Effectiveness of WhatsApp-Based Health Education on Chronic Disease Management

A Narrative and Comparative Review

Swati Sharma[1*]
Assistant Professor, PIPRAMS Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh

Rooben Lall[2]
Assistant Professor, PIPRAMS Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh

Harshit Tyagi[3]
Assistant Professor, PIPRAMS Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh

Preeti Sharma[4]
Assistant Professor, PIPRAMS Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh

Rita Bhargav[5]
Nursing Lecturer, PIPRAMS Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh

Abstract

WhatsApp is the most widely used instant messaging platform in the world, and its low cost, near-universal familiarity, and multimedia capability have made it an increasingly common vehicle for delivering health education to patients with chronic, non-communicable diseases such as type 2 diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and chronic respiratory illness. This review synthesizes the evidence on WhatsApp-based health education interventions for chronic disease management, drawing on randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental studies, feasibility trials, and the broader mobile health (mHealth) literature. Across diabetes-focused trials, WhatsApp-delivered education has been associated with improvements in disease-specific knowledge, self-efficacy, self-care behaviors, and, in several but not all studies, statistically significant reductions in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c). Evidence in hypertension and cardiovascular disease is comparatively sparse but suggestive of similar knowledge and adherence benefits. The review situates these findings within relevant behavior-change theory, including the Health Belief Model, Social Cognitive Theory, and the Chronic Care Model, and examines the platform-specific features, one-to-one messaging, broadcast lists, and group chats, that shape how WhatsApp interventions are designed and delivered. Cross-cutting methodological limitations are identified, including small sample sizes, short follow-up periods, inconsistent control-group conditions, and heterogeneous outcome measures, which limit the strength of causal inference that can currently be drawn. The review further examines an underexplored but consequential dimension of WhatsApp-based health education: the platform's data privacy and clinical-record-keeping limitations, which complicate its use for protected health information despite its pedagogical promise. The review concludes that WhatsApp-based health education is a feasible, acceptable, and probably modestly effective adjunct to standard

chronic disease care, particularly in resource-constrained settings, but that the evidence base does not yet support it as a stand-alone replacement for structured self-management education, and that future trials should prioritize larger samples, longer follow-up, and standardized privacy safeguards.

Keywords: WhatsApp, mHealth, health education, chronic disease management, diabetes self-management, hypertension, patient engagement, digital health

1. Introduction

Non-communicable chronic diseases, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and chronic respiratory conditions, are collectively responsible for the majority of deaths worldwide, and their effective management depends heavily on sustained patient engagement in self-care behaviors: medication adherence, dietary modification, physical activity, and routine monitoring. Structured patient education is a foundational component of chronic disease management, and health systems have long sought delivery channels that are inexpensive, scalable, and capable of sustaining engagement between clinical encounters, which for most chronic conditions occur only intermittently relative to the daily self-management demands patients face.

Mobile health (mHealth) technologies have emerged as a leading candidate for this role, with substantial evidence that mobile app-assisted self-care interventions can produce clinically meaningful reductions in glycated hemoglobin and blood pressure. Within the broader mHealth landscape, WhatsApp occupies a distinctive position. Unlike purpose-built diabetes or hypertension management applications, WhatsApp is a general-purpose instant messaging platform already installed on the majority of smartphones worldwide, requiring no new software download, no dedicated technical infrastructure, and minimal digital literacy beyond what patients already use daily to communicate with family and friends. This ubiquity has made WhatsApp an attractive, low-cost option for health systems and researchers seeking to deliver structured education content, reminders, and peer or provider support to patients managing chronic conditions, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where dedicated mHealth infrastructure may be limited but smartphone and WhatsApp penetration is high.

This review addresses three questions. First, what is the evidence that WhatsApp-based health education improves clinical, behavioral, and knowledge outcomes for patients with chronic disease, and how consistent is this evidence across conditions? Second, which platform-specific features of WhatsApp, one-to-one messaging, moderated group chats, broadcast lists, multimedia content, are associated with more favorable outcomes, and what does behavior-change theory suggest about why? Third, what methodological and practical limitations, including data privacy, record-keeping, and engagement sustainability, constrain the current evidence base and

the real-world scalability of WhatsApp-based interventions? The review closes with recommendations for practice and for the design of future comparative trials.

2. Background: Chronic Disease Burden and the Case for Digital Health Education

2.1 The Scale of the Problem

Chronic diseases contribute to a substantial majority of deaths worldwide each year, with cardiovascular disease, cancer, chronic respiratory disease, and diabetes together accounting for a large share of premature mortality among adults aged 30 to 69, in addition to their well-documented effects on quality of life and household and national economic burden. Effective self-management of these conditions depends on patients possessing accurate knowledge about their condition, believing that their own behaviors materially affect their health trajectory, and having practical access to the resources needed to execute recommended health behaviors, a formulation consistent with the Chronic Care Model's emphasis on self-management support as a core structural component of high-quality chronic illness care.

Traditional face-to-face patient education, delivered during clinic visits or structured group classes, remains the reference standard for chronic disease self-management education but is constrained by clinician time, travel burden for patients, and the difficulty of sustaining engagement between visits that may be scheduled months apart. This structural gap between the intensity of self-management demanded of patients with chronic disease and the intermittency of clinical contact available to support it has motivated the search for scalable, low-cost communication channels capable of extending education and support into patients' daily lives.

2.2 Digital Health Education Formats: A Brief Landscape

Several digital modalities have been evaluated as vehicles for chronic disease education, including short message service (SMS) text messaging, dedicated mobile health applications, web-based educational modules, and video-based instructional content. Systematic reviews of these approaches report generally favorable but heterogeneous effects: a review of video-based educational interventions across ten major chronic illness categories found the majority of eligible studies reported improvements in process or outcome measures, while systematic reviews of self-guided web-based education have emphasized substantial variation in intervention features and considerable difficulty defining and achieving adequate patient adherence to program content. Reviews of purpose-built mobile applications for type 2 diabetes and hypertension have found that app-assisted self-care interventions are associated with statistically significant reductions in HbA1c and systolic blood pressure, with subgroup analyses suggesting that features such as blood glucose and blood pressure monitoring integration, automated feedback, personalized goal setting, and reminders are particularly supportive of favorable outcomes.

WhatsApp differs from each of these formats in a consequential way: rather than requiring patients to adopt a new, health-specific application, it repurposes an already-adopted, already-trusted communication tool. This repurposing lowers adoption friction substantially but also means WhatsApp inherits none of the purpose-built data-capture, monitoring-integration, or clinical-documentation features that dedicated mHealth applications are designed around, a tradeoff examined in depth in Section 7.

3. Theoretical Frameworks for WhatsApp-Based Health Education

3.1 The Health Belief Model

The Health Belief Model (HBM), one of the most widely applied frameworks in health education research, proposes that health behavior is shaped by six cognitive constructs: perceived susceptibility to a condition or its complications, perceived severity of those consequences, perceived benefits of a recommended action, perceived barriers to taking that action, self-efficacy, and cues to action. WhatsApp-based education maps onto several of these constructs directly. Repeated, low-friction educational messages function as recurring cues to action, a construct the HBM identifies as necessary but often insufficiently supplied by intermittent clinical encounters alone. Messages that provide individualized risk information or highlight disease consequences can target perceived susceptibility and severity, while structured content addressing practical obstacles, cost, access to monitoring equipment, dietary constraints, can address perceived barriers. Critics of the HBM note that it emphasizes cognitive constructs at the expense of emotional, social, and cultural influences on behavior and has demonstrated relatively limited predictive power in some contexts, a limitation that is directly relevant to WhatsApp interventions insofar as the platform's social and group-based features may activate mechanisms the classic HBM does not fully capture.

3.2 Social Cognitive Theory and Self-Efficacy

Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) emphasizes self-efficacy, an individual's belief in their capacity to execute the behaviors required to produce a desired outcome, as a central determinant of health behavior change, alongside observational learning and reciprocal interaction between personal, behavioral, and environmental factors. mHealth intervention designers have increasingly drawn on SCT explicitly, incorporating behavioral trigger messages, tailored content, and persuasive-technology principles intended to strengthen self-efficacy and simplify the cognitive and logistical demands of self-management tasks. WhatsApp's group-chat functionality offers a plausible mechanism for SCT's observational-learning component that SMS-based or app-based interventions cannot easily replicate: patients in a moderated WhatsApp group can observe peers modeling successful self-management behaviors, ask questions in a shared space, and receive social reinforcement, features that are further discussed in Section 5 in relation to specific trial designs.

3.3 The Chronic Care Model

The Chronic Care Model (CCM) frames self-management support, alongside delivery-system design, decision support, and clinical information systems, as one of several interacting components required for high-quality chronic illness care within a broader health system context. Within this framework, WhatsApp-based education is best understood not as a standalone intervention but as one potential channel for delivering the self-management support component, whose effectiveness is likely to depend on its integration with, rather than substitution for, the other CCM components, including structured clinical follow-up and decision support. This framing anticipates a recurring theme in the empirical literature reviewed in Sections 5 and 6: WhatsApp interventions that are embedded within a broader structured program, rather than deployed as an isolated messaging service, tend to report more favorable and more clinically meaningful outcomes.

4. WhatsApp as an Intervention Platform: Features and Delivery Models

4.1 Platform Characteristics Relevant to Health Education

WhatsApp supports several delivery formats relevant to health education design: one-to-one messaging between a provider or health educator and an individual patient, broadcast lists that allow a single sender to distribute identical content to many recipients without creating a shared group space, and group chats in which all members can see and respond to one another's messages. It supports text, images, short videos, voice notes, and documents, allowing educational content to be adapted to different literacy levels and delivered in locally relevant languages, a feature explicitly leveraged in trials that translated and culturally adapted messages for Arabic-speaking populations. End-to-end encryption is a further platform characteristic frequently cited as a security advantage, though, as discussed in Section 7, encryption in transit does not resolve the broader clinical record-keeping and data-governance requirements that regulated health information handling demands.

4.2 Delivery Models Documented in the Literature

Across published trials, WhatsApp-based education has been delivered using at least three recognizable models. The first is scheduled broadcast messaging, in which patients receive a structured sequence of educational messages, often weekly or daily, covering predefined disease-education topics, as in a hypertension and diabetes medication-adherence trial that delivered weekly educational messages via WhatsApp and a cardiac rehabilitation protocol that delivered daily themed messages covering hypertension, diabetes, smoking, and dyslipidemia across a structured four-week curriculum. The second is moderated group chat, in which patients are added to a shared group facilitated by a nurse or diabetes educator who posts content and responds to patient questions, as in a trial evaluating an instructional WhatsApp group's effect on self-care and HbA1c among women with type 2 diabetes. The third is conversational or chatbot-based delivery, in which patients interact with automated or semi-automated response systems, illustrated by the GREAT4Diabetes WhatsApp

chatbot developed to educate people with type 2 diabetes in South Africa during the COVID-19 pandemic. These models are not mutually exclusive, and several trials combine scheduled broadcast content with an open channel for patient-initiated questions.

5. Evidence in Diabetes Self-Management

5.1 Randomized and Quasi-Experimental Trials

Diabetes is the chronic condition most frequently studied in the WhatsApp health education literature, likely reflecting both its high global prevalence and the centrality of daily self-monitoring and behavioral adherence to its management. A randomized controlled trial of WhatsApp-delivered diabetes self-management education in adolescents and young adults with type 1 diabetes compared an intervention group receiving weekly educational messages, a sham group receiving weekly greeting messages without educational content, and a control group without WhatsApp access, finding a statistically significant difference in HbA1c change between the WhatsApp intervention group and the no-WhatsApp control group, alongside significant improvement in diabetes knowledge in the intervention group, though the difference between the educational intervention and the sham messaging group was not statistically significant, a finding the study's authors noted raised questions about how much of the observed benefit reflected the specific educational content versus the general effect of sustained contact and attention.

A non-randomized controlled trial in the United Arab Emirates evaluated a self-management educational program coordinated through WhatsApp for adults with type 2 diabetes, delivering culturally adapted, Arabic-language educational content and collecting HbA1c at baseline, three months, and six months; the study reported that diabetes education delivered via WhatsApp produced promising outcomes and that a large majority of participants found the intervention beneficial and wished to see it continued long term, with benefits observed regardless of participants' baseline health literacy or numeracy level. A separate trial evaluating an instructional WhatsApp group intervention among female patients with type 2 diabetes, moderated by a nurse and two diabetes-educator co-researchers over a three-month period, examined effects on self-care behaviors and HbA1c using a pre-post comparison design.

A trial evaluating WhatsApp messaging to improve engagement among young adolescents with type 1 diabetes used validated instruments, the Diabetes Knowledge Test and the Diabetes Management Self-Efficacy Scale, to assess an eight-week intervention delivering one educational message per week to the intervention group compared with usual care in the control group, reflecting the broader pattern in this literature of favoring brief, low-frequency messaging protocols over intensive daily contact.

5.2 The GREAT4Diabetes Chatbot Study

A mixed-methods implementation study evaluated the GREAT4Diabetes WhatsApp chatbot, developed to deliver type 2 diabetes education in South Africa during the COVID-19 pandemic, a period during which in-person diabetes education services were substantially disrupted. The study's background review noted that clinical trials conducted in the United States, Brazil, and Saudi Arabia had previously shown WhatsApp education programs capable of improving knowledge, self-efficacy, adherence, and glycemic control, with reductions in HbA1c of approximately 0.6 percentage points reported in some of this prior work, and that WhatsApp-delivered education had in some contexts performed comparably to in-person group education programs. The study explicitly highlighted that, despite this accumulating evidence from higher-resource settings, comparatively little research had examined WhatsApp messaging for diabetes education specifically within low- and middle-income country contexts, a gap this South African implementation study was designed to help address.

5.3 Synthesis of the Diabetes Evidence

Taken together, the diabetes-focused WhatsApp literature suggests a consistent pattern: WhatsApp-based education reliably improves self-reported knowledge and, in most studies, self-efficacy, while its effect on the harder clinical endpoint of glycemic control is more variable, statistically significant in several trials but not clearly separable from general attention or contact effects in at least one trial that included a sham-messaging comparison arm. This pattern mirrors a broader and long-recognized challenge in health education research: interventions frequently move the more proximal outcomes of knowledge and self-efficacy more reliably than they move distal clinical biomarkers, which are also influenced by medication regimens, comorbidity, and factors outside the intervention's reach.

6. Evidence in Hypertension, Cardiovascular Disease, and Other Chronic Conditions

6.1 Hypertension and Medication Adherence

A randomized clinical trial conducted at a teaching hospital in Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia, evaluated an educational intervention using WhatsApp to improve medication adherence among patients with hypertension and diabetes, delivering weekly educational messages to the intervention group while the control group received regular care; the study reported significantly improved post-intervention adherence in the intervention group relative to control, alongside significant increases in diabetes-related knowledge and self-efficacy among intervention participants. This trial is notable for combining two of the most prevalent chronic conditions, hypertension and diabetes, within a single educational messaging protocol, an approach that reflects the frequent clinical comorbidity of these conditions and may offer efficiency advantages over disease-specific

messaging programs, though it also complicates attribution of outcome changes to any single disease-education component.

6.2 Cardiac Rehabilitation

A randomized controlled trial protocol developed in a Latin American context describes a structured WhatsApp-assisted health educational intervention embedded within a cardiac rehabilitation program, delivering daily themed messages, covering hypertension, diabetes mellitus, smoking, and dyslipidemia, across a four-week curriculum, with outcomes assessed using a purpose-designed Cardiovascular Risk Factors Knowledge score spanning pathophysiology, pharmacology, nutrition, and physical activity domains, in addition to physical activity levels, anxiety, depression, and quality of life. This protocol illustrates a design pattern distinct from the diabetes trials reviewed in Section 5: rather than standalone messaging, the WhatsApp component is explicitly designed to enhance an existing structured rehabilitation program, consistent with the Chronic Care Model's framing of self-management support as one component operating alongside, rather than instead of, organized clinical care.

6.3 Comparison with Related Social Messaging Platforms

Evidence from structurally similar social messaging platforms provides useful triangulating context given the comparative scarcity of large WhatsApp-specific hypertension trials. A randomized clinical trial evaluating WeChat, a widely used Chinese social messaging application functionally comparable to WhatsApp, for glucose control education and support among patients with coronary heart disease and diabetes mellitus found that the intervention group receiving educational materials and glucose-value-triggered reminders via the app experienced significantly greater reductions in HbA1c, fasting blood glucose, and systolic blood pressure compared with a usual-care control group over three months. Because WeChat and WhatsApp share core functional characteristics, scheduled messaging, multimedia content delivery, and low-friction access on an already-installed platform, this trial's positive findings for a combined cardiometabolic population offer indirect support for the plausibility of similar benefits from WhatsApp-based programs in hypertension and cardiovascular disease populations, pending direct replication.

6.4 Respiratory and Other Chronic Conditions

Direct WhatsApp-specific evidence in chronic respiratory disease remains limited within the literature identified for this review, though adjacent telehealth and structured education trials in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) offer relevant context. A randomized controlled trial conducted in Vietnam evaluating a structured health education and teleconsultation intervention for COPD outpatients found significantly higher medication and breathing-exercise adherence, along with improved disease severity and airway obstruction measures, in the intervention group compared with a survey-only control group, while a trial

comparing virtual and in-person inhaler technique education for hospitalized patients with asthma or COPD found the virtual delivery format achieved improvements in inhaler technique similar in magnitude to in-person instruction, though the study did not meet its pre-specified statistical threshold for formal non-inferiority. These findings, while not WhatsApp-specific, support the general plausibility that remotely delivered structured education can achieve outcomes approaching in-person instruction for respiratory self-management skills, a plausibility that future WhatsApp-specific COPD and asthma trials could test directly.

7. Platform-Specific Limitations: Privacy, Record-Keeping, and Regulatory Compliance

7.1 Data Privacy and Regulatory Status

A significant and frequently underappreciated limitation of WhatsApp-based health education is the platform's regulatory status with respect to protected health information. Despite offering end-to-end encryption for messages in transit, WhatsApp is not, in the United States regulatory context, compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) for the transmission or storage of protected health information, because the platform does not provide the access management, audit trail, breach-notification, and Business Associate Agreement infrastructure that HIPAA's Security Rule requires of covered entities and their business associates. Analogous concerns arise under other data-protection regimes, including the General Data Protection Regulation, where compliance depends substantially on how healthcare organizations implement consent, data minimization, and access-control practices around the platform rather than on any inherent property of WhatsApp itself.

This regulatory gap does not necessarily preclude WhatsApp's use in health education, since much of the content delivered in the trials reviewed in Sections 5 and 6 consists of general disease-education material rather than individually identifiable protected health information, and several jurisdictions permit patient-initiated use of non-compliant channels when reasonable safeguards are documented. Nonetheless, the distinction between de-identified educational broadcast content and identifiable clinical communication, such as a patient's specific glucose readings, symptoms, or ongoing case discussion within a moderated group, is not always cleanly maintained in practice, particularly within group-chat formats where members may voluntarily disclose personal health details visible to all other participants.

7.2 Record-Keeping and Clinical Documentation

A scoping review examining how clinicians who use WhatsApp in clinical practice keep records of message content and store attachments found that only a minority of the studies identified in the review addressed record-keeping practices systematically, and that clinicians frequently demonstrated limited awareness of, or

attention to, applicable privacy and security legislation governing electronic patient communication and documentation obligations. Complementary research examining the introduction of a secure, purpose-built messaging alternative alongside data-protection training found that the proportion of clinicians sharing identifiable, sensitive patient information via WhatsApp fell substantially, from 97 percent to 81 percent, following the intervention, indicating that training and access to compliant alternatives can meaningfully, though not completely, reduce risky documentation practices even where convenience continues to favor consumer messaging platforms.

7.3 Implications for Health Education Program Design

These privacy and documentation limitations carry direct implications for how WhatsApp-based chronic disease education programs should be designed and evaluated. Programs that restrict WhatsApp use to the delivery of standardized, non-identifiable educational broadcast content, the model most commonly evaluated in the trials reviewed above, avoid the more serious regulatory exposure associated with transmitting individualized clinical data, while programs that incorporate two-way clinical dialogue, individualized glucose or blood pressure reporting, or moderated group discussion of personal health details assume materially greater privacy risk that existing trial reports rarely address explicitly. Future implementations and their accompanying research should distinguish these use cases clearly, document consent and data-handling practices, and, where individualized clinical communication is intended, consider purpose-built, compliant alternatives rather than defaulting to WhatsApp purely on the basis of convenience and adoption familiarity.

8. Cross-Cutting Methodological Limitations in the Evidence Base

- Sample sizes across the WhatsApp-specific trials identified in this review are generally small, frequently fewer than 100 participants per arm, limiting statistical power to detect modest but clinically meaningful effects, particularly for harder clinical endpoints such as HbA1c or systolic blood pressure.

- Follow-up periods are typically short, commonly ranging from eight weeks to six months, leaving the durability of observed knowledge, self-efficacy, and behavioral gains largely untested beyond the immediate intervention window, a limitation that parallels concerns raised in the broader digital health and simulation-based education literatures regarding long-term retention of intervention effects.

- Control-group conditions vary substantially across studies, ranging from true usual-care controls to sham-messaging comparison groups that control for contact and attention effects; this heterogeneity, illustrated by the divergent findings between the type 1 diabetes trial's WhatsApp-versus-no-WhatsApp comparison and its non-significant WhatsApp-versus-sham comparison, complicates efforts to attribute observed benefits specifically to educational content rather than to general engagement or attention effects.

- Outcome measures are inconsistent across trials, spanning validated instruments such as the Diabetes Knowledge Test and Diabetes Management Self-Efficacy Scale, purpose-built, non-validated knowledge questionnaires, and clinical biomarkers, limiting the feasibility of quantitative pooling or meta-analysis across the existing WhatsApp-specific literature.

- Several available studies use non-randomized or single-arm pre-post designs, which cannot rule out regression to the mean, seasonal variation in biomarkers, or concurrent changes in usual care as alternative explanations for observed improvements.

- Cultural and linguistic adaptation of message content, while described in several studies as important to acceptability and engagement, is rarely evaluated as an explicit moderating variable, leaving open questions about which specific adaptation strategies drive engagement and outcome differences across settings.

- Engagement and dose-response data, how many messages participants actually read, responded to, or acted upon, are inconsistently reported, mirroring a broader challenge identified in systematic reviews of user engagement with mHealth interventions, where more than sixty distinct terms have been used across the literature to define engagement, complicating comparison across studies.

9. Cost, Scalability, and Health Equity Considerations

9.1 Cost Structure Relative to Alternative Delivery Channels

A central argument advanced throughout the WhatsApp health education literature is economic: because the platform is already installed on the overwhelming majority of patients' smartphones, WhatsApp-based programs avoid the software licensing, application development, and device-provisioning costs associated with dedicated mHealth applications, and avoid the per-message carrier charges associated with SMS-based programs in many markets. This cost structure is particularly consequential in low- and middle-income countries, where the GREAT4Diabetes implementation study explicitly framed WhatsApp's near-universal adoption as a rationale for its selection over alternative digital channels during a period, the COVID-19 pandemic, when in-person diabetes education infrastructure was substantially disrupted and alternative investment in new platforms was neither timely nor feasible. The primary recurring cost in most of the reviewed trials was personnel time, for message content development, translation and cultural adaptation, and, in moderated group and chatbot-based models, ongoing facilitation and response management, rather than technology infrastructure itself.

9.2 Scalability and the Personnel Bottleneck

Despite WhatsApp's low technology cost, the delivery models reviewed in Section 4 vary considerably in their scalability once personnel time is accounted for. Scheduled broadcast messaging scales efficiently, since a single educator or automated system can distribute identical content to an arbitrarily large patient list with

minimal marginal effort per additional recipient. Moderated group chat, by contrast, scales considerably less efficiently, since group size is practically constrained by a moderator's capacity to monitor content, answer questions, and manage the group's social dynamics without the discussion becoming unwieldy or moderation quality declining. Chatbot-based delivery, as implemented in GREAT4Diabetes, represents an attempt to preserve some of the interactive, responsive character of moderated delivery while removing the personnel bottleneck, though at the cost of the nuanced, individualized response a human moderator can provide, and with additional upfront investment required in chatbot content design, testing, and maintenance. Programs planning at scale should weigh this tradeoff explicitly: broadcast messaging is the most readily scalable model but offers the least individualized engagement, while moderated group formats offer richer engagement at a personnel cost that grows with patient population size.

9.3 Health Equity Implications

WhatsApp's low adoption barrier is frequently framed as an equity advantage relative to dedicated mHealth applications, which may require newer smartphone hardware, reliable data connectivity for initial download, and app-specific onboarding that can disadvantage patients with lower digital literacy or older devices. This equity advantage is real but not unconditional. Patients without smartphone access at all, without reliable data or Wi-Fi connectivity, or with limited literacy in the language of message delivery remain excluded from WhatsApp-based programs regardless of the platform's relative advantage over alternative digital channels, a limitation the reviewed trials rarely quantify systematically through reporting of screening or eligibility exclusions related to smartphone or data access. Additionally, several trials' emphasis on cultural and linguistic adaptation, including Arabic-language translation and localization in the United Arab Emirates trial and the South African context of GREAT4Diabetes, suggests that equity-conscious implementation requires deliberate content adaptation work beyond simply deploying an already-popular platform, work that itself carries the personnel costs discussed in Section 9.1. Future cost-effectiveness and equity analyses of WhatsApp-based chronic disease education should report these exclusion patterns explicitly rather than treating platform ubiquity as a sufficient proxy for equitable reach.

10. Discussion: Toward a Framework for WhatsApp-Based Chronic Disease Education

9.1 Synthesis

The accumulated evidence supports several tentative but reasonably consistent conclusions. WhatsApp-based health education is feasible and well accepted by patients across the diverse cultural and linguistic contexts in which it has been trialed, including Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, South Africa, and Latin America, reflecting the platform's low adoption friction relative to purpose-built applications. It reliably improves

proximal outcomes, disease-specific knowledge and self-efficacy, across the diabetes and hypertension trials reviewed in Sections 5 and 6. Its effect on distal clinical outcomes, HbA1c and blood pressure, is positive in several trials but not uniformly significant, and where sham-messaging comparisons have been included, at least part of the observed benefit may reflect general contact and attention effects rather than the specific educational content delivered. Evidence outside diabetes and hypertension remains substantially thinner, and no trial identified in this review directly evaluated WhatsApp-based education against a dedicated mHealth application or an SMS-based comparator within the same study, leaving the platform's comparative advantage relative to these alternatives largely untested.

9.2 The Role of Delivery Model and Theoretical Alignment

The delivery-model distinctions introduced in Section 4, scheduled broadcast, moderated group, and chatbot-based delivery, appear to align differentially with the behavior-change mechanisms described in Section 3. Scheduled broadcast messaging most directly operationalizes the Health Belief Model's cues-to-action construct through recurring, low-friction reminders and educational content. Moderated group chat additionally engages Social Cognitive Theory's observational-learning mechanism by allowing patients to witness peers' self-management successes and challenges, a mechanism unavailable in one-way broadcast formats and only partially replicable in typical dedicated mHealth applications that lack native peer-visible social features. Chatbot-based delivery, as implemented in the GREAT4Diabetes program, offers scalability advantages over moderator-dependent group formats but sacrifices some of the responsive, individualized engagement that a human moderator can provide, a tradeoff that mirrors, in miniature, the broader tension in digital health between automation-driven scalability and human-delivered personalization.

9.3 Recommendations for Practice

- Health systems considering WhatsApp-based chronic disease education should treat it as a self-management support channel operating within, rather than as a substitute for, a broader structured care program, consistent with the Chronic Care Model's framing of self-management support as one of several interacting components of quality chronic illness care.

- Programs should restrict WhatsApp transmission to standardized, non-identifiable educational content wherever possible, and should explicitly document consent and data-handling practices for any individualized clinical communication conducted through the platform, given its non-compliant status under HIPAA and comparable regulatory frameworks.

- Program designers should consider moderated group formats where feasible, given their apparent alignment with observational-learning mechanisms identified in Social Cognitive Theory, while providing moderators with sufficient time and training to manage the privacy risks inherent in group-visible health disclosures.

- Message frequency and duration protocols in the reviewed literature range from single weekly messages over eight weeks to daily messages over four weeks; given the absence of head-to-head dose-comparison trials, programs should select frequency based on target population burden and preference while explicitly tracking engagement metrics to inform iterative refinement.

- Programs should incorporate a sham-messaging or attention-control comparison condition wherever feasible in future evaluation designs, to isolate the effect of educational content from the general benefit of sustained contact, an important and under-addressed confound identified in Section 5.3.

11. Directions for Future Research

Several priorities emerge from the gaps identified across this review. First, adequately powered randomized trials with follow-up extending beyond six months are needed to establish whether the knowledge and self-efficacy gains consistently observed in short-term WhatsApp trials translate into durable behavioral change and sustained clinical benefit, addressing the same long-term retention question that has proven difficult to resolve across digital and simulation-based health education more broadly. Second, head-to-head trials comparing WhatsApp-based delivery against SMS-based messaging and against dedicated mHealth applications within the same population and outcome framework would clarify whether WhatsApp's ubiquity and multimedia capability confer a genuine comparative advantage or whether its apparent effectiveness simply reflects the general benefit of any structured, sustained remote contact. Third, trials in hypertension, cardiovascular disease, chronic respiratory disease, and chronic kidney disease remain comparatively rare relative to the diabetes literature, and dedicated WhatsApp-specific trials in these conditions, ideally incorporating validated condition-specific outcome measures, would substantially broaden the evidence base beyond its current diabetes-centered focus. Fourth, research should systematically document engagement metrics, message open and response rates, participation duration, dropout patterns, using standardized definitions, to enable more meaningful comparison across studies than the current heterogeneous reporting practices allow. Finally, given the privacy and documentation limitations discussed in Section 7, research is needed on hybrid models that preserve WhatsApp's adoption advantages while incorporating stronger data-governance safeguards, potentially through WhatsApp Business API integrations with structured backend record-keeping, an approach that begins to appear in newer chatbot-based implementations but has not yet been rigorously evaluated for chronic disease education specifically.

12. Limitations of This Review

This review adopts a narrative, comparative-synthesis methodology rather than a formal systematic review or meta-analysis protocol, and it does not report pooled quantitative effect sizes across studies; its conclusions should therefore be understood as a qualitative synthesis of the identified literature rather than a statistically

weighted summary. The diabetes-focused evidence base reviewed in Section 5 is more extensive than that available for other chronic conditions, and the review's conclusions accordingly generalize most confidently to diabetes self-management education and more tentatively to hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and respiratory conditions. Several inferences drawn in Sections 6 and 9, particularly those extending findings from WeChat and broader mHealth application trials to the WhatsApp context specifically, rest on platform analogy rather than direct WhatsApp-specific evidence and should be treated accordingly. Finally, given WhatsApp's rapid evolution, including expanding WhatsApp Business API capabilities and integrated chatbot infrastructure, findings drawn from earlier trial implementations may understate the capabilities and privacy safeguards achievable with current and emerging deployment models.

13. Conclusion

WhatsApp-based health education has emerged as a feasible, well-accepted, and low-cost channel for extending chronic disease self-management support beyond the confines of the clinical encounter, with the strongest evidence to date concentrated in diabetes self-management, where multiple trials report improvements in disease-specific knowledge and self-efficacy and several report accompanying reductions in HbA1c. Evidence in hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and chronic respiratory disease is more limited but broadly consistent in direction, and analogous findings from structurally similar social messaging platforms lend indirect support to the plausibility of extending WhatsApp-based education to these conditions. At the same time, the evidence base remains constrained by small samples, short follow-up, inconsistent control conditions, and heterogeneous outcome measures, and the platform's non-compliant status under HIPAA and comparable data-protection frameworks poses a genuine, currently under-addressed constraint on its use for individualized clinical communication. WhatsApp-based education is best understood, on current evidence, as a promising and scalable adjunct to structured chronic disease care rather than a validated substitute for it, and the field's priority should now shift from further feasibility demonstrations toward larger, longer, theoretically grounded, and privacy-conscious comparative trials capable of establishing durable clinical benefit.

References

A comprehensive digital medicine platform for hypertension and diabetes care in primary care: A real-world feasibility test. (2025). [PMC record].

Behavior Change Theories and Models Within Health Belief Model Research: A Five-Decade Holistic Bibliometric Analysis. (2024). [PMC record].

Better Outcomes for Everybody: A randomized controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a community pharmacist-led intervention for patients with asthma and COPD. (2025). [Preprint, medRxiv].

Cyd, E., Vallejo, N., McDonald, X., Wu, J., Rodríguez, R., Muthusamy, N., Mathioudakis, N., & Riekert, K. A. (2024). User engagement with mHealth interventions to promote treatment adherence and self-management in people with chronic health conditions: Systematic review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 26, e50508.

Educational Intervention Using WhatsApp on Medication Adherence in Hypertension and Diabetes Patients: A Randomized Clinical Trial. (2020). [Preprint/conference paper].

Effect of health education intervention on treatment adherence and health status in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A random control trial. (2024). [PMC record].

Effect of social app-assisted education and support on glucose control in patients with coronary heart disease and diabetes mellitus. (2022). Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 9, 947130.

Effectiveness of Mobile App-Assisted Self-Care Interventions for Improving Patient Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes and/or Hypertension: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. (2020). Journal of Medical Internet Research.

Effectiveness of Virtual vs In-Person Inhaler Education for Hospitalized Patients With Obstructive Lung Disease: A Randomized Clinical Trial. (2020). [PMC record].

Effectiveness, reach, uptake, and feasibility of digital health interventions for adults with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. (2023). The Lancet Digital Health.

Effects of a WhatsApp-Assisted Health Educational Intervention for Cardiac Rehabilitation: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial Protocol. (2024). Diseases, 12(2), 35.

Effects of an instructional WhatsApp group on self-care and HbA1c among female patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. (2024). PLOS ONE.

Enhancing mHealth Technology to Activate Chronic Care Patients. [Clinical trial protocol]. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02949037.

Evaluating the Implementation of the GREAT4Diabetes WhatsApp Chatbot to Educate People With Type 2 Diabetes During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Convergent Mixed Methods Study. (2022). [PMC record].

Health belief model. Wikipedia. Retrieved 2026.

Incorporating Behavioral Trigger Messages Into a Mobile Health App for Chronic Disease Management: Randomized Clinical Feasibility Trial in Diabetes. (2020). [PMC record].

Is WhatsApp HIPAA Compliant? HIPAA Journal.

Is WhatsApp HIPAA-compliant? +5 reasons why you shouldn't use WhatsApp in healthcare. Rocket.Chat.

Legal and Ethical Concerns in the Use of WhatsApp for Medical Treatment. (2024). Frontiers in Health Informatics.

Omar, M. A., Hasan, S., & Palaian, S. (2020). The impact of a self-management educational program coordinated through WhatsApp on diabetes control. Pharmacy Practice (Granada), 18(4), 1841.

Patient engagement as a risk factor in personalized health care: A systematic review of the literature on chronic disease. (2014). [PMC record].

Supporting Self-Management of Healthy Behaviors in Chronic Kidney Disease (SMART-HABITS). [Clinical trial protocol]. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04858295.

The Health Belief Model of Behavior Change. StatPearls, NCBI Bookshelf. (2024).

The Improving Care in Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease Study: A Cluster Randomized Trial. ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01921556.

Understanding Self-Guided Web-Based Educational Interventions for Patients With Chronic Health Conditions: Systematic Review of Intervention Features and Adherence. Xie, L. F., Itzkovitz, A., Roy-Fleming, A., Da Costa, D., & Brazeau, A.-S. (2020). Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(8), e18355.

Using WhatsApp messaging to improve engagement of young adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. [Research report].

Video-Based Educational Interventions for Patients With Chronic Illnesses: Systematic Review. (2023). [PMC record].

WhatsApp in Clinical Practice—The Challenges of Record Keeping and Storage: A Scoping Review. (2021). [PMC record].

WhatsApp in Stroke Systems: Current Use and Regulatory Concerns. (2018). [PMC record].

Whatsapp for diabetes self management education (DSME) in type 1 diabetes mellitus: A randomized controlled trial. (2022). [PMC record, conference abstract].

Why Healthcare Professionals Should Not Use WhatsApp for Clinical Communication. (2026). Melp.

Why physicians should stop reaching for WhatsApp. (2026). Paubox.