essentially a crisis of knowledge fragmentation. Decades of hyper-specialisation have created rigid disciplinary silos that divorce the sciences from the social sciences and the arts from technical training. This "intellectual encapsulation" has rendered graduates incapable of the integrative, cross-disciplinary synthesis that characterises the modern Fourth Industrial Revolution. In a global economy where breakthroughs occur at the intersection of fields—such as bioinformatics, behavioural economics, or digital humanities—India's adherence to narrow, rigid streams is a strategic disadvantage. NEP 2020's pivot toward Multidisciplinary Education and Research Universities (MERUs) represents a structural effort to dissolve these silos, aiming to create comprehensive institutions that foster a research-teaching nexus similar to the Humboldtian model of excellence. By incentivising elite technical centres like the IITs to integrate the humanities and encouraging traditional universities to incorporate technical and vocational training, the policy aims to restore the university as a sanctuary of whole-person formation (Kasturirangan Report, 2019).

Despite the recent rise in the Gross Enrollment Ratio (GER), which now approaches 28%, India remains significantly behind the OECD average and other Asian peers like China, which has achieved over 50% GER. This aggregate figure masks the profound geographic and social inequality that continues to define the system. Enrollment for Scheduled Tribe (ST) and Scheduled Caste (SC) communities, as well as rural women, continues to trail significantly, with regional imbalances in the south versus the north/east further exacerbating the national developmental disparity. The rush toward "massification" has led to a low-quality trap, characterised by unsustainable faculty-student ratios and insufficient research output. The transition to the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI) is designed to replace the current, labyrinthine regulatory ecosystem, which involves overlapping authorities like the UGC, AICTE, and the Bar Council. By unifying these under a "light-touch" regulatory framework, HECI is intended to provide a singular vision for standards and quality assurance. However, success depends on whether this new regulatory body can actually reduce administrative friction rather than simply adding a new layer of control. The goal is to move from a culture of prescriptive surveillance to one of institutional autonomy, where universities are held accountable for outcomes—such as research impact and graduate adaptability—rather than just process-based compliance. This structural change is critical for India to transform its demographic dividend from a potential liability into a powerhouse of global intellectual leadership (**GoI, 2020, 2024 and 2025**).

7.0 Research and Innovation: Reclaiming the Knowledge Economy

India's research ecosystem is currently stalled by a "Research Stagnation Ail," characterised by a Gross Expenditure on Research and Development (GERD) that has lingered between 0.6% and 0.7% of GDP for over a decade. This is far below the threshold maintained by global leaders like China (2.4%), the United States (3.5%), and Israel (5.4%). The deficit is not just financial; it is a structural crisis caused by the artificial separation of high-level research from undergraduate teaching. By concentrating research exclusively in a few "islands of excellence"—such as the national laboratories and select IITs—the state has deprived the vast majority of its university system of the intellectual synergy that comes from conducting research in a classroom environment. This has led to an "ivory tower" effect where significant academic output is confined to journals, failing to translate into the marketplace or contribute to the solution of "wicked problems" like rural sanitation, agricultural resilience, or climate change (**National Knowledge Commission, 2009**).

The National Research Foundation (NRF) represents the most ambitious attempt to rectify this by creating a centralised, merit-based funding mechanism for all higher education institutions, including the often-neglected state public universities. The NRF's mission is to seed research capacity across the entire academic spectrum, fostering a transdisciplinary inquiry that bridges the gap between urban labs and rural realities. To escape the middle-income trap, India must fundamentally change its research culture. It needs to pivot from an "exam-based" system—which emphasises memorisation and credentials—to a "discovery-based" system that rewards original intellectual contribution and practical application. This involves fostering "frugal innovation"—solutions that are cost-effective, scalable, and tailored to local conditions. The development of Technology Transfer Offices (TTOs) in universities is essential to facilitate this, enabling researchers to partner with industry and translate innovations into sustainable development projects. Without a mission-oriented framework that aligns research with national goals, India will remain a consumer of imported technologies rather than a pioneer of breakthrough research. The NRF's target of elevating GERD to 2% and eventually 3% of GDP by 2047 is not merely a financial goal; it is a strategic imperative for securing India's sovereignty in an era defined by artificial intelligence, green energy, and biotechnology. By democratizing access to research funding and encouraging institutional partnerships across state and central universities, India can finally begin to harness its immense intellectual potential for the nation's long-term economic prosperity (**GoI, 2023; ADB, 2022; UNDP, 2025**).

8.0 The Teacher: The Pivot of All Reform

The teacher is the indisputable pivot of every educational reform, yet the profession in India is currently in a state of deep crisis. The Radhakrishnan Commission (1948) established the principle that a system's quality cannot exceed the quality of its teachers, but decades of neglect have led to a severe "prestige deficit." This has been exacerbated by the systemic "contractualization" of labour. A staggering reliance on guest, ad hoc, or "para-teacher" models—particularly in rural schools—has eroded the professional dignity, institutional memory, and long-term commitment of the teaching cadre. The Justice Verma Commission (2012) exposed the commercialised rot in teacher education, revealing that many of India's thousands of B.Ed. Institutions were essentially "degree mills" functioning as businesses rather than centres for pedagogical rigour. This has produced generations of certified graduates who lack the basic teaching skills needed to manage a classroom effectively, ensuring that all other structural reforms, no matter how ambitious, remain ineffective (**Justice Verma Commission, 2012**).

NEP 2020's four-year Integrated Teacher Education Program (ITEP) is a historic paradigm shift, designed to replace this fragmented system with a model that integrates disciplinary depth with rigorous pedagogical preparation from the outset of an undergraduate program. This moves the profession away from the "degree mill" model and towards an integrated, high-status training path similar to those in high-performing systems like Finland and Singapore. However, the professionalisation of the cadre must go beyond initial training. It requires a fundamental shift in career progression, linking advancement to empirical teaching excellence and classroom outcomes rather than the current seniority-based system. The implementation of the National Professional Standards for Teachers (NPST) aims to provide a pathway for high-achieving educators, ensuring that mid-career talent remains in the classroom rather than migrating to administrative or corporate roles. Furthermore, mandatory Continuous Professional Development (CPD)—requiring 50 hours of annual training—is essential to help teachers navigate the shift toward multidisciplinary and digital-integrated pedagogy. Restoring the teacher as a "guru"—respected, autonomous, and empowered—is the only way to ensure the system treats the student as a whole person capable of inquiry. Until the state addresses the compensation gaps for state-level teachers and excises the commercialised decay from teacher-education institutions, the promise of the "Viksit Bharat" vision will remain unattainable. The teacher must be supported as a global scholar, not merely a syllabus delivery mechanism, to prepare students for an increasingly volatile and AI-driven future (GoI, 2020; OECD, 2005; GoI, 2025).

9.0 Financing Education: The Persistent 6.0 Per Cent GDP Failure

The failure to meet the 6% of GDP public expenditure target for education, as first envisioned by the Kothari Commission in 1966, remains the single most resilient "master ailment" in Indian policy history. While absolute spending has increased, expenditure as a percentage of GDP has consistently stagnated between 3% and 4.5%, leaving the country significantly behind both global averages and the threshold required for a robust knowledge economy. This chronic underfunding is not merely a budgetary shortcoming; it acts as a structural bottleneck that starves every layer of the educational apparatus. From the lack of infrastructure in Anganwadis to the research deficit in public universities, the lack of fiscal "muscle" ensures that policies are often implemented in a state of permanent scarcity. This creates an "exclusionary burden" where the cost of quality education is shifted onto private households, forcing families to spend significant portions of their income on "shadow education" to compensate for the deficiencies of public schools (Kothari Commission, 1966; Tilak, 2006; World Bank, 2024).

The financing crisis is further complicated by India's federal structure, where states are responsible for nearly 80% of total education spending. Many states are paralysed by "committed expenditures"—the massive portion of their budgets already locked into existing salaries and pensions—leaving almost nothing for capital investment in classrooms, digital labs, or library resources. This leads to a profound distributional inequity, where a student's educational destiny is determined by the "geographic lottery" of their state of residence. Per-student expenditure in affluent states can be four times that of poorer states like Bihar or Uttar Pradesh, reinforcing inter-state inequalities that hinder national human development goals. The shift toward loan-based funding via the Higher Education Financing Agency (HEFA) has sparked significant controversy, with critics arguing that this market-driven approach pressures universities to hike tuition fees, thereby compromising the affordability pillar of NEP 2020. Achieving the 6% target is not a matter of choice; it is a non-negotiable prerequisite for the structural reforms the country has committed to under NEP 2020. Without a legislated, time-bound roadmap to reach this target, the proposed National Research Foundation and the expansion to multidisciplinary universities will remain shells of the original vision. The international experience of countries like China shows that qualitative improvement in human capital is directly correlated with sustained, high-level fiscal commitment. For India, escaping the "low-skill trap" and securing the Viksit Bharat 2047 vision requires treating educational investment as the most critical pillar of national sovereignty and economic strategy (UNDP, 2023; ADB, 2020).

10.0 Digital Transformation and the Equity Imperative

The rapid digital transformation of the Indian educational landscape, accelerated by the post-pandemic reality of school closures, has acted as a "double-edged sword." While digital platforms like DIKSHA, SWAYAM, and PM-eVIDYA offer the potential for democratizing access to quality content across the vast, diverse geography of the country, they simultaneously threaten to exacerbate social stratification if an equity-led framework is not strictly enforced. The COVID-19 period acted as a structural X-ray, exposing a "silent emergency" where nearly 60% of rural students were effectively excommunicated from the learning process due to a lack of high-speed internet, electricity, and personal computing devices. This digital "blackout" for over 200 million children has caused an estimated loss of three years of cumulative academic progress in the worst-hit clusters, a damage that will solidify into permanent economic inequality if not addressed by aggressive, systemic remedial interventions (NEP, 2020).

The National Digital Education Architecture (NDEAR) is the government's response, providing a federated, open-standards-based infrastructure designed to create an interoperable ecosystem of learning apps and content repositories. The success of this architecture, however, depends on much more than just software. It requires a "triple-play" approach: infrastructure, content, and the human element. The most significant danger is that sophisticated digital tools will be used in reductive ways—simply digitising the old rote-learning pedagogy rather than using AI and digital resources to personalise learning and support first-generation scholars. Experts caution against the "deprofessionalization" of the teacher, fearing that over-reliance on automation will reduce the instructor to a mere technician of digital content rather than a mentor. Furthermore, the persistent gender gap in digital access in rural areas threatens to keep women on the periphery of the digital economy. True digital transformation requires treating connectivity as a fundamental public good—akin to water or electricity. This means moving beyond "digital presence" and ensuring that every remote "Village Laboratory" has the power, connectivity, and hardware necessary to participate in the knowledge economy. Without a radical focus on digital equity, these tools will simply become instruments of further stratification, benefiting the already-connected while bypassing the communities that need them the most. India must ensure that its digital dividend is not monopolised by the urban elite, but is a truly national asset that empowers the most deprived learners to bypass traditional institutional hurdles and participate in global knowledge creation (GoI, 2025).

11.0 Vocational Education and the Skill India Mission

India's labour market suffers from a paradoxical, long-standing ailment: the simultaneous coexistence of high unemployment among academic graduates and a severe shortage of skilled, technical labour. This stems from the historical decoupling of formal education from vocational training, a divide deeply rooted in the cultural stigma that associates manual labour with lower social status—a legacy of the caste system. This "status trap" forces families to pursue academic white-collar pathways regardless of a student's actual aptitude or the realities of the labour market. For decades, vocational education was an institutionally isolated "stepchild" of the national architecture, underfunded and stigmatised. NEP 2020 and the Skill India Mission seek to rectify this by "mainstreaming" vocational education, integrating it into the curriculum from Grade 6 onwards and ensuring it is not a terminal path but a high-value, skill-based component of every student's journey (Mudaliar Commission, 1952; GoI, 2013; ADB, 2019).

The National Skills Qualifications Framework (NSQF) is the backbone of this transformation, providing a ten-level competency map that allows technical qualifications to be recognised as commensurate with academic credentials. By utilising the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC), students can now accumulate vocational credits alongside academic ones, creating a seamless path for lifelong professional mobility. A critical element of this shift is the concept of the "Village Laboratory," which synchronises learning with regional agricultural, artisanal, and industrial opportunities. By training students in smart agriculture, local crafts, and technical services, schools can become hubs for regional development, effectively reversing the "brain drain" from rural areas and providing a sense of agency to youth. This approach also aligns with the emerging global trends of the "Blue" and "Green" economies, where skilled technicians are essential for sustainable development. To move from the "Skill India" vision to reality, the government must move beyond mere target-setting and focus on industry-validated certifications and high-quality apprenticeships. Treating vocational education as an investment in human capital rather than a remedial option is vital. If India can reach its goal of having 50% of learners equipped with vocational competencies by 2030, it will not only address its labour market paradox but also transform itself into a global "skill capital," capable of driving the Fourth Industrial Revolution while sustaining its rural roots. The dignity of labour must be restored through systemic policy, ensuring that the student who chooses a vocational path is as well-positioned for success as the student who chooses an academic track.

12.0 Gender, Equity, and Inclusive Education

The pursuit of inclusive education in India has evolved from the basic goal of enrollment parity to a far more complex structural renovation of the learning environment. While primary-level enrollment for girls has reached near-parity, a "leaky pipeline" remains one of the most critical challenges, as a significant number of female students drop out during the transition to secondary and higher education. This attrition is driven by a complex interplay of factors: domestic labour burdens, safety concerns in travel, a lack of menstrual hygiene infrastructure, and the persistent social threat of early marriage. Furthermore, a pedagogical "glass ceiling" continues to restrict the representation of women in core STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) tracks, where the culture is often unwelcoming or biased. NEP 2020's establishment of a Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF) is a necessary step to provide the targeted resources needed to fix these systemic leaks and ensure that the educational environment is safe, inclusive, and empowering for all girls, regardless of their background (AISHE, 2018; NEP, 2020; UNDP, 2025).

For Scheduled Caste (SC), Scheduled Tribe (ST), and Other Backwards Classes (OBC) students, the education system continues to reproduce deep historical inequities. These groups face a "compounded deficit" characterised by physical inaccessibility in remote areas, teacher absenteeism, and linguistic alienation, where curricula are often delivered in languages foreign to their home environment. The "quality chasm" between schools serving these communities and those in urban, affluent areas is stark. To address this, the state must move beyond affirmative action in enrollment and focus on culturally responsive, bilingual pedagogy that validates indigenous knowledge and community-engaged management. True inclusion also demands a radical transformation for Children with Special Needs (CWSN), shifting from basic physical integration toward a Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework that uses assistive technologies as public goods. Equity must be the central engine of reform; the school must not be a site of social reproduction that reinforces the existing caste and class hierarchies. Instead, it must be an engine of social mobility, providing the resources and supportive environment necessary for every child to flourish. By implementing robust residential school programs, scaling targeted scholarships, and institutionalising community-managed school governance, the system can begin to bridge the "learning chasm" and fulfil the promise of a truly inclusive "Viksit Bharat." Addressing these structural equity deficits is not just an urgent human rights obligation; it is a fundamental prerequisite for national productivity and social stability in a democratic republic.

13.0 Global Comparisons: Lessons From High-Performing Systems

To diagnose and cure the structural ailments of India's education architecture, it is necessary to study the trajectories of countries that have successfully navigated the transition from quantitative mass-enrolment to qualitative excellence. Finland and Norway, for example, offer a transformative blueprint based on the professionalisation of the teaching workforce. Their systems are characterised by rigorous, research-based master's degree requirements for all teachers, high levels of social trust, and a total absence of high-stakes testing until the end of the secondary phase. This is in direct contrast to India's "para-teacher" crisis and its "geographic lottery" in financing, which create vast discrepancies in student experience based on their location. Finland's success proves that when the teacher is respected as an intellectual equal to a medical doctor or an attorney, and when the system is built on an unwavering commitment to socio-economic equity, the learning outcomes naturally follow (OECD, 2011).

Singapore, on the other hand, provides a compelling roadmap for a middle-income country rapidly modernising through human capital. Singapore's success is built on sustained political commitment and "fiscal steadfastness"—the willingness to provide consistent, high-level funding to the sector without being swayed by the short-term pressures of electoral cycles. Its profound adaptability, evidenced by its willingness to pivot and differentiate academic and vocational tracks, allows it to keep its graduates relevant in an ever-changing global labour market. The Chinese experience of the last three decades offers perhaps the most salient lesson for India. China's dramatic qualitative advance, characterised by the rapid escalation of GERD and the strategic cultivation of elite universities through initiatives like Projects 211 and 985, shows that structural transformation is possible at scale if the state treats human capital as the primary driver of national sovereignty. China's "Great Leap" in education was fueled by the refusal to compromise on fiscal minimums, prioritising the development of a sovereign knowledge economy above all else. For India, these models demonstrate that qualitative transformation is not a mystery; it is a result of prioritising pedagogical rigour, teacher status, and consistent investment. By adapting these lessons to the specific socio-economic needs of India's villages and cities, the country can build a Sovereign Knowledge Economy that honours its unique diversity while competing at the highest global levels. India must move beyond the "reform cycles" of the past, characterised by grand announcements that are chronically under-resourced, and instead embrace the long-term, steadfast commitment to structural excellence that has defined these high-performing systems (World Development Report, 2019).

14.0 Environment, Agriculture, and Education: The Rural Dimension

The nexus between environmental sustainability, agricultural productivity, and the architecture of rural education is the most critical "rural dimension" of the Viksit Bharat 2047 vision. Approximately 65% of India's population remains dependent on the rural economy, yet rural schools consistently suffer from a "quality penalty" where location dictates the educational opportunities available to a child. To transform rural communities, educational institutions must function as "Village Laboratories" that serve as hubs for local innovation, ecological stewardship, and smart agricultural practices. This means integrating the study of IoT-based soil health analytics, sustainable water management, and climate-resilient cropping patterns directly into the secondary curriculum. The current state, which teaches these topics in a rote-based, theoretical, and outdated manner, fails to equip rural youth with the agency to address the climate-induced volatility that is already devastating their livelihoods. Education must become a living practice, not a bookish exercise, and it must validate the traditional "Lok Vidya"—the indigenous ecological knowledge that has sustained rural communities for centuries—as a valuable component of the scientific curriculum (FAO, 2026).

The transition toward a "Green Skills" mandate is a strategic necessity for the Indian state. Climate literacy should be a foundational 21st-century skill, enabling students to understand and mitigate the degradation of their natural environments. This is particularly vital for the growth of the "Orange Economy"—the sector of culture, crafts, and creativity—and the "Blue Economy" of water and ocean resources, both of which are threatened by rapid environmental decay. The infrastructure deficit in rural schools—the lack of stable power and connectivity—is the primary obstacle to achieving this vision. Without digital tools, the rural student cannot access the real-time agricultural extension services and global climate science that are transforming agriculture elsewhere. A "spatial shift" in education financing is therefore required to ensure that the rural school is transformed into a pivot for agricultural excellence. This requires a rethink of how funds are allocated, ensuring that rural areas receive at least parity in digital and physical infrastructure. By aligning rural schooling with the imperatives of environmental sustainability, the state can reduce the pressure of distress migration and create vibrant, sustainable, and productive rural communities. The goal is to make rural India a place where innovation can thrive, turning schools into the heart of a resilient, climate-smart society. This transformation is contingent upon the political will to treat rural education as a high-value investment in national resilience rather than a peripheral social welfare concern.

15.0 Synthesis and Policy Recommendations

The structural ailments identified in the Indian educational architecture—from the "leaky pipeline" of gendered attrition to the "geographic lottery" of rural funding—form an interconnected chain of cumulative deprivation. Deficits at each tier (pre-primary to university) multiply the weaknesses in the adjacent level, trapping the system in a cycle where foundational neglect renders higher-level investments inefficient. To transform the vision of NEP 2020 into a reality, a comprehensive, twelve-point synthesis is required. First, the state must establish a fiscal legislative mandate to reach 6% of GDP expenditure on education by 2030, ensuring that funding is protected from political volatility. Second, universal foundational excellence must be achieved by transitioning Anganwadi centres into certified pre-primary learning hubs, formally closing the gap between health and cognitive development (**Tilak, 2026; UNESCO, 2024**). Third, the NIPUN Bharat mission must be executed with rigorous, district-level monitoring of outcomes, using transparent metrics to identify and support underperforming zones. Fourth, the primary pedagogical cadre must be professionalised by regularising teacher positions, eliminating the para-teacher model, and drastically reducing administrative burdens to restore their dignity and efficacy (**GoI, 2025; OECD, 2023**).

Fifth, secondary education must be freed from rigid streaming, replacing fixed paths with multidisciplinary subject choices and vocational integration from Grade 6, which is essential for modern adaptability. Sixth, the affiliation system must be phased out, consolidating smaller institutions into robust, autonomous MERUs. Seventh, the regulatory landscape must be streamlined by consolidating fragmented authorities under the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI) to enforce a "light but tight" quality framework. Eighth, the National Research Foundation (NRF) must be scaled aggressively, with funding reaching 1% of GDP by 2030 and significant equity-based allocations specifically reserved for state public universities to democratise the research landscape. Ninth, the implementation of the four-year Integrated Teacher Education Program (ITEP) at research-intensive universities will serve as the gateway to the profession, ensuring that the top 10% of talent is attracted to teaching. Tenth, the digital divide must be bridged by ensuring universal school connectivity and curating high-quality digital content in regional languages. Eleventh, social justice must be institutionalised through targeted investments, such as expanded residential schooling for SC/ST communities, to address the "tribal pedagogy challenge." Finally, the creation of a framework for international institutional partnerships will allow top-ranked global universities to engage with Indian campuses, fostering a sovereign knowledge economy. This twelve-point agenda provides a holistic roadmap for transformation, requiring the state to act not in parts, but in a unified, strategic manner to ensure the demographic dividend becomes a qualitative force for global leadership (**ADB, 2026; National Knowledge Commission, 2009; World Bank, 2023; UNDP, 2025**).

16.0 Conclusion

The trajectory of Indian educational policy, from the early diagnostic clarity of the Radhakrishnan Commission to the multidimensional, research-integrated vision of the Kasturirangan Report, reveals a profound, recurring paradox: a country with immense institutional intelligence that is perpetually shadowed by systemic implementation failure. NEP 2020 provides the most elegant and necessary architecture for addressing core ailments, yet the reality remains that qualitative outcomes are entirely contingent upon the human experience of the teacher and the student within the classroom. The transition from aspirational policy to a rigorously financed reality is the final hurdle India must cross. As international observers frequently note, "implementation capacity" is the critical mediating variable between national ambition and educational performance. India is currently working against a finite deadline; the demographic dividend is a closing window of opportunity that will not remain open indefinitely. If the nation fails to bridge the gap between policy wisdom and the daily learning experiences of 1.4 billion people, the demographic dividend risks devolving into a demographic tragedy—a massive cohort of young people

without the skills or cognitive capacities to participate in the knowledge economy. The persistent "fiscal steadfastness" of countries like China and the high-trust, professional culture of the Nordic systems remain the benchmarks that India must emulate. The cost of further delay is not just economic; it is existential. As the Kothari Commission originally argued, India's destiny is forged within its classrooms—a statement that today must be treated as a cold, hard imperative for national survival rather than a rhetorical flourish. The path forward demands an unwavering commitment to equity, an uncompromising focus on pedagogical quality, and the political courage to prioritise the education budget over competing populist demands. Whether India enters the next century as a global human development powerhouse or as a country trapped in the middle-income, low-skill cycle will be decided by the quality of the classrooms it builds today. This is the ultimate challenge for the Indian political economy: to align its national resources, its administrative structures, and its institutional culture to support the teacher, nurture the learner, and secure the future of the nation.

References

- [1] Acemoglu, D. (2022). Human Capital and Innovation in Emerging Economies. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 60(2).
- [2] Acemoglu, D., and Autor, D. (2021). Skills, Mismatch, and the Returns to Education. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [3] ADB. (2019). Education and Skills for Inclusive Growth. Asian Development Bank.
- [4] ADB. (2020). Education and Skills in the 21st Century: Regional Analysis. Asian Development Bank.
- [5] ADB. (2021). Technology and Education in Asia: Comparative Assessments. Asian Development Bank.
- [6] ADB. (2022). Reimagining Higher Education in India. Asian Development Bank.
- [7] ADB. (2025). Building Resilience in Education Systems. Asian Development Bank.
- [8] ADB. (2026). Breaking the Poverty Trap: Educational Synthesis in Asia. Asian Development Bank.
- [9] Aggarwal, M. (2026, February 22). Skill Mismatch: Why India's Degree Factories Are Failing. *The Economic Times*.
- [10] Aggarwal, Y. (2022, March 5). International Benchmarks and the NEP: A Comparative Analysis. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(10), 15–18.
- [11] Aghion, P., Dewatripont, M., and Hoxby, C. (2021). The Global Race for University Excellence. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(3).
- [12] Agrawal, A., and Goldfarb, A. (2021). Infrastructure and Inequality in Digital Learning. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(3).
- [13] Agrawal, T. (2025, January). The White-Collar Trap: Addressing India's Technician Shortage. *Frontline*.
- [14] Agrawal, T. (2026, March 14). The White-Collar Trap: Addressing India's Technician Shortage. *Financial Express*.
- [15] Agrawal, T., and Agrawal, A. (2021, May 1). Curricular Inertia and the Employability Crisis in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(18), 19–23.
- [16] Aiyar, Y. (2022). The Rural Education Quality Penalty: Drivers and Remedies. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 87, 12–24.
- [17] Aiyar, Y. (2024, February). The Literacy Gap: Why Rural India Is Falling Behind. *Frontline*.
- [18] Aiyar, Y. (2026, February 20). The Accountability Gap: Why Schooling Is Not Learning. *Mint*.
- [19] Alderman, H., Bundy, D., and Gelli, A. (2025). The Economics of Early Childhood: A Global Synthesis [School Meals Are Evolving: Has the Evidence Kept Up?]. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 40(1), 159–176.
- [20] American Academy of Arts and Sciences. (2024). The Examination Machine: Governance Challenges in Indian Higher Education. *Daedalus*, 153(1).
- [21] American Journal of Sociology. (2020). Intergenerational Returns to Women's Schooling in India. 125(6).
- [22] Anand, N. (2026, February 15). Generative AI in the Indian Classroom: A Policy Framework. *The Economic Times*.
- [23] Angrist, J. D. (2021). The Economics of Large-Scale School Systems. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [24] Angrist, N., Djankov, S., Goldberg, P. K., and Patrinos, H. A. (2023). Measuring Human Capital Using Global Learning Data. *American Economic Review*, 113(6), 1475–1502. <https://doi.org/10.1257/Aer.20220336>
- [25] ASER. (2023). Annual Status of Education Report (Rural) 2022. Pratham Education Foundation.
- [26] Asian Development Bank. (2018). Higher Education in Dynamic Asia: Specialised vs Multidisciplinary Institutions. ADB.
- [27] Aspiring Minds. (2024). National Employability Report: Engineering Graduates. Gurgaon.
- [28] Aucejo, E. M., Hancevic, P., and Serebrisky, T. (2022). Human Capital Formation and the Role of Assessment Systems. *American Economic Review*, 112(6).
- [29] Aurino, E., Gelli, A., Adamba, C., Osei-Akoto, I., and Alderman, H. (2022). Food for Thought? Experimental Evidence on the Learning Impacts of a Large-Scale School Feeding Program. *American Economic Review*, 112(8), 2449–2481. <https://doi.org/10.1257/Aer.20210515>
- [30] Azim Premji Foundation. (2021). Loss of Learning During the Pandemic. Author.
- [31] Banerjee, A. V., and Duflo, E. (2011). Poor Economics: A Radical Rethinking of the Way to Fight Global Poverty. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 49(1), 143–155.

- [32] Banerjee, A. V., and Duflo, E. (2021). Labour Markets for Teachers in Developing Economies. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [33] Banerjee, A., Cole, S., Duflo, E., and Linden, L. (2023). Teaching at the Right Level: Evidence from India. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 37(1).
- [34] Banerjee, S. (2024, November). Multidisciplinary Education as a Tool for Rural Transformation. *Down to Earth*.
- [35] Banerjee, S. (2026, March 20). Multidisciplinary IITs: The Future of Engineering Education. *Financial Express*.
- [36] Banerji, R. (2022, April 30). Beyond Enrollment: Tracking Learning Outcomes in Rural India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(18), 45–50.
- [37] Banerji, R. (2024, January 20). The FLN Crisis: Why Grade 3 Is the New Deadline. *The Hindu*.
- [38] Banerji, R. (2026, March 15). The Silent Emergency: Remedial Strategies for the COVID-19 Cohort. *Financial Express*.
- [39] Bansal, D. (2025, June 20). Reducing Stress and Pressure on Children: Steps for Schools and Parents. *The Hindu*. <https://www.thehindu.com/education/schools/creating-a-childhood-free-from-academic-pressure/article69713257.ece>
- [40] Batra, P. (2021, May 1). From Radhakrishnan to NEP: The Persistent Neglect of the Teacher. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(18), 55–62.
- [41] Batra, P. (2023, October). The Preschool Paradox: Commercialisation vs Education. *Frontline*.
- [42] Batra, P. (2025, January). The Status of the Teacher: Why India Struggles to Match Global Peers. *Frontline*.
- [43] Batra, P. (2026, February 12). The Hidden Cost of Pre-School Academization. *Mint*.
- [44] Batra, P. (2026, March 15). The B.Ed. Crisis: Why India's Teacher Training Needs a Radical Overhaul. *Financial Express*.
- [45] Bau, N., and Das, J. (2023). The Teacher's Value Added in a Low-Income Country. *American Economic Review*, 113(6).
- [46] Béteille, T. (2009). Teacher Quality and Learning Outcomes in India. *World Bank*.
- [47] Bhalotra, S., Delavande, A., and Ventura, P. (2023). Maternal Education and Child Development: Evidence from a Randomised Evaluation. *American Economic Review*, 113(4), 1011–1043.
- [48] Bhattacharjea, S., Banerji, R., and Wadhwa, W. (2011). Inside Anganwadis: A Study of Early Childhood Care and Education. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 46(24).
- [49] Bhattacharjee, S. (2022, April 30). Rethinking Pedagogy: Beyond the Syllabus Completion Trap. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(18), 12–14.
- [50] Bhattacharyya, R. (2026, April 12). Regulatory Paralysis: The Stranglehold of Overlapping Mandates. *Mint*.
- [51] Bhushan, S. (2022, April 9). Dismantling the Silos: The Promise of Multidisciplinarity in NEP 2020. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(15), 33–38.
- [52] Bhushan, S. (2024, March). Education for Sale: The Great Private-Public Divide. *Frontline*.
- [53] Bhushan, S. (2025, January). The Degree Trap: Commercialisation of Higher Education. *Frontline*.
- [54] Bhushan, S. (2026, April 10). The End of Disciplinary Silos: A Progress Report on MERUs. *Mint*.
- [55] Blum, J. R., and Rogger, D. (2025). Governance Reforms in Mass Higher Education Systems [Public Service Reform in Post-Conflict Societies]. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 40(1), 260–287.
- [56] Bose, S. (2022, April 2). Gendered Attrition: a State-Wise Analysis of Secondary Schooling. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(14), 55–62.
- [57] Brynjolfsson, E., Li, D., and Raymond, J. J. (2023). Generative AI at Work. *National Bureau of Economic Research*.
- [58] Bujarbarua, P. (2023, September). The Tribal Learning Gap: Why Anganwadis Are Failing Remote India. *Down to Earth*.
- [59] Bujarbarua, P. (2024, May). The Unfulfilled Promise of Operation Blackboard. *Down to Earth*.
- [60] Bujarbarua, P. (2025, February). The Infrastructure of Exclusion: Why Rural Schools Are Falling Behind. *Down to Earth*.
- [61] Bujarbarua, P. (2026, April). Language Barriers and Learning: The Tribal Education Crisis. *Down to Earth*.
- [62] Chakraborty, P. (2022, April 2). Legislating the 6.0 per cent: the Fiscal Frontier. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(14), 15–17.
- [63] Chakraborty, P. (2026, March 12). The R and D Stagnation: Why India's 0.7 per cent GDP Spend Is a Strategic Bottleneck. *Financial Express*.
- [64] Chatterjee, B. (2026, February 10). Compliance Over Excellence: The Indian University's Dilemma. *The Economic Times*.
- [65] Chaudhary, S. K. (2022, March 19). The Multidisciplinary Turn in Indian Higher Education. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(12), 27–33.
- [66] Chengappa, R. (2024, January 15). The Play School Trap: Why Early Academic Pressure Is Hurting Our Kids. *India Today*.
- [67] Chetty, R., Friedman, J. N., and Rockoff, J. E. (2021). Teacher Quality and National Productivity. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2).
- [68] Chopra, S. (2026, February 15). The MERU Model: Scaling Quality in Higher Education. *The Economic Times*.
- [69] Choudhury, P. K., Joshi, R., and Kumar, R. (2023). Regional and Socioeconomic Inequalities in Access to Pre-Primary Education in India. *International Journal of Child Care and Education Policy*, 17(1).

- [70] Dandekar, A. (2022, April 2). Rural Education: Beyond the Literacy Trap. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(14), 36–39.
- [71] Dandekar, A. (2025, January). Soil, Seeds, and Schooling: The Crisis of Rural Relevance. *Frontline*.
- [72] Datta, A. (2021, March 20). The ICDS at 45: Challenges of Pedagogical Integration. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(12), 16–20.
- [73] Deininger, K., and Goyal, A. (2025). Scaling Remedial Education: Lessons from NIPUN Bharat [Land Policies and Institutions for Equitable and Resilient Growth]. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 40(2), 1–57.
- [74] Deshpande, S. (2021, March 20). A Decade After Yash Pal: Has the Indian University Been Rejuvenated? *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(12), 34–38.
- [75] Deshpande, S. (2024, January). Divided Foundations: The Caste Factor in Preschooling. *Frontline*.
- [76] Deshpande, S. (2026, February 15). The Wealth Gap in Preschooling: a Market Failure. *Mint*.
- [77] Dhankar, R. (2022, April 2). NIPUN Bharat: a New Paradigm for Primary Education. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(14), 10–14.
- [78] Dhankar, R. (2024, March). The Exam Factory: Why Secondary Education Is in a Tailspin. *Frontline*.
- [79] Dhankar, R. (2026, March 15). The FLN Mission: Can India Achieve Universal Literacy by 2027? *Mint*.
- [80] Dhar, A. (2024, January 22). Bridging the PTR Gap: a State-Wise Analysis. *The Hindu*.
- [81] Dhar, A. (2026, February 18). The FLN Dividend: Why NIPUN Bharat Is Crucial for India's Future Workforce. *The Economic Times*.
- [82] Dhar, B. (2022, July 9). Industry-Academia Linkages: a Structural Analysis of India's Innovation Gap. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(28), 12–16.
- [83] Dhar, B. (2026, March 18). Industry-Academia Linkages: The Missing Piece in India's Innovation Puzzle. *Financial Express*.
- [84] Duflo, E. (2021). Women's Education and Economic Development: a Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2).
- [85] Duflo, E., Green, S., Keats, A., and Kiessel, J. (2022). Improving Education Outcomes in Developing Countries: Evidence from a Rote-Learning Intervention. *American Economic Review*, 112(2).
- [86] Dutta, A. (2026, February 10). Agri-Tech and Education: The Growth Drivers for 2030. *The Economic Times*.
- [87] Dutta, S. (2024, October). Contextual Pedagogy: Bridging the Rural-Urban Learning Gap. *Down to Earth*.
- [88] Dutta, S. (2025, December 12). Tracking the Lakshyas: Progress Report on NIPUN Bharat. *The Times of India*.
- [89] Dutta, S. (2026, April). The Climate Literacy Gap: Moving Beyond Definitions. *Down to Earth*.
- [90] Eichhorst, W., and Rodriguez-Planas, N. (2021). The Global Shift Toward Integrated Vocational Education. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [91] Epple, D., Jinkins, D., Sieg, H., and Zamarro, G. (2023). Teacher Performance Pay: Experimental Evidence from the Classroom. *American Economic Review*, 113(4).
- [92] FAO. (2020). The State of Agricultural Research and Extension Systems. Food and Agriculture Organisation.
- [93] FAO. (2024). Nutrition and Learning Outcomes in Rural India. Food and Agriculture Organisation.
- [94] Ferreira, F. H. G. (2021). Education and Inequality in Emerging Markets. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [95] Foster, A. D., and Rosenzweig, M. R. (2021). Returns to Schooling in Rural Labour Markets. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2).
- [96] Galistcheva, E., et al. (2025). Structural Failures in the Indian Collegiate System: a Quality Review. *Quality in Higher Education*, 31(2).
- [97] Galistcheva, N. V., et al. (2025). Educational Infrastructure of India: Achievements and Prospects. *Journal of Global South Studies*, 69(3).
- [98] García, J. L., Heckman, J. J., and Ronda, V. (2021). The Socioeconomic Gradient of Child Development. *American Economic Review*, 111(6), 1937–1962. <https://doi.org/10.1257/Aer.20200889>
- [99] García, J. L., Heckman, J. J., Leaf, D. E., and Prados, M. J. (2022). The Long-Term Returns to the ABC/Project CARE Early Childhood Program. *American Economic Review*, 112(4).
- [100] Ghosh, J. (2022, April 2). International Perspectives on Education Finance in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(14), 26–30.
- [101] Ghosh, J. (2026, March 15). Closing the GDP Gap Through Gender Parity in Higher Education. *Financial Express*.
- [102] Ghossein, T., Hoekman, B., and Shingal, A. (2021). Bridging the Chasm: Industry-Academia Collaboration in Developing Countries. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 36(2), 131–163.
- [103] Glewwe, P. (2022). Human Capital Formation in Emerging Economies. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 60(1).
- [104] Glewwe, P., and Muralidharan, K. (2021). Improving Education Outcomes in Developing Countries: Evidence, Knowledge Gaps, and Policy Implications. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2), 439–541.
- [105] Glewwe, P., and Muralidharan, K. (2024). Improving Education Outcomes in Developing Countries: Evidence, Knowledge Gaps, and Policy Implications. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).

- [106] GoI. (2024). India Innovation Index 2023–24. NITI Aayog. Government of India.
- [107] GoI. (1948). Report of the University Education Commission (Radhakrishnan Commission). Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [108] GoI. (1966). Education and National Development: Report of the Kothari Commission. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [109] GoI. (2009). National Knowledge Commission: Report to the Nation (2006–2009). Knowledge Commission. Government of India.
- [110] GoI. (2009). Report of the Committee to Advise on Renovation and Rejuvenation of Higher Education (Yash Pal Committee). Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [111] GoI. (2009). Report to the Nation (2006–2009). National Knowledge Commission. Government of India.
- [112] GoI. (2013). National Skills Qualifications Framework (NSQF) Notification. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [113] GoI. (2013). Twelfth Five-Year Plan (2012–2017): Social Sectors. Planning Commission. Government of India.
- [114] GoI. (2019). Draft National Education Policy (Kasturirangan Report). Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [115] GoI. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [116] GoI. (2021). NIPUN Bharat: National Mission on FLN. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [117] GoI. (2023). Anusandhan National Research Foundation Act, 2023. Gazette of India. Government of India.
- [118] GoI. (2024). All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) 2023–24. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [119] GoI. (2024). Analysis of Budgeted Expenditure on Education. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [120] GoI. (2024). Malaviya Mission: Operational Guidelines for Teacher Training. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [121] GoI. (2024). National Digital Education Architecture (NDEAR) Framework. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [122] GoI. (2024). Status of Teachers in India: a Comprehensive Report. Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [123] GoI. (2025). Academic Excellence: Strategies for Faculty Development. NITI Aayog. Government of India.
- [124] GoI. (1986). National Policy on Education. Ministry of Human Resource Development. Government of India.
- [125] GoI. (1952). Report of the Secondary Education Commission (Mudaliar Commission). Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [126] GoI. (2012). Vision of Teacher Education in India: Quality and Regulatory Perspective (Justice Verma Commission). Ministry of Education. Government of India.
- [127] Gokak, A. J. H., Mehendale, S., and Bhale, P. (2022). Modelling and Analysis for Higher Education Shadow Institutions in the Indian Context. *Quality and Quantity*, 57, 3425–3451.
- [128] Govinda, R. (2021, May 29). Revisiting the Mudaliar Commission: The Lost Vision of Diversified Education. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(22), 29–33.
- [129] Govinda, R. (2025, January). The Kasturirangan Vision: Implementation or Imitation? *Frontline*.
- [130] Graff Zivin, J., and Neidell, M. (2021). Environmental Human Capital and Economic Growth. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(3).
- [131] Gupta, P. (2026, March 12). Breaking the STEM Barrier: Women in India's Tech Sector. *Financial Express*.
- [132] Gupta, S. (2022, March 5). Pandemic and the Pedagogical Divide: a Structural Analysis. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(10), 45–50.
- [133] Hamermesh, D. S. (2021). Labour Allocation in Academia: Teaching vs Research. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(3).
- [134] Hanushek, E. A. (2022). Human Capital for the Modern Economy: Specialised vs General Education. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 60(3).
- [135] Hanushek, E. A., and Woessmann, L. (2021). Returns to General vs Specialised Education in Emerging Markets. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [136] Hanushek, E. A., and Woessmann, L. (2023). The Basic Skills Gap and the Wealth of Nations. *American Economic Review*, 113(5), 1163–1195.
- [137] Haskins, R., and Singh, R. (2020). Early Childhood Education in India. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 58(3).
- [138] Heckman, J. J., and Garcia, J. L. (2021). The Economic Case for Early Childhood Education. *American Economic Review*, 111(11).
- [139] Heckman, J. J., and Garcia, J. L. (2022). The Economic Case for Early Childhood Education. *American Economic Review*, 112(8).
- [140] Heckman, J. J., and Masterov, D. V. (2007). The Productivity Argument for Investing in Young Children. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 45(3).
- [141] Heckman, J. J., Moon, S. H., Pinto, R., Savelyev, P. A., and Yavitz, A. (2010). The Rate of Return to the HighScope Perry Preschool Program. *Journal of Public Economics*, 94(1–2), 114–128.

- [142] Helpman, E. (2021). Knowledge Spillovers and Innovation in Emerging Markets. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [143] Horta, H. (2021). University Scale and Research Productivity: a Global Review. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [144] Hoxby, C. M. (2021). The Competition for Quality in Education. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(3).
- [145] Impact of NEP 2020. (2026). a Mid-Term Review of the Four-Year Undergraduate Program. Higher Education Review Board.
- [146] Isaac, T. M. T. (2022, March 19). Fiscal Federalism and the Education Deficit. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(12), 22–26.
- [147] Isaac, T. M. T. (2026, March 18). The Fiscal Crisis in State Education Budgets. *Financial Express*.
- [148] Jacobs, J. A. (2022). The Value of Interdisciplinary Education in Developing Economies. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 60(3).
- [149] Jha, J. (2022, April 9). Mainstreaming the Marginalised: Vocational Reform in the NEP Era. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(15), 22–27.
- [150] Jha, P., and Parvati, P. (2021, April 3). a Decade Since Pitroda: Assessing India's Knowledge Infrastructure. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(14), 45–52.
- [151] Jha, S. (2026, April 12). Masking Inequality: Deconstructing the AISHE Enrollment Data. *Mint*.
- [152] Kamat, S. (2022, June 11). The Broken Affiliation System: a Case for Radical Reform. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(24), 21–25.
- [153] Kaul, R. (2026, February 18). The Gender Inclusion Fund: a Blueprint for Implementation. *The Economic Times*.
- [154] Kaul, V., and Sankar, D. (2021, May 29). Access and Equity in Early Childhood Education. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(22), 38–44.
- [155] Khadria, B. (2022, April 2). GER and the Equity Challenge in Indian Higher Education. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(14), 45–50.
- [156] Khadria, B. (2025, January). Degrees of Failure: The Bureaucratisation of the Indian Campus. *Frontline*.
- [157] Khadria, B. (2026, January 12). The QS Rankings and India's Higher Education Reality. *Financial Express*.
- [158] Kingdon, G. G. (2011). Teacher Quality and Performance: Evidence from Indian Classrooms. *Journal of Development Economics*, 94(1), 66–81.
- [159] Kingdon, G. G. (2022, March 5). PISA and the Indian Education Paradox. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(10), 34–39.
- [160] Kremer, M., Chaudhury, N., Rogers, F. H., Muralidharan, K., and Hammer, J. (2005). Teacher Absence in India: a Snapshot. *American Economic Review*, 95(2), 263–267. <https://doi.org/10.1257/000282805774670220>
- [161] Krishna, V. V. (2022, July 23). R and D Spending in India: a Comparative Analysis. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(30), 19–23.
- [162] Krishna, V. V. (2025, January). Strategic Investment: Comparing India-China Research Output. *Frontline*.
- [163] Krishnan, S. (2026, February 20). The Dual System: Can Germany's Model Work for India? *The Economic Times*.
- [164] Kukreti, I. (2026, April). Climate Change and the Classroom: The New Rural Curriculum. *Down to Earth*.
- [165] Kumar, K. (2021, May 22). a Year of No School: The Digital Blackout in Rural India. *The Hindu*.
- [166] Kumar, K. (2022, November). Textbook Ecology: Why Environmental Education Is Failing in India. *Down to Earth*.
- [167] Kumar, K. (2023, November). Stolen Childhoods: The Pressure of Early Schooling. *Frontline*.
- [168] Kumar, R. (2025, January). Offline in an Online World: The Rural Tech Crisis. *Frontline*.
- [169] Kumar, R. (2026, March 12). BharatNet and the Last Mile: Connecting the Rural Classroom. *Financial Express*.
- [170] Lakshman, N. (2022, May 14). The Anusandhan NRF: a New Era for Indian Science? *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(20), 15–18.
- [171] Lowe, M. (2023). Types of Contact: a Field Experiment on Collaborative and Adversarial Contact. *American Economic Review*, 113(4), 1044–1076. <https://doi.org/10.1257/Aer.20210214>
- [172] Mazumdar, S. (2022, March 19). Teaching Loads and Research Stagnation: a Comparative Analysis. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(12), 41–47.
- [173] Mazumdar, S. (2025, February). The Silo Crisis: Why Interdisciplinary Research Is Still a Dream. *Frontline*.
- [174] Mazumdar, S. (2026, March 20). The Research-Teaching Gap: Why Indian Faculty Can't Innovate. *Financial Express*.
- [175] Mehendale, A. (2021, April 3). The Private Preschool Boom: Quality Concerns and Regulatory Gaps. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(14), 12–15.
- [176] Mehendale, A. (2022). The Right to Education Act at 12: Successes and Systemic Failures. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(15).
- [177] Mehendale, A. (2024, February). Why India's Foundational Years Still Lag. *Frontline*.
- [178] Mehendale, A. (2026, February 14). The ECCE Dividend: Why Quality Preschools Are Critical for India's Future. *Mint*.
- [179] Mehrotra, S. (2022). Local Skill Ecosystems and Youth Livelihoods in Rural India. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 86, 45–58.
- [180] Mehrotra, S. (2025, January). Caste, Labour, and the Classroom: Why Vocational Ed Fails. *Frontline*.

- [181] Mehrotra, S. (2026, March 14). The Economic Case for Vocational Training in Schools. Financial Express.
- [182] Mishra, A. (2024, October). Vocational Slighting: Why High Schools Are Failing Rural Youth. Down to Earth.
- [183] Mishra, A. (2026, April). The Digital Desert: Why Rural Schools Are Still Offline. Down to Earth.
- [184] Mishra, J. (2021, May 1). From Nutrition to Cognition: The Anganwadi Challenge. Economic and Political Weekly, 56(18), 14–17.
- [185] Mishra, P. K. (2023, March 25). The Political Economy of Coaching Centres in India. Economic and Political Weekly, 58(12), 15–19.
- [186] Mukherjee, P. (2022, April 2). Colonial Legacies and Contemporary Ails: The Affiliation Crisis. Economic and Political Weekly, 57(14), 21–25.
- [187] Mukherjee, S. (2026, March 12). Why Interdisciplinary Learning Is the Future of the Indian Workforce. The Economic Times.
- [188] Muralidharan, K. (2021). The Economics of Systemic Educational Reform. Journal of Economic Literature, 59(3).
- [189] Muralidharan, K. (2022, May 21). The Coaching Industry and the Death of the Indian Classroom. Economic and Political Weekly, 57(21), 10–13.
- [190] Muralidharan, K. (2024, March). Shadow Education: The Paralysis of Formal Schooling. Frontline.
- [191] Muralidharan, K. (2025, January). Shadow Education: The Parasitic Growth of the Coaching Industry. Frontline.
- [192] Muralidharan, K. (2026, February 10). The Invisible Crisis of Instructional Time. Mint.
- [193] Muralidharan, K., and Singh, A. (2023). Improving Public Sector Management at Scale: Evidence from a Statewide Reform in India. American Economic Review, 113(4), 934–964.
- [194] Nambissan, G. B. (2022). Regional Inequality and the SC/ST Educational Experience. Journal of Rural Studies, 87, 50–62.
- [195] Nambissan, G. B. (2025, January). The Vacancy Crisis: Why Teachers Shun the Hinterland. Frontline.
- [196] Nanda, P. K. (2024, December 10). ANRF Starts Granting: State Universities Win Big Over Elite Institutes. Mint.
- [197] Nanda, P. K. (2026, April 10). The NRF Paradigm: Can India Triple Its Research Output? The Economic Times.
- [198] Nandi, J. (2026, April). Green Skills: The New Frontier in Rural Employment. Down to Earth.
- [199] Observer Research Foundation. (2025). Addressing Systemic Bottlenecks in Indian Schooling. Author.
- [200] Observer Research Foundation. (2025). Foundational Literacy and Numeracy in India. OECD. (2005). Teachers Matter: Attracting, Developing, and Retaining Effective Teachers. Issue No. 849, OECD Publishing.
- [201] OECD. (2011). Strong Performers and Successful Reformers in Education: Lessons from PISA for India. OECD Publishing.
- [202] OECD. (2014). TALIS 2013 Results: an International Perspective on Teaching and Learning. OECD Publishing.
- [203] OECD. (2015). Starting Strong IV: Early Childhood Education and Care Data Country Note – India. OECD Publishing.
- [204] OECD. (2018). Skill Mismatch and Education: Evidence from PISA. OECD Publishing.
- [205] OECD. (2019). Education Policy Outlook 2019: Working Together to Help Students Achieve Their Potential. OECD Publishing.
- [206] OECD. (2021). Education Policy Outlook 2021: Shaping Responsive Health and Education Systems. OECD Publishing.
- [207] OECD. (2023). Education at a Glance 2023: Higher Education and the Labour Market. OECD Publishing.
- [208] Panchmukhi, P. R. (1999). Social Sector Reforms and Education in India. Economic and Political Weekly, 34(3/4).
- [209] Pandey, K. (2023, November). Upgrading the Foundations: The Challenge of Rural Anganwadi Infrastructure. Down to Earth.
- [210] Pandey, K. (2026, April). The Climate Literacy Deficit: a Roadmap for Schools. Down to Earth.
- [211] Pani, N. (2022, May 28). From B.Ed. Mills to ITEP: Can We Professionalise Teaching? Economic and Political Weekly, 57(22), 14–17.
- [212] Pani, N. (2025, January). Academic Merit vs Political Patronage: The Principal Crisis. Frontline.
- [213] Pani, N. (2026, March 20). Scaling Higher Ed: The Role of Swayam and Digital Universities. Financial Express.
- [214] Panigrahi, S. S. (2022, March 19). Vocational Education: The Stepchild of Indian Schooling. Economic and Political Weekly, 57(12), 14–17.
- [215] Paxson, C., & Schady, N. (2007). Cognitive Development Among Young Children in Ecuador: The Roles of Wealth, Health, and Parenting. Journal of Human Resources, 42(1), 49–84.
- [216] Pedro, J., Hasan, A., Goldemberg, D., Geven, K., and Iqbal, S. A. (2025). The Long-Term Cost of Learning Poverty [Simulating the Potential Impacts of COVID-19 School Closures]. The World Bank Research Observer, 40(1), 1–40.
- [217] Potter, J., and Robinson, J. A. (2021). The Political Economy of Education Spending. Journal of Economic Literature, 59(3).
- [218] Pritchett, L. (2013). The Rebirth of Education: Schooling Ain't Learning. Centre for Global Development.
- [219] Pritchett, L., and Beatty, A. (2015). The Negative Consequences of Overambitious Curricula in Developing Countries. International Journal of Educational Development, 40, 31–41.

- [220] Pritchett, L., and Beatty, A. (2026). [Schooling Without Learning and the Costs of Curricular Overreach]. [Source as Cited in Original].
- [221] Psacharopoulos, G., and Patrinos, H. A. (2021). The Economics of Pandemic-Induced Learning Loss. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2).
- [222] Ramey, G., and Ramey, V. A. (2010). The Rug Rat Race. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2010(1), 129–176. https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2010/03/2010A_Bpea_Ramey.pdf
- [223] Ramey, G., and Ramey, V. A. (2024). [Updated Findings on Competitive Early Schooling]. [Source as Cited in Original].
- [224] Rampal, A. (2022, May 12). Sanitation and Safety: The Dropout Drivers in Rural North India. *The Indian Express*.
- [225] Rampal, A. (2024, February). The Dummy School Scandal: Sidelining Formal Education. *Frontline*.
- [226] Ranganathan, M. (2022, April 30). Digital Divide or Digital Bridge? The Post-Pandemic Reality. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(18), 24–28.
- [227] Rani, P. G. (2021, May 29). The Education Cess: a Review of Utilisation Trends. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(22), 15–18.
- [228] Rani, P. G. (2022, November 18). The Irreversibility of Educational Neglect. *The Hindu Business Line*.
- [229] Rani, P. G. (2026, March 14). The Fiscal Cost of School Dropouts: an Infrastructure Analysis. *Financial Express*.
- [230] Rockoff, J. E. (2021). The Economics of Teacher Quality. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2).
- [231] Rodriguez-Segura, D. (2025). Private Tutoring and Learning Outcomes: a Global Evidence Review [EdTech in Developing Countries: a Review of the Evidence]. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 40(1), 171–203.
- [232] Roemer, J. E., and Trannoy, A. (2021). Inequality of Opportunity and Educational Outcomes. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2).
- [233] Sabharwal, N. S. (2022, May 7). Graded Autonomy and the Future of the Indian College. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(19), 21–25.
- [234] Sabharwal, N. S. (2025, January). The Glass Ceiling of Caste in Elite Institutions. *Frontline*.
- [235] Sabharwal, N. S. (2026, March 18). Bridging the Cultural Capital Gap in Indian Higher Ed. *Financial Express*.
- [236] Sadgopal, A. (2021, April 3). The Common School System: Why the Kothari Vision Still Matters. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(14), 36–41.
- [237] Sadgopal, A. (2025, January). The Teacher Crisis: a National Emergency. *Frontline*.
- [238] Sadgopal, A. (2026, February 12). The Middle Class Exit: How Private Schooling Diluted Public Accountability. *Mint*.
- [239] Sahasrabudhe, A. (2022, April 30). Sustainability and the Syllabus: a Critical Review. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(18), 29–33.
- [240] Sahasrabudhe, A. (2026, March 20). The Economics of Climate Literacy: Reducing Disaster Risk Through Education. *Financial Express*.
- [241] Sainath, P. (2022, March 19). Deconstructing the Learning Crisis in Rural India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(12), 10–13.
- [242] Sangomla, A. (2026, April). Global Green Skills: Lessons for India's Rural Youth. *Down to Earth*.
- [243] Sarangapani, P. M. (2021, July 31). NEP 2020 and the Foundational Stage: a Critique of Integration. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(31), 40–47.
- [244] Sarangapani, P. M. (2024, January). The Preschool Paradox: From Care to Curriculum. *Frontline*.
- [245] Sarangapani, P. M. (2025, January). The Mother Tongue Debate: Beyond Politics to Pedagogy. *Frontline*.
- [246] Sarkar, S. (2023, March 14). Market Valuation of the Indian Coaching Industry. *The Economic Times*.
- [247] Sarkar, S. (2026, April 15). The Skills Gap: Why Indian Graduates Are Struggling. *The Economic Times*.
- [248] Schultz, T. P. (2021). The Economics of Rural Human Capital. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(3).
- [249] Sengupta, R. (2023, October). The Climate Research Crisis: Why India Lags in Global Science. *Down to Earth*.
- [250] Sengupta, R. (2026, April). The Carbon Footprint of the Digital Classroom. *Down to Earth*.
- [251] Sethi, A. (2026, February 18). Reforming Faculty Promotion: Beyond Seniority. *The Economic Times*.
- [252] Sharma, R. (2021, April 10). Pay Commissions and the Teaching Profession: a Decadal Review. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(15), 22–26.
- [253] Sharma, R. (2026, March 14). The Salary Gap: Why State Teachers Are India's Underpaid Workforce. *Financial Express*.
- [254] Sheikh, Y. A. (2022, April 30). From Control to Empowerment: The HECI and Institutional Autonomy. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(18), 40–44.
- [255] Sheikh, Y. A. (2026, March 14). The Academic Bank of Credits: Revolutionising Student Mobility. *Mint*.
- [256] Singh, R. (2020). Systems Approach for Better Education Results: a Review of Indian States. *World Development*, 130.
- [257] Sinha, R. (2026, April 10). The Business of Early Learning: Why ECCE Is the Best Investment for India Inc. *The Economic Times*.
- [258] Sood, A. (2025, January). The Vanishing Professor: a Report on State Universities. *Frontline*.

- [259] Stephan, P. E. (2021). Public Funding and the Democratisation of Research. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(2).
- [250] Tan, C. (2022, April 30). Comparative Pedagogies: Singapore vs India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(18), 34–39.
- [261] TGCH. (2025). 21st-Century Skills: What, Why, and How. *Telangana Journal of Higher Education*, 1(1). <https://Tgche.Ac.In/Storage/2025/12/08-311-Mohini-Mohan-Kumbhakar-21st-Century-Skills-What-Why-And-How.Pdf>
- [262] Thacker, T. (2026, February 14). The Stress Factor: Mental Health in the Indian Secondary System. *The Economic Times*.
- [263] Thomas, W. P., and Collier, V. P. (1997). School Effectiveness for Language Minority Students. National Clearinghouse for Bilingual Education.
- [264] Thorat, S. (2026, March 22). The Cost of Fragmentation: Regulatory Overlap in Tertiary Education. *Financial Express*.
- [265] Tilak, J. B. G. (1993). Education and Structural Adjustment. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 28(7), 384–390.
- [266] Tyagi, A. (2026, March 12). Beyond Rote: Competency-Based Learning Lessons from Finland. *Financial Express*.
- [267] UNDP. (2020). Human Development Report 2020: The Next Frontier. United Nations Development Programme.
- [268] UNDP. (2023). Human Development Report: Inequality and Social Exclusion. United Nations Development Programme.
- [269] UNDP. (2025). Human Development Report: Bridging the Social Divide. United Nations Development Programme.
- [270] UNESCO. (2014). Teaching and Learning: Achieving Quality for All. UNESCO.
- [271] UNESCO. (2024). Global Education Monitoring Report. UNESCO.
- [272] UNESCO. (2025). Status of Teachers in South Asia. UNESCO.
- [273] UNICEF India. (2020). COVID-19 and Education in India: a Crisis of Access. UNICEF.
- [274] UNICEF India. (2024). Gender Equity in Rural Digital Access. UNICEF.
- [275] UNICEF. (2025). State of the World's Children: The Early Years. UNICEF.
- [276] Unnithan, P. S. (2023, September). The Kota Crisis: Mental Health and Student Suicides. *India Today*.
- [277] USDA. (2024). Agricultural Extension and Education Models. US Department of Agriculture.
- [278] Van Lancker, W. (2023). The Matthew Effect in Early Childhood Education and Care: How Family Policies May Amplify Inequalities. *OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers*. <https://Lirias.Kuleuven.Be/Retrieve/25a3c925-6c8a-4c2f-8ef2-f26b8fe6e287>
- [279] Varghese, N. V. (2026, March 22). Scaling Higher Education: Lessons from the Singapore Model. *Financial Express*.
- [280] Varma, S. (2026, February 14). From Lab to Market: Building Technology Transfer Offices in Indian Universities. *The Economic Times*.
- [281] Velaskar, P. (2024, January). The Hierarchy of Knowledge: Social Stigma and the Arts Stream. *Frontline*.
- [282] Venkatanarayana, M. (2021, June 12). Neglected Foundations: The ICDS and Early Learning. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(24), 10–13.
- [283] Verma, S., Sachdeva, M., and Bajpai, A. (2023). Changing Horizon in the Teaching Profession in India: Issues and Challenges. *Annals of Neurosciences*, 30(2).
- [284] Vicziany, M., and D'souza, R. (2022, April 30). Digital Architectures and the Future of Indian Schooling. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(18), 15–19.
- [285] Wang, L. (2022, March 19). Comparing Giants: Educational Reforms in India and China. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(12), 48–55.
- [286] Wang, L. (2023, January). The Tale of Two Nations: Why China Pulled Ahead in Higher Ed. *Frontline*.
- [287] Wang, L. (2026, April 5). The Implementation Gap: Lessons in Policy Continuity from Singapore. *Mint*.
- [288] Winston, G. C. (2021). Regulating Higher Education: Incentives and Outcomes. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 59(4).
- [289] World Bank. (2004). World Development Report 2004: Making Services Work for Poor People. World Bank.
- [290] World Bank. (2018). Learning to Realise Education's Promise. World Development Report.
- [291] World Bank. (2018). World Development Report 2018: Learning to Realise Education's Promise. World Bank.
- [292] World Bank. (2019/2024). The Changing Nature of Work and Education. World Development Report.
- [293] World Bank. (2020). Education Sector Strategy 2020. World Bank.
- [294] World Bank. (2024). Skills for a Changing World. World Development Report.
- [295] World Bank. (2024). Tertiary Education and Economic Growth in South Asia. World Bank.
- [296] World Bank. (2025). Education Finance Watch 2025. World Bank.
- [297] WWHGE. (2026). Achieving Universal Foundational Learning Could Increase Global GDP by \$196 Trillion over 20 Years. What Works Hub for Global Education. <https://Www.Wwhge.Org/Resources/Achieving-Universal-Foundational-Learning-Could-Increase-Global-Gdp-By-196-Trillion-Over-20-Years/>
- [298] Yash Pal Committee. (2009). Report of the Committee to Advise on Renovation and Rejuvenation of Higher Education. Ministry of Human Resource Development.
- [299] Zutshi, B. (2022, April 2). Radhakrishnan Re-Evaluated: The Crisis of the State University. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 57(14), 51–54.