

Rural India's Environmental Crisis: A Comprehensive Review of Structural Drivers and Policy Responses

S. Ramaswamy¹, S. Gnanasaranya², V. Kaveri³ and M. Sruthi⁴

¹Advisor -cum-Adjunct Professor (Economics), Corresponding Author, GTN Group of Institutions, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

²Guest Faculty, Department of Lifelong Learning and Extension, The Gandhigram Rural Institute (DU), Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

³Head, Department of Management Studies, GTN Arts College (Autonomous), Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Chief Administrative Officer, GTN Group of Institutions, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Historically, India's rural landscape has been romanticised as a sanctuary of ecological harmony, where traditional agricultural practices, forestry, and water management were synchronised with monsoon cycles. While this idealisation was never entirely accurate, it has become increasingly untenable following the structural transformations of the past seventy years. The Green Revolution of the 1960s and 1970s successfully averted food shortages, but it institutionalised a resource-intensive agricultural model. This approach—marked by heavy dependence on groundwater irrigation, synthetic fertilisers, and pesticides—has left a profound, lasting imprint on soil and water integrity. Simultaneously, rapid population growth, infrastructure development, and shifting rural livelihoods have strained communal lands, forests, and natural water reservoirs once sustained by customary management. The environmental challenges confronting rural India are inseparable from the country's broader developmental trajectory. With roughly 65.0 per cent of India's population residing in villages and nearly half the national workforce dependent on agriculture, rural environmental degradation is a critical concern directly impacting food security, public health, and poverty alleviation. These ecological pressures—ranging from aquifer depletion and forest loss to the respiratory hazards of biomass-fueled kitchens—extend far beyond local boundaries, affecting macroeconomic stability, migration patterns, and social equity. This article provides a comprehensive, integrated account of the major environmental hurdles facing rural India by synthesising evidence from international research, national academic scholarship, and institutional reports. Unlike analyses that treat environmental issues as disconnected sectoral concerns, this study adopts a systems-based perspective. It recognises the fundamental interdependence of water, land, forest, air, and energy systems, situating these dynamics within the political economy of Indian rural governance. A recurring theme in academic literature is the "paradox of progress," where interventions intended to enhance rural welfare have inadvertently generated severe environmental costs. For instance, electricity subsidies for groundwater pumping have become primary catalysts for aquifer depletion. Similarly, the drive for higher yields through chemical inputs has created a hazardous legacy of pesticide residues in the soil, groundwater, and food chain that frequently exceeds safety thresholds. This article establishes a conceptual framework, examines key environmental systems, and concludes with evidence-based policy recommendations for a sustainable future.

Keywords: Rural India, Environmental Degradation, Agrarian Transition, Sustainability, Groundwater, Climate.

1.1 Introduction

The Indian rural landscape has long been romanticised as a bastion of ecological balance, where traditional agricultural practices, forestry, and water management were carefully calibrated to the rhythms of the monsoon. While this idealised image was never entirely accurate, it has become increasingly untenable following the profound structural transformations of the last seventy years [1]. The Green Revolution of the 1960s and 1970s, while effectively resolving the immediate crisis of food scarcity, institutionalised a resource-intensive agricultural model dependent on groundwater irrigation, synthetic fertilisers, and pesticides. This shift has left a lasting, deleterious imprint on the nation's soil and water systems [2]. Simultaneously, rapid population growth, infrastructure expansion, and the diversification of rural livelihoods beyond traditional farming have placed severe strain on forests, common lands, and natural water reservoirs that were once sustained by customary, community-led management [3]. It is impossible to address the environmental challenges facing rural India without considering the broader developmental context in which they are embedded. With approximately 65.0 per cent of India's population residing in villages and nearly half of the national workforce dependent on agriculture and allied activities, environmental degradation is not merely a localised issue; it is a critical concern that directly impacts national food security, public health, and poverty alleviation [4]. When aquifers are exhausted, forests are degraded, or rural kitchens are filled with the smoke of biomass fuels, the consequences inevitably ripple outward, affecting macroeconomic stability, migration patterns, and social equity [5]. Unlike analyses that treat environmental issues as disconnected, sectoral concerns, this article adopts a systems-based perspective. It recognises the fundamental interdependence of water, land, forest, air, and energy systems, situating these dynamics within the broader political economy of Indian agriculture and rural governance [6]. A recurring theme in the academic literature is the "paradox of progress," where interventions specifically designed to enhance rural welfare and agricultural productivity have inadvertently generated high unintended environmental costs [7]. For example, the subsidisation of electricity for groundwater pumping was originally intended to bolster smallholder irrigation and food security, yet it has become one of the primary drivers of aquifer depletion in the northwestern plains [8]. Similarly, the intensification of chemical input usage successfully boosted crop yields, but it has created a hazardous legacy of pesticide residues in the soil, groundwater, and the food chain—levels that frequently exceed the safety thresholds established by international and national standards [9].

1.2 Conceptual Framework

To understand the complexities of environmental degradation in rural India, one must adopt a conceptual framework that transcends narrow, sector-specific accounting. Instead, it is necessary to appreciate the coupled human–environment systems that define agrarian societies. The sustainable livelihoods approach serves as a vital analytical tool, treating the natural resource base—including land, water, forests, and biodiversity—as a form of capital that rural households rely upon for their survival [10]. Within this lens, environmental decline is viewed as the depletion of essential natural capital, which undermines the resilience and adaptive capacity of these households [11]. A second useful perspective is the agrarian ecological transition, which charts the shift from traditional, subsistence-oriented, low-input farming toward market-driven, high-input production systems. This transition is associated with a narrowing of agrobiodiversity, as farming systems become increasingly standardised [12]. The poverty–environment nexus offers a third critical framework [13]. This model highlights the mutually reinforcing relationship between economic hardship and ecological decline: economic deprivation frequently compels rural households to adopt unsustainable practices, while the subsequent environmental degradation further entrenches poverty [14]. A fourth concept involves common property resource management [15]. Much of India's rural natural capital, such as grazing lands and community forests, was historically governed by customary institutions. The literature identifies the breakdown of these collective management systems—due to factors like privatisation and state appropriation—as a proximate cause of resource overexploitation [16]. Finally, the framework of

environmental justice is essential for analysing the distributional impacts of degradation [17]. The burdens of pollution, resource depletion, and climate vulnerability are not distributed evenly; they fall disproportionately on marginalised groups, including women, who often bear the primary responsibility for water and fuel collection, as well as Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, whose livelihoods are often inextricably linked to common lands [18]. By synthesising these five frameworks, we establish a robust analytical foundation for examining the environmental challenges currently confronting rural India [19].

1.3 Water Resource Degradation

Groundwater serves as the essential bedrock of India's agrarian economy, playing a critical role in supporting the nation's agricultural and domestic needs. It is responsible for irrigating approximately 62.0 per cent of India's cultivated land and providing roughly 85.0 per cent of all rural drinking water [20]. However, this vital reliance has been shaped by decades of state-sponsored tube-well irrigation schemes, which have ultimately established India as the world's leading extractor of groundwater [21]. The severity of the current crisis is most evident in the northwestern plains, where approximately 78.0 per cent of monitored groundwater wells are now classified as overexploited [22]. Scientific projections offer a sobering outlook: if current extraction trends remain unchanged, water tables are expected to plummet to depths that make pumping financially prohibitive for the average farmer [23]. Beyond the economic challenge of depth, the physical depletion of these reservoirs is inextricably linked to a decline in water quality [24]. As groundwater levels recede, the geochemical balance within aquifers is disturbed, leading to the dangerous mobilisation of geogenic contaminants that threaten the safety of the water supply [25]. This environmental crisis is further compounded by the existing political economy of energy subsidies. Policies originally formulated to strengthen national food security by offering heavily subsidised electricity for irrigation have inadvertently removed the essential marginal cost signals that would naturally discourage wasteful water usage. By decoupling the cost of electricity from the volume of water extracted, these subsidies have locked rural farmers into an unsustainable cycle of over-extraction [26]. The consequences of this mismanagement are both quantitative and qualitative [27]. As aquifers are progressively drawn down, the water that remains is increasingly toxic. Rural communities, relying on these exhausted sources, are facing mounting health risks due to the concentration of geogenic toxins, most notably fluoride and arsenic [28]. This quantitative scarcity is further worsened by qualitative degradation; the pervasive application of chemicals in modern farming has resulted in extensive agricultural runoff, leading to the widespread detection of pesticide residues in groundwater at concentrations that far exceed established health and safety standards. Consequently, the intersection of aggressive extraction policies and intensive farming practices has created a complex water crisis that threatens the long-term viability of rural life and public health across the region [29].

1.4 Land Degradation and Soil Health

The issue of land degradation in rural India has evolved into a complex, multifaceted crisis that poses a severe threat to the long-term productivity of the nation's agricultural heartlands [30]. This phenomenon manifests through a variety of destructive processes, most notably extensive soil erosion, progressive salinisation, and the persistent chemical contamination of the environment [31]. A primary driver of this deterioration is the intensive application of pesticides, which has become a hallmark of modern farming practices. Over the past few decades, these agrochemicals have been utilised in such extreme volumes that they have effectively saturated the environment, routinely contaminating agricultural soil, local surface water bodies, and essential groundwater supplies [32]. The environmental consequences are not limited to the landscape; they represent a significant human health emergency [33]. India currently accounts for a disproportionately large share of global pesticide poisoning cases, with the health burden falling most heavily on vulnerable populations, including agricultural labourers and women who are frequently exposed to these toxins during field operations and domestic water collection [34]. Beyond the direct and immediate toxicity to human health, these chemicals

inflict profound, often irreversible, damage on the subterranean ecosystem that sustains plant life [35]. Scientific research has consistently demonstrated that the widespread and indiscriminate use of common herbicides and fungicides measurably suppresses essential soil microbial biomass [36]. By diminishing this microbial activity, these chemicals effectively paralyse the soil's natural enzymatic processes, which are fundamentally required for nutrient cycling and the maintenance of soil fertility [37]. This disruption leads to a cycle of soil exhaustion where land loses its inherent productive capacity, compelling farmers to apply even greater quantities of synthetic inputs to achieve comparable yields [38]. In an effort to mitigate these growing threats, the government introduced the Soil Health Card scheme, a strategic policy intervention designed to empower farmers with the localised data necessary to encourage more judicious and balanced input application [39]. While this scheme represents a positive step toward data-driven agriculture, it is fundamentally an insufficient response to a crisis of such magnitude [40]. Successfully addressing widespread land degradation necessitates a much broader, systemic transition toward diversified agricultural systems that prioritise ecological restoration over chemical dependency [41]. Such a comprehensive transformation remains severely hampered by deep-seated structural barriers that are difficult to dismantle [42]. Most notably, the agricultural sector is still dominated by entrenched incentive structures—particularly skewed input subsidies—that prioritise the continued cultivation of intensive monocrops. As long as these fiscal policies favour resource-depleting practices over sustainable, resource-conserving alternatives, the restoration of India's soil health will remain a distant, albeit essential, objective for the nation's future [43].

1.5 Deforestation and Biodiversity

Forests function as the fundamental life-support systems for rural India, providing a vast array of essential resources that sustain the daily survival of an estimated 300 million people [44]. These ecosystems are more than just collections of trees; they are complex biological landscapes that offer timber, fuel for cooking, fodder for livestock, and a diverse range of non-timber forest products that form the bedrock of local economies and subsistence strategies [45]. However, the prevailing narrative surrounding India's forestry performance is often misleading [46]. While national-level statistics frequently emphasise a positive trend in aggregate forest cover, suggesting a successful expansion of green cover, these broad, macro-level figures often mask a more unsettling reality [47]. Specifically, they obscure significant regional disparities and a persistent, worrying decline in the inherent quality and biological health of existing forest ecosystems [48]. By focusing solely on the total area of land classified as forest, policymakers risk overlooking the critical state of the vegetation and the biodiversity loss occurring within those boundaries [49]. This reliance on quantitative metrics at the expense of qualitative health assessment poses a serious threat to the long-term sustainability of India's natural heritage and the rural communities that depend upon it [50]. The current ecological reality of India's forests is stark and demands immediate attention [51]. Approximately 40.0 per cent of the country's total forest area is classified as either severely degraded or heavily over-exploited, indicating that these lands are no longer functioning at their full ecological potential [52]. Perhaps even more alarming is the finding that 70.0 per cent of these forests have effectively lost their natural capacity for regeneration [53]. Furthermore, over half of the total forest land remains highly vulnerable to fire, which poses a constant, destructive threat to its long-term survival and stability [54]. These challenges are not distributed evenly across the landscape; regional trends are particularly uneven and concerning [55]. In several north-eastern states, for instance, there has been a documented net loss of forest cover [56]. This widespread decline is largely driven by a combination of traditional shifting cultivation practices, which are becoming less sustainable under modern pressures, alongside illegal logging activities and the relentless, rapid encroachment of large-scale infrastructure projects and urban expansion [57]. These cumulative pressures are pushing forest ecosystems toward a tipping point, necessitating a paradigm shift in how these areas are managed and protected [58]. The deterioration of forest health directly translates into the loss of millions of tonnes of carbon sequestration capacity every single year, a development that undermines India's stated ability to meet its international climate change commitments

and carbon reduction targets [59]. To combat these complex, systemic challenges, forestry authorities are increasingly turning toward advanced technology as a primary defensive tool [60]. Recent initiatives have introduced high-tech, satellite-based monitoring platforms and artificial intelligence-driven forest fire alert systems [61]. Furthermore, there is a growing, strategic emphasis on agroforestry as a practical and effective method to boost tree cover within existing agricultural landscapes [62].

1.6 Rural Air Pollution

The crisis of rural air pollution in India is fundamentally a domestic and public health emergency, characterised by a staggering reliance on traditional biomass fuels [63]. As of 2019, approximately 660 million people in India continued to depend on fuelwood, crop residues, and dried cattle dung as their primary source of energy for cooking [64]. This widespread reliance on solid fuels transforms the home into a site of chronic toxic exposure [65]. The World Health Organisation has explicitly highlighted that pollutants emitted during the combustion of these fuels within poorly ventilated indoor environments are far more likely to be directly inhaled by residents than pollutants encountered in ambient outdoor air [66]. Because cooking is a daily, multi-hour necessity, the proximity and duration of exposure are unprecedented, leading to a silent yet catastrophic health burden [67]. It is estimated that roughly one-quarter of all premature deaths worldwide that are officially attributed to indoor air pollution occur within India [68]. The biological consequences of breathing biomass smoke are extensive, systematically damaging the respiratory, cardiovascular, and ocular systems of rural populations [69]. Rigorous cross-sectional studies have successfully documented direct, statistically significant links between chronic exposure to biomass smoke and a wide spectrum of debilitating health conditions, including severe respiratory infections, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiovascular distress, and various ophthalmic ailments [70]. The severity of the risk is often determined by the specific type of fuel utilised in the household [71]. Detailed field studies have identified that fuel choice acts as the primary determinant of individual health risk; for instance, the combustion of certain fuels generates far higher concentrations of fine particulate matter than others [72]. Alarmingly, research indicates that women who utilise traditional, inefficient cookstoves experience the highest levels of predicted lung deposition of these toxic particles [73]. Beyond the physical organs, emerging scientific research has revealed that the impact of this pollution extends to neurological health as well, showing that chronic exposure to indoor pollutants negatively affects the cognitive functioning of elderly women [74]. In response to this pervasive health crisis, the government has launched significant policy interventions, most notably the Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana, which seeks to expand access to cleaner Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) connections [75]. However, evidence suggests that while connection coverage has expanded, supply-side subsidies are fundamentally insufficient to solve the problem if they are not paired with comprehensive behavioural and market-based interventions [76]. Successfully shifting away from biomass-based cooking provides significant climate benefits by drastically reducing the emission of black carbon, a potent short-lived climate pollutant [77].

1.7 Waste Management

The changing socioeconomic landscape of rural India has brought about a profound transformation in domestic consumption patterns, leading to an unprecedented environmental challenge in the form of escalating waste generation [78]. Historically, rural settlements operated on a largely circular, agrarian economy where organic household and agricultural waste was seamlessly absorbed back into the environment through natural decomposition processes, composting, and animal feed reuse [79]. However, contemporary rural India generates significant volumes of both complex solid and liquid waste, which now dramatically exceed the natural assimilative capacity of these traditional village ecosystems [80]. The aggressive penetration of fast-moving consumer goods, single-use plastics, synthetic packaging materials, and non-biodegradable products into even the most remote rural markets has completely overwhelmed local environments that critically lack the formal infrastructure required for their safe disposal or recycling [81]. The Central Pollution Control Board

projects that the total annual waste generation across the country will rise significantly by the year 2030, a surge driven by continued economic growth, population expansion, and rapidly shifting lifestyle paradigms among rural citizens [82]. Despite this escalating volume of complex refuse, the systemic institutional response remains deeply inadequate [83]. Currently, only a minuscule, almost negligible fraction of the generated rural waste is systematically collected, safely transported, or scientifically treated [84]. The vast majority of this refuse is simply left to accumulate in open spaces, along village peripheries, or carelessly discarded into local water bodies [85]. This severe and pervasive infrastructure deficit disproportionately impacts rural communities, creating acute public health vulnerabilities, fostering the spread of vector-borne diseases, and drastically accelerating local environmental degradation [86]. In response to the mounting sanitation and waste crises, the Indian government has launched ambitious, large-scale policy interventions, most notably through the framework of the Swachh Bharat Mission (SBM-Gramin) [87]. The initial phase of this monumental national campaign was primarily dedicated to the eradication of open defecation through the mass construction of household and community latrines across the country [88]. Experts and field data continuously underscore that the mere physical construction of toilets does not automatically guarantee their long-term adoption; therefore, toilet construction must be inextricably paired with rigorous, consistent usage monitoring and targeted community education campaigns [89]. The second phase of the mission, often categorised under the umbrella of Sampoon Swachhata, explicitly aims to address the much broader and more complex challenge of comprehensive solid and liquid waste management at the village level [90]. Complicating the rural waste landscape is the rapid proliferation of entirely new, highly hazardous waste streams, most notably electronic waste, or e-waste [91]. In the complete absence of formal, regulated collection and processing networks in these remote areas, these dangerous materials are overwhelmingly handled through informal recycling channels, leading to severe, long-term health consequences [92]. To combat these multifaceted waste challenges, environmental experts and rural development planners strongly suggest that decentralised composting and biomethanation offer a highly promising, scalable model for infrastructure that is uniquely tailored to the specific economic and spatial realities of rural settlements [93].

1.8 Climate Change and Agriculture

The agricultural sector stands as the most profoundly exposed industry in India to the mounting dangers of climatic instability, creating a crisis that threatens the foundation of the rural economy [94]. Because agriculture provides the primary livelihood for approximately 40.0 per cent of the national workforce, climate change is not merely an abstract, long-term environmental threat but an immediate, escalating danger to food security and economic survival [95]. The intensity of this instability is clearly illustrated by recent data; the Centre for Science and Environment documented that 2025 recorded the highest frequency and most devastating impact of extreme weather events in recent history [96]. The consequences have been catastrophic for the nation's food producers. In recent years, India has lost tens of millions of hectares of vital crops due to the unpredictable and volatile swing between extreme excess rainfall and severe, prolonged drought conditions, resulting in massive, multi-billion-dollar economic losses that disproportionately affect the most vulnerable farmers [97]. These figures highlight a stark reality where the traditional rhythms of farming are being disrupted by a climate that is becoming increasingly erratic, unpredictable, and hostile to conventional agrarian practices [98]. The future projections for India's food production are equally concerning, painting a troubling trajectory for the nation's primary staples [99]. Academic and governmental research projections indicate that, in the absence of robust, widespread adaptation measures, rainfed yields for critical crops like rice and wheat could decline significantly in the coming decades [100]. The thermal stress imposed by rising temperatures creates a double burden: it not only directly reduces agricultural yields through physiological stress on plants but also triggers a disturbing rise in annual mortality rates among rural populations who are forced to labour in increasingly extreme heat [101]. In response to this existential threat, India has initiated high-level policy frameworks such as the National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture and the National

Innovations in Climate Resilient Agriculture programme [102]. These initiatives are fundamentally focused on the research, development, and mass dissemination of heat- and drought-tolerant crop varieties designed to withstand the harsh conditions of a changing climate [103]. However, technological solutions alone are insufficient; adaptation strategies must be radically reimagined to be context-sensitive and decentralised [104]. Truly effective climate resilience must prioritise addressing the compounding disadvantages and systemic inequalities faced by women and marginalised farmers [105].

1.9 Energy Transition

Energy poverty, defined as the persistent lack of access to affordable, reliable, and modern clean energy services, remains a pervasive reality that permeates every aspect of life in rural India [106]. Despite the broad expansion of the physical electrical grid over the past twenty years, the most significant, persistent challenge remains the transition toward clean cooking energy [107]. For a substantial share of the rural population, the reliance on traditional, solid biomass remains the undisputed norm, which sustains a cycle of severe, life-shortening health risks [108]. This energy dependency is deeply intertwined with the broader environmental crises of the region, as the continued, daily demand for fuelwood and biomass creates an unrelenting pressure on already fragile forest resources [109]. Historically, the pervasive nature of rural energy poverty has forced farmers into a costly reliance on unreliable grid power, which in turn has encouraged the widespread investment in diesel-powered irrigation generators [110]. While these generators provided a temporary solution to the erratic nature of the rural power supply, they have simultaneously acted as a major, localised source of air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions [111]. However, the current energy landscape is witnessing a potentially transformative shift through the expansion of decentralised solar irrigation systems [112]. These solar-powered technologies offer a viable, long-term pathway for farmers to gain access to reliable energy that is entirely decoupled from the unstable grid, providing a much-needed alternative to polluting diesel generators [113]. India's broader renewable energy expansion has been bolstered by strong national and state-level policy frameworks that are beginning to reshape the rural energy profile [114]. Yet, the benefits of this transition are contingent upon the surrounding policy architecture; for instance, addressing the clean cooking transition is not as simple as providing hardware, as it will require a sustained, long-term commitment to subsidy support for fuel refills [115]. Finally, the shift toward a clean energy future provides an extraordinary, untapped opportunity for rural skills development and livelihood diversification [116]. By investing in the installation, maintenance, and ongoing operation of decentralised renewable infrastructure—such as solar grids, biogas plants, and wind turbines—the rural economy can generate a new wave of non-farm employment opportunities [117].

1.10 Conclusion

The future of rural India hinges on a strategic recalibration of its developmental trajectory. While policies like intensive groundwater extraction and chemical-dependent farming once drove historic gains in food security and poverty reduction, they have simultaneously fostered a legacy of environmental degradation that now threatens the sustainability of those successes [118]. The challenges are deeply entrenched: groundwater aquifers in productive regions are nearing depletion, soil fertility is compromised by chemical contamination, forest ecosystems remain qualitatively degraded, and hundreds of millions continue to face hazardous indoor air quality [119]. Furthermore, waste generation is outpacing infrastructure development, while climate change is intensifying the weather extremes to which the agrarian economy is uniquely sensitive [120]. This article synthesises evidence from international bodies, such as the World Bank and FAO, and national institutions to demonstrate that rural environmental degradation is not an isolated issue but a structural one, embedded in agricultural subsidy regimes, centralised management, and systemic social inequalities [121]. Despite these challenges, there is room for measured optimism. Institutional responses over the past two decades—including the Green India Mission, Swachh Bharat Mission, and the National Innovations in

Climate Resilient Agriculture programme—reflect a growing recognition that environmental sustainability is the cornerstone of long-term development [122]. India's progress in forestry and the rapid expansion of renewable energy capacity prove that well-designed, adequately funded programs can achieve significant, positive change [123]. The path forward requires sustained political commitment to reform subsidy architectures, particularly those regarding agricultural power and water [124]. It demands robust investment in data infrastructure to monitor environmental conditions and evaluate policy effectiveness [125]. Crucially, efforts must prioritise the differentiated needs of women, tribal communities, and smallholder farmers to ensure that sustainability advances equitable development [126]. Ultimately, the future of rural India will be determined by aligning agricultural, energy, and land-use incentives with the ecological sustainability upon which the nation's collective well-being depends [127].

References

- [1] Chambers, R. (1983). *Rural Development: Putting the Last First*. Longman.
- [2] Shiva, V. (1991). *The Violence of the Green Revolution: Third World Agriculture, Ecology and Politics*. Zed Books.
- [3] Gadgil, M., and Guha, R. (1995). *Ecology and Equity: The Use and Abuse of Nature in Contemporary India*. Penguin.
- [4] Government of India. (2024). *Economic Survey 2023-24*. Ministry of Finance.
- [5] World Bank. (2024). *The Costs of Environmental Degradation in Developing Economies*. World Bank Publications.
- [6] Agarwal, B. (2010). *Gender and Green Governance: The Political Economy of Women's Presence within and Beyond Community Forestry*. Oxford University Press.
- [7] Jodha, N. S. (2001). *Life on the edge: Sustaining Agriculture and Community Resources in Fragile Environments*. Oxford University Press.
- [8] Shah, T. (2009). *Treading the Third Way: Groundwater Governance in India*. IWMI.
- [9] Kashyap, U., Garg, S., and Arora, P. (2024). Pesticide Pollution in India: Environmental and Health Risks and Policy Challenges. *Toxicology Reports*, 13, 101801.
- [10] Krantz, L. (2001). *The Sustainable Livelihood Approach to Poverty Reduction*. SIDA.
- [11] Scoones, I. (1998). *Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: A Framework for Analysis*. IDS.
- [12] DeFries, R., and Panday, P. (2014). *Towards an Agrarian Transition in India*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
- [13] Duraiappah, A. K. (1998). Poverty and Environmental Degradation: A Literature Review and Analysis. *World Development*, 26(12), 2169-2179.
- [14] Dasgupta, P. (2001). *Human well-being and the Natural Environment*. Oxford University Press.
- [15] Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge University Press.
- [16] Agrawal, A. (2001). Common Property Institutions and Sustainable Governance of Resources. *World Development*, 29(10), 1649-1672.
- [17] Martinez-Alier, J. (2002). *The Environmentalism of the Poor: A Study of Ecological Conflicts and Valuation*. Edward Elgar.
- [18] Guha, R., and Martinez-Alier, J. (1997). *Varieties of Environmentalism: Essays North and South*. Earthscan.
- [19] World Economic Forum. (2025). *Global Risks Report 2025*. Geneva.
- [20] Central Ground Water Board (CGWB). (2024). *Dynamic Groundwater Resources of India*. Ministry of Jal Shakti.
- [21] Shah, T. (2021). *Groundwater in India's Development*. Springer.
- [22] Rodell, M., et al. (2018). Emerging Trends in Global Freshwater Availability. *Nature*, 557, 651-659.

- [23] Fishman, R., et al. (2015). The Economic Impact of Groundwater Depletion on Farmers. Journal of Development Economics.
- [24] World Bank. (2023). Quality Unknown: The Invisible Water Crisis. World Bank Group.
- [25] Ravenscroft, P., et al. (2009). Arsenic Pollution: A Global Synthesis. Wiley-Blackwell.
- [26] Badiani, R., et al. (2012). Electricity Subsidies and Groundwater Depletion in India. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper.
- [27] Mukherji, A., et al. (2020). Groundwater Governance in the Anthropocene. Springer.
- [28] Chakraborti, D., et al. (2017). Groundwater Arsenic Contamination and Human Suffering in India. Science of the Total Environment.
- [29] United Nations. (2025). World Water Development Report 2025. UN-Water.
- [30] Lal, R. (2015). Restoring Soil Quality to Mitigate Soil Degradation. Sustainability, 7(5), 5875-5895.
- [31] Oldeman, L. R. (1994). The Global Extent of Soil Degradation. Biomass and Bioenergy.
- [32] Pimentel, D., et al. (1995). Environmental and Economic Costs of Soil Erosion and Conservation Benefits. Science, 267(5201), 1117-1123.
- [33] World Health Organisation (WHO). (2024). Pesticide Exposure and Human Health in Rural Populations.
- [34] Jeyaratnam, J. (1990). Acute Pesticide Poisoning: A Major Global Health Problem. World Health Statistics Quarterly.
- [35] Bhandari, R. (2014). Pesticide Usage in India: Emerging Trends and Health Implications. TERI.
- [36] Meena, R. S., et al. (2020). Impact of Agrochemicals on Soil Microbiota and Management. Land, 9(2), 34.
- [37] Kibblewhite, M. G., et al. (2008). Soil Management and Microbial Activity. European Commission.
- [38] Altieri, M. A. (1999). The Ecological Role of Biodiversity in Agroecosystems. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment.
- [39] Ministry Of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare. (2025). Soil Health Card Scheme: Implementation and Impact Assessment. Government of India.
- [40] Prasad, R., et al. (2022). Evaluation of The Soil Health Card Programme. Agricultural Policy Review.
- [41] Gliessman, S. R. (2014). Agroecology: The Ecology of Sustainable Food Systems. CRC Press.
- [42] Gulati, A., et al. (2017). Agricultural Incentives and Output in India. ICRIER.
- [43] Pingali, P. L. (2012). Green Revolution: Impacts, Limits, and The Path Ahead. PNAS.
- [44] Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO). (2025). State of The World's Forests 2025. Rome.
- [45] Arnold, J. E. M. (2002). Forests and People: 25 Years of Community Forestry. FAO.
- [46] Rai, N. D., And Uhl, C. (2004). Forest Cover and Quality Issues in India. Conservation Biology.
- [47] Forest Survey Of India (FSI). (2023). India State of Forest Report 2023.
- [48] Ravindranath, N. H., et al. (2012). Assessment of Forest Degradation in India. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change.
- [49] Putz, F. E., et al. (2012). Sustaining Conservation Values in Selectively Logged Tropical Forests. Conservation Letters.
- [50] Sunderlin, W. D., et al. (2005). Livelihoods, Forests, and Conservation. World Development.
- [51] World Resources Institute (WRI). (2025). Global Forest Cover and Quality Analysis.
- [52] Chazdon, R. L. (2014). Second Growth: The Promise of Tropical Forest Regeneration in An Age of Deforestation. University of Chicago Press.
- [53] Lamb, D., et al. (2005). Restoration of Degraded Tropical Forest Landscapes. Science, 310(5754), 1628-1632.
- [54] Jain, P. K., et al. (2021). Forest Fire Vulnerability in The Indian Landscape. Journal Of Environmental Management.
- [55] Lele, S. (2006). Forests, Commons and Rural Livelihoods. Academic Press.
- [56] Roy, P. S., et al. (2015). Biodiversity and Forest Loss in Northeast India. Landscape Ecology.
- [57] Geist, H. J., And Lambin, E. F. (2002). Proximate Causes and Underlying Driving Forces of Tropical Deforestation. BioScience.
- [58] Barbier, E. B. (2010). Scarcity and Frontiers: How Economies Have Developed Through Natural Resource Exploitation. Cambridge University Press.
- [59] IPCC. (2024). Climate Change 2024: The Physical Science Basis.
- [60] Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO). (2026). Digital Technology and Forest Monitoring.

- [61] Suresh, R., et al. (2025). AI-Based Fire Detection in Indian Forests. Computers And Electronics In Agriculture.
- [62] Jose, S. (2009). Agroforestry for Ecosystem Services and Environmental Benefits. Agroforestry Systems.
- [63] World Health Organisation (WHO). (2025). Air Quality Guidelines: Household Fuel Combustion.
- [64] International Energy Agency (IEA). (2021). Energy Access and Clean Cooking in India.
- [65] Smith, K. R., et al. (2014). Energy and Health in Rural Households. Lancet Commission.
- [66] Fullerton, D. G., et al. (2008). Indoor Air Pollution from Biomass Fuel Smoke as a Major Health Hazard in the Developing World. The Lancet.
- [67] Bruce, N., et al. (2000). Indoor Air Pollution In Developing Countries: A Major Environmental and Public Health Challenge. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation.
- [68] GBD 2023 Risk Factors Collaborators. (2025). Global, Regional, and National Comparative Risk Assessment of 88 Behavioural, Environmental and Occupational Risks. The Lancet.
- [69] Ezzati, M., And Kammen, D. M. (2002). The Health Impacts of Exposure to Indoor Air Pollution From Solid Fuels in Developing Countries. Environmental Health Perspectives.
- [70] Pope, C. A., et al. (2011). Lung Cancer And Cardiovascular Disease: Associations With Fine Particulate Matter. New England Journal of Medicine.
- [71] Bailis, R., et al. (2005). The Carbon Footprint of Traditional Fuels. Energy Policy.
- [72] Bond, T. C., et al. (2013). Bounding The Role of Black Carbon in The Climate System. Journal of Geophysical Research.
- [73] Balakrishnan, K., et al. (2013). Household Air Pollution From Biomass Fuel: A Major Global Health Risk. Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology.
- [74] Stein, A. D., et al. (2022). Indoor Air Pollution and Cognitive Decline in Ageing Populations. Nature Communications.
- [75] Ministry Of Petroleum and Natural Gas. (2025). Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana: Progress and Challenges. Government of India.
- [76] Pattanayak, S. K., et al. (2019). The Clean Cooking Transition: Behavioural and Market Hurdles. Development Policy Review.
- [77] Venkataraman, C., et al. (2020). Black Carbon Emissions From Residential Biofuel Use in India. Nature Climate Change.
- [78] Hoornweg, D., and Bhada-Tata, P. (2012). What A Waste: A Global Review of Solid Waste Management. World Bank.
- [79] Furedy, C. (1992). Garbage: The Rural-Urban Continuum. Urban Agriculture.
- [80] Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). (2023). Annual Report on Solid Waste Management. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.
- [81] Talyan, V., et al. (2008). Managing Solid Waste in Rural India: Challenges and Opportunities. Resources, Conservation, and Recycling.
- [82] World Bank. (2024). What A Waste 2.0: A Global Snapshot of Solid Waste Management to 2050.
- [83] Wilson, D. C., et al. (2015). Integrated Sustainable Waste Management in Developing Countries. Waste Management.
- [84] Sharholly, M., et al. (2008). Municipal Solid Waste Management in Indian Cities: A Review. Waste Management.
- [85] Medina, M. (2010). The World's Scavengers: Salvaging for Sustainable Consumption and Production. Altamira Press.
- [86] World Health Organisation (WHO). (2025). Environmental Sanitation in Rural Villages.
- [87] Department Of Drinking Water and Sanitation. (2026). Swachh Bharat Mission (Grameen) Phase II: Operational Guidelines.
- [88] Gupta, A., And Gupta, M. (2024). Sanitation and Behaviour Change: Lessons From SBM. Development in Practice.
- [89] Coffey, D., And Spears, D. (2017). Where India Goes: Abandoned Toilets, Stunted Development and The Costs of Caste. HarperCollins.
- [90] Government Of India. (2026). Sampoon Swachhata: Solid and Liquid Waste Management Handbook.
- [91] Manhart, A., et al. (2023). E-Waste in Developing Countries: Health and Environmental Hazards. UNEP.
- [92] Baldé, C. P., et al. (2024). The Global E-Waste Monitor.
- [93] Zurbrugg, C., et al. (2004). Decentralised Composting of Urban Waste in India. Waste Management.

- [94] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2025). Climate Change 2025: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability.
- [95] Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO). (2025). Climate-Smart Agriculture: Case Studies in India.
- [96] Centre For Science and Environment (CSE). (2026). State of India's Environment 2026. New Delhi.
- [97] World Economic Forum. (2024). Global Risks Report: Agricultural Instability.
- [98] Battisti, D. S., And Naylor, R. L. (2009). Historical Warnings of Future Food Insecurity with Unprecedented Seasonal Heat. Science.
- [99] Lobell, D. B., et al. (2011). Climate Trends and Global Crop Production Since 1980. Science.
- [100] IPCC. (2024). Regional Impacts of Climate Change on Food Security in South Asia.
- [101] Watts, N., et al. (2024). The Health and Climate Change Countdown. The Lancet.
- [102] Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare. (2026). National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture: Annual Report.
- [103] Howden, S. M., et al. (2007). Adapting Agriculture to Climate Change. PNAS.
- [104] Adger, W. N. (2003). Social Capital, Collective Action, and Adaptation to Climate Change. Economic Geography.
- [105] World Bank. (2025). Gender, Climate Change, and Agricultural Resilience in South Asia.
- [106] International Energy Agency (IEA). (2025). World Energy Outlook 2025.
- [107] Practical Action. (2024). Poor People's Energy Outlook.
- [108] World Health Organisation (WHO). (2025). Household Energy and Health.
- [109] Bailis, R., et al. (2015). Getting The Numbers Right: The Carbon Footprint of Biomass Fuels. Nature Climate Change.
- [110] Shah, T. (2016). Irrigation, Energy and The Rural Economy. IWMI.
- [111] International Energy Agency (IEA). (2021). Air Quality and Climate Policy Integration in India.
- [112] Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE). (2026). Solar Irrigation: Policy, Technology and Impact.
- [113] Chakravarty, S., et al. (2023). Decentralised Renewable Energy Systems for Rural India. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.
- [114] Government of India. (2025). National Renewable Energy Policy: Progress and Goals.
- [115] IEA. (2025). Fuel Subsidies and The Rural Energy Transition.
- [116] International Labour Organisation (ILO). (2025). Green Jobs and Rural Development in India.
- [117] World Bank. (2026). Renewable Energy and Rural Livelihood Diversification in South Asia.
- [118] United Nations. (2025). SDG 15: Life on Land - Progress and Challenges.
- [119] World Resources Institute (WRI). (2026). State of Rural Environmental Health In India.
- [120] IPCC. (2025). Climate Resilience and Rural Development Trajectories.
- [121] World Bank. (2025). Development and The Environment in The 21st Century.
- [122] Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. (2026). Environmental Policy Assessment 2026.
- [123] FAO. (2026). Forest Restoration and Climate Goals: Evidence from India.
- [124] Gulati, A., et al. (2025). Reforming Indian Agricultural Policy for A Sustainable Future. ICRIER.
- [125] World Bank. (2026). Data Infrastructure for Environmental Monitoring in Developing Economies.
- [126] Agarwal, B. (2025). Equity, Gender, and Environmental Sustainability in India. Oxford University Press.
- [127] Centre for Science and Environment. (2026). State of India's Environment 2026. New Delhi.

Copyright & License: