INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD)
© 2026 IJNRD | Volume 11, Issue 11, November 2026 | ISSN: 2456-4184 | IJNRD.ORG

ACHIEVING THE UNACHIEVABLE: SHIVAJI MAHARAJ'S VISION AND THE MAKING OF SWARAJ IN CHALLENGING DESTINY

A QUALITATIVE TEXTUAL ANALYSIS OF MEDHA DESHMUKH-BHASKARAN'S BIOGRAPHY

Yeshwanth Reddy S
MA English,
Jain Deemed to-be university, Bangalore, India

Abstract: This research examines how Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj challenged political constraints and established the vision of Swaraj, as represented in Medha Deshmukh-Bhaskaran's biography Challenging Destiny. It explores how Shivaji Maharaj transformed his vision of self-rule into a political reality through strategic planning, military organisation, leadership, and determination. The study analyses the challenges he faced in the political environment of his time and the ways in which he responded to powerful opponents, geographical difficulties, and limited resources. It also examines the relationship between his vision of Swaraj and his approaches to governance, resistance, and the establishment of political authority. By critically examining the biography's presentation of his achievements, the research considers how leadership and strategic thinking contributed to the realisation of an apparently unattainable goal. The study adopts a qualitative textual analysis approach, drawing on the primary text and relevant historical scholarship to distinguish the biographer's interpretation from the broader historical context. Ultimately, the research seeks to demonstrate how Challenging Destiny presents Shivaji Maharaj's journey towards Swaraj as an example of transforming political challenges into opportunities for establishing self-rule.

Index Terms—Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj, Swaraj, Challenging Destiny, historical biography, leadership, military organisation, textual analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

The establishment of Swaraj under Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj is an important subject in the study of Indian history because it raises a fundamental question: how can an individual transform a political vision into reality when the circumstances appear to work against it? In the seventeenth century, the Indian subcontinent was divided among powerful political authorities, including the Mughal Empire and the Deccan sultanates. Within this political environment, Shivaji Maharaj developed a vision of self-rule and gradually established a kingdom that became the foundation of Maratha political power. His life offers an opportunity to examine the relationship between leadership, strategic thinking, political circumstances, and the pursuit of self-determination.

Medha Deshmukh-Bhaskaran's Challenging Destiny presents the life of Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj as a biography intended for young readers. The book introduces his historical circumstances and describes his emergence as a ruler associated with the establishment of Swaraj. Its title draws attention to the idea that historical circumstances do not necessarily determine the final outcome of human action. The biography therefore provides a starting point for investigating how Shivaji Maharaj pursued a political vision despite the challenges surrounding him.

The concept of Swaraj is central to this study. In the context of Shivaji Maharaj's political project, Swaraj refers to self-rule and the establishment of an independent political authority. However, achieving Swaraj involved more than expressing a desire for freedom. It required the development of political institutions, military organisation, strategic alliances, territorial control, and administrative arrangements. The establishment and consolidation of a kingdom must therefore be understood as a process rather than as the result of a single achievement. Examining this process helps us understand how a political vision can develop into a functioning system of governance.

This study approaches Shivaji Maharaj's achievements through the idea of achieving what may initially appear unachievable. Rather than treating his success as the product of courage alone, the research will investigate the relationship between vision, leadership, strategy, adaptability, and political organisation. It will examine how the biography represents his responses to challenges and how individual decisions are connected with broader historical developments. Particular attention will be given to the ways in which the narrative presents the difficulties he faced and the actions through which he advanced his political objectives.

As a work of biography, Challenging Destiny does more than present a sequence of historical events. It selects and organises episodes from Shivaji Maharaj's life to construct an account of his character and achievements. The author's choices concerning which events to emphasise, how to describe political conflicts, and how to explain significant decisions influence the reader's understanding of the historical figure. Studying these choices is important because a literary analysis can reveal how historical leadership is represented, interpreted, and communicated to a younger audience. The purpose of this research is therefore not merely to recount Shivaji Maharaj's achievements but to understand how the biography presents the making of Swaraj as a historical and political process.

The central research problem of this paper is to examine how Medha Deshmukh-Bhaskaran represents Shivaji Maharaj's efforts to establish Swaraj and how the narrative connects his political vision with the strategies and decisions that advanced it. The study aims to analyse the representation of leadership, military and political strategy, adaptability, and administrative organisation in the biography. It also seeks to understand how the narrative presents the relationship between individual agency and the wider circumstances in which historical change takes place.

The research will address the following questions: How does the author represent Shivaji Maharaj's vision of Swaraj? What strategies and leadership qualities are emphasised in the account of his rise? How does the biography portray his responses to political and military challenges? How are individual initiative and collective participation connected in the establishment of Swaraj? Finally, how does the author's narrative presentation shape the reader's understanding of Shivaji Maharaj's historical achievements?

This paper proposes that Challenging Destiny can be examined as a narrative of the transformation of political vision into organised self-rule. Its central argument will be developed by analysing how the biography presents Shivaji Maharaj's decisions, strategies, responses to adversity, and efforts to establish political authority. The study will use qualitative textual analysis, supported by relevant historical scholarship, to distinguish the historical processes represented in the book from the author's narrative interpretation of those processes.

By focusing on the relationship between vision and achievement, this research seeks to contribute to the literary study of historical biography. It aims to demonstrate how the representation of Shivaji Maharaj's pursuit of Swaraj can illuminate the relationship between individual agency, strategic action, and political transformation. Ultimately, the study will explore how Challenging Destiny presents the establishment of Swaraj not simply as an ambition, but as a process requiring sustained effort, organisation, and responses to changing historical circumstances.

II. NEED AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

This study is significant because it examines the making of Swaraj as a political and organisational process rather than presenting it only as a story of individual bravery. Reading Challenging Destiny critically makes it possible to consider how a biography communicates historical leadership to a broad, including younger, readership. It also distinguishes the historical events described in the book from the narrative choices through which the biographer reconstructs them.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study adopts a qualitative textual analysis approach. Medha Deshmukh-Bhaskaran's Challenging Destiny is treated as the primary text. Selected episodes and discussions are analysed thematically, with attention to the representation of Swaraj, the strategic importance of forts, adaptability, military organisation, sovereign authority, collective participation, and the shaping of historical narrative. Page references follow the edition cited in the original research draft. The study also refers to historical scholarship where it is identified in the draft; however, full bibliographic details for some secondary sources were not supplied and should be verified before submission. No new empirical data are collected.

IV. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Swaraj as a Political Vision

The establishment of Swaraj is the central achievement through which Shivaji Maharaj's life can be understood in Medha Deshmukh-Bhaskaran's Challenging Destiny. Rather than presenting his achievement only as a series of military victories, the biography connects his early ambitions with the political and administrative changes that gradually made self-rule possible. The important question is how an ambition became a political project.

In the chapter "Freedom Above All," Bhaskaran describes Shivaji's experience in the Pune region and his growing concern for the people living there. She explains that the region had suffered from famine and warfare, while its revival under Dadaji's supervision helped restore agricultural activity. The narrative links this local experience to Shivaji's wish to protect the people and preserve their newly gained security (Bhaskaran 64–65). This episode presents Swaraj as more than the desire to replace one ruler with another. In the biography's interpretation, it begins with the protection of a community and the possibility of exercising political authority independently.

Bhaskaran gives this vision a memorable symbolic form through the episode of Shivaji's oath. The narrator presents him as promising to establish Swaraj and identifying the capture of neglected hill forts as an initial step towards that goal (65). The significance of this passage lies in the connection between an ideal and a practical objective. Swaraj is not left as an abstract expression of freedom: the narrative links it to territory, defence, and the ability to resist external control.

However, the oath should be read as the biography's reconstruction of Shivaji's early intentions, not treated automatically as a verbatim contemporary record. Bhaskaran herself acknowledges the difficulty of reconstructing the past and uses imaginative qualification when describing events for which direct evidence is unavailable (64–65). This distinction strengthens the analysis because it recognises both the historical subject and the choices through which a biographer represents him.

4.2 Political Constraints and the Strategic Importance of Forts

Shivaji's challenge was not simply that he faced powerful opponents. He also had to operate within a political order in which territories were divided among larger states and local authorities, including the Mughal Empire and the Deccan Sultanates. Bhaskaran explains that the Pune region and its surrounding forts belonged to a wider political landscape shaped by earlier territorial divisions (67–68). Shivaji's ambition therefore required him to challenge established claims to authority while building the means to defend the territory he sought to control.

The biography's account of the hill forts demonstrates how geographical knowledge could be turned into a political advantage. Bhaskaran describes forts around Pune as neglected strongholds whose military value had not been fully recognised by their official custodians (67–68). Her explanation is strategic: forts situated on difficult terrain could obstruct advancing armies and force an enemy to divide its forces among several locations. In this account, control of forts offered more than a defensive position. It could help a smaller power compensate for its lack of the manpower and resources available to larger states.

This argument makes the early expansion of Shivaji's authority intelligible as a calculated response to an unequal political situation. Instead of measuring strength only through the size of an army, the narrative emphasises the effective use of terrain and defensive positions. The relevant quality is therefore not courage alone but strategic judgement: Shivaji's developing power depended on recognising how geography could alter the balance between a smaller emerging state and established military powers.

The historical importance of this strategy should not be overstated as proof that every decision succeeded or that the larger powers were incapable of responding. Rather, the evidence supports a narrower conclusion: the fort network was one of the practical instruments through which Shivaji could defend territory, preserve mobility, and make the expansion of Swaraj more sustainable.

4.3 Strategy and Adaptability in an Unequal Conflict

The biography repeatedly situates Shivaji's decisions within a changing political environment. The discussion of the Mughal campaign in the Deccan, for instance, shows how the conflict between the Mughal Empire and the Adilshahi Sultanate created both dangers and opportunities for Shivaji. Bhaskaran describes the fall of Bidar and the wider pressure on the Deccan powers before considering how these developments affected Shivaji's position (99–100). The point is not that political turmoil automatically benefited him, but that he had to assess how events involving stronger rivals could affect his own plans.

This context makes adaptability a more precise explanation of his success than a general description of bravery. A leader facing several powerful opponents could not depend on strength in direct confrontation alone. He had to observe rivalries, identify openings, gather information, and choose the timing of his actions. Bhaskaran's account presents Shivaji's political and military decisions as responses to such changing conditions (99–104).

The narrative also challenges the idea that guerrilla tactics alone explain Shivaji's achievements. In the prefatory discussion, Bhaskaran notes that ambushes, rapid attacks, and disruption of enemy communications were not unique to Shivaji. She places greater emphasis on his development of a distinct military organisation and intelligence network (Deshmukh-Bhaskaran 8–9). This is an important distinction. Individual tactics may win engagements, but an organised system is more likely to sustain a political project over time.

Consequently, Shivaji's adaptability should be understood as the ability to combine military action with political judgement and organisational development. This interpretation avoids reducing his achievement to a single battle or a single personal quality. It also provides a clear connection between his early resistance and the later consolidation of Swaraj.

4.4 Military Organisation: Turning Resistance into Capacity

A central question in the making of Swaraj is how military resistance became an organised force capable of defending territory. Bhaskaran addresses this issue through her discussion of the Maratha army's hierarchy, cavalry, infantry, and command structure. She explains that a chain of command clarified the relationship between officers and soldiers, while the cavalry's arrangements helped maintain mobility (228–30).

These details matter because they shift the discussion from the personality of the leader to the institutions that made leadership effective. A force that depends entirely on personal loyalty may weaken when a commander is absent or defeated. A structured military organisation, by contrast, can distribute responsibilities and maintain coordination. Bhaskaran's comparison between Shivaji's organisation and the systems used by rival powers is intended to show that the Maratha military was not simply an improvised force but a system with its own procedures and hierarchy (228–30).

The significance of this organisation extends beyond the battlefield. A standing force requires provisions, payment, discipline, intelligence, and an authority capable of coordinating these activities. Military effectiveness is therefore connected to administration and the resources of the state. Shivaji's achievement becomes more comprehensible when the army is examined as an institution rather than merely as an instrument of personal courage.

This interpretation is consistent with historical studies of Shivaji's military and administrative system. The University of Mumbai's postgraduate history material treats military organisation, revenue administration, and judicial administration as distinct but related aspects of his rule. These sources provide historical context; Bhaskaran's biography remains the primary text for analysing how the subject is presented in the selected work.

4.5 From Military Power to Political Authority

Military success alone does not establish a durable state. Political authority also requires recognition, administration, and the ability to exercise power beyond the immediate circumstances of a campaign. Shivaji's coronation in 1674 is a significant milestone in this process. Historical scholarship explains that coronation helped assert his independent sovereign status rather than leaving his position open to interpretation as that of a subordinate or local power (Sarkar; "Shivaji and the Marathas").

The coronation is therefore important to the argument because it marks a change in the public expression of authority. The establishment of Swaraj involved not only acquiring and defending territory but also declaring a political status that rival powers could not easily define on their own terms. The ceremony gave formal expression to the authority that Shivaji had built through earlier political and military actions.

Bhaskaran's broader account also connects political power with military and administrative arrangements. Her discussion of the army, forts, and command structure suggests that the emerging state depended on the coordination of multiple systems rather than on conquest alone (228–30). These systems helped turn territorial gains into a more organised form of rule.

This section should not imply that coronation instantly solved every political problem or created a fully modern state. Its importance is more specific: it publicly formalised Shivaji's claim to independent kingship and represented a major stage in the development of Swaraj. The distinction between military success and recognised political authority is essential to understanding why the achievement was larger than a sequence of victories.

4.6 Swaraj as a Collective Achievement

Although Bhaskaran's biography focuses on Shivaji, the evidence it presents also points to the role of other people in sustaining his project. The oath episode on page 65, for example, depicts his companions responding to his declaration with solidarity. The army chapters later describe a structured system in which officers and soldiers had defined roles (228–30). Read together, these episodes suggest that Swaraj depended on the ability to gather people around a common political purpose and coordinate their efforts.

Bhaskaran's concluding discussion makes this collective dimension more explicit. Drawing on Mahadev Govind Ranade, she presents Shivaji's achievement as the uniting of political and social forces that had previously been dispersed (Deshmukh-

Bhaskaran 300). This perspective complicates the image of a solitary hero. Shivaji's leadership remains central, but the endurance of his political project required organised participation and the continued work of others.

The distinction is important for a literary analysis of biography. A narrative may concentrate on an exceptional individual, yet the events it recounts can reveal the wider networks on which that individual depends. In Challenging Destiny, the oath, the military hierarchy, and the later discussion of collective resistance offer different examples of this relationship between individual leadership and shared action.

The claim should nevertheless be kept within the limits of the evidence. The selected episodes do not mean that every participant shared identical motives or that all communities experienced Shivaji's rule in the same way. They support the more defensible conclusion that the formation and survival of Swaraj depended on cooperation, organisation, and the mobilisation of people beyond the ruler himself.

4.7 Challenging Destiny: The Biography's Interpretation of History

The title Challenging Destiny encourages readers to interpret Shivaji's life as a movement from constraint towards self-determined political action. Yet the biography's account is most persuasive when this theme is connected to concrete historical processes rather than treated as a simple story of triumph over adversity.

The early discussion of the oath connects a political ideal with a practical territorial objective (Bhaskaran 65). The account of neglected forts demonstrates the strategic use of geography (67–68). The sections on military organisation explain how a force could become more coordinated and durable (228–30). Finally, the discussion of Shivaji's legacy presents his achievement in terms of political organisation and collective purpose (300–301). Each part contributes a different element to the argument: vision, strategic resources, organisation, and continuity.

The biography should also be approached critically as a work of historical interpretation. It was published for a broad readership, and its narrative seeks to make complex political and military developments accessible. The author's reconstruction of the early oath, for example, includes imaginative language about what Shivaji may have thought and felt (Bhaskaran 64–65). Such passages help create a coherent narrative, but they should not be confused with independently verified access to the historical figure's private thoughts.

This distinction does not diminish the value of the biography. Instead, it clarifies the purpose of the present study: to examine both the historical achievement represented in the book and the narrative methods through which the author explains that achievement. Shivaji's establishment of Swaraj emerges not as the result of destiny alone, but as a process involving political judgement, strategic use of resources, military organisation, and collective action.

V. CONCLUSION

Medha Deshmukh-Bhaskaran's Challenging Destiny presents Shivaji Maharaj's establishment of Swaraj as a process rather than a single act of heroism. The biography links his early political vision to the strategic value of forts, his ability to respond to shifting political circumstances, the organisation of the Maratha army, and the public assertion of sovereign authority. These elements should be understood as distinct but interconnected parts of the achievement.

The analysis also shows why courage alone is an insufficient explanation. Shivaji's vision needed practical means, and military victories needed institutions that could preserve and extend political power. The biography's account of command structures and collective participation further suggests that the project depended on more than the will of one individual. Leadership mattered because it helped coordinate resources and people towards a political objective.

Ultimately, the significance of Challenging Destiny lies in its representation of Swaraj as the conversion of political aspiration into organised self-rule. A critical reading must recognise that the book is a biographical interpretation, and that its claims about historical events and imagined motives require different kinds of evidence. With this distinction maintained, the text provides a useful basis for examining how Shivaji Maharaj challenged the political constraints of his time and built the foundations of an independent Maratha kingdom.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author may add any required acknowledgement or funding statement here. Remove this note if no acknowledgement is necessary.

REFERENCES

Deshmukh-Bhaskaran, Medha. Challenging Destiny. [Complete publisher, place of publication, and year from the edition consulted are required.]

Ranade, Mahadev Govind. [Complete title and publication details for the work cited in the discussion are required.]

Sarkar, Jadunath. [Complete title, edition, publisher, and year for the historical work cited are required.]

"Shivaji and the Marathas." [Author, publication or website title, date, and URL or publisher details are required.]

University of Mumbai. [Complete title, course/module details, publication year, and URL or publisher details for the postgraduate history material are required.]

Copyright & License:

© Authors retain the copyright of this article. This work is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.