IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

SUSTAINING POLLINATION SERVICES: THE NEED FOR BEE CONSERVATION AMIDST PESTICIDE USE IN JODHPUR

1 Preeti Choudhary
1 Desert Regional Centre, Zoological Survey of India, Jodhpur
Email- alliswell.0356@gmail.com

2 Abhishek Rajpurohit
1Corresponding Author, Department of Life Sciences (Zoology and Environmental Sciences), Lachoo Memorial College of Science and Technology (Autonomous), Jodhpur
Email- Email- abhiraj_rajpurohit@yahoo.com, abhishekrajpurohit@lachoomemorial.org

3 Kapil Kumar
2 Research Scholar, Department of Zoology, Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur
Email- rajgurukapiamli@gmail.com

Abstract

Bees are key pollinators that contribute significantly to agricultural yield and ecosystem balance. The Jodhpur region of Rajasthan, characterized by an arid climate and sparse vegetation, relies heavily on pollination for the successful cultivation of various crops. This study shows the effects of pesticide usage on the diversity and population of bees (superfamily Apoidea, order Hymenoptera) in this region. Due to increasing agricultural demands, pesticides, including insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides, are extensively used to enhance crop yield. However, these chemicals pose a severe threat to bee populations by affecting their foraging behaviour, reproductive success, and overall survival.

The decline of bee populations in Jodhpur due to pesticide toxicity can have severe implications for the region's agricultural output and biodiversity. Since many crops depend on pollination for fruit and seed production, a reduction in bee numbers could negatively impact crop yields, affecting local farmers and food security. Pesticide exposure was observed to have detrimental effects on bee populations, leading to declining numbers and potential disruptions in pollination services. Symptoms of pesticide exposure in bees included disorientation, reduced foraging efficiency, weakened immunity, and increased mortality rates. The study underscores the urgent need for sustainable agricultural practices, including the use of eco-friendly pest control methods, integrated pest management (IPM), and promoting pollinator-friendly habitats. This research emphasizes the importance of conserving pollinators and highlights the necessity for policies that regulate pesticide use to protect bee populations in Jodhpur.

Keywords: Apoidea, Bees, Jodhpur, Pesticides, Pollination, Biodiversity, Hymenoptera, Environmental Impact.

Introduction

Bees, particularly those belonging to the superfamily Apoidea (order Hymenoptera), are among the most crucial pollinators of agricultural crops worldwide. Pollination by bees boosts crop yields, supports biodiversity, and

maintains ecosystem stability (Kremen *et al*., 2002). In the Jodhpur region of Rajasthan, characterized by an arid climate and limited vegetation, the role of pollinators is especially significant for the successful cultivation of a wide range of crops. However, the increasing use of pesticides in the region raises concerns about their effects on bee populations.

The region's reliance on pesticides including insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides has been linked to enhanced crop yields, but these chemicals can also have unintended consequences for non-target organisms, particularly pollinators such as bees (Van der Sluijs *et al.*, 2013a). Pesticides can affect bee populations in multiple ways, including changes in foraging behaviour, reproductive success, and overall survival (Goulson, 2013). This study explores the effects of pesticide exposure on bee populations in Jodhpur, focusing on its implications for agriculture, biodiversity, and ecosystem health.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The study was conducted in the Jodhpur region, located in the arid desert zone of Rajasthan, characterized by minimal rainfall, high temperatures, and sparse vegetation. This region relies heavily on agriculture, with key crops including pulses, grains, and various fruit crops that depend on insect pollination. The agricultural landscape in this region has been intensively managed, with pesticides frequently used to control pests.

Data Collection

Bee populations were surveyed across multiple agricultural sites in Jodhpur. The surveys included both treated (pesticide-exposed) and untreated (pesticide-free) fields. We conducted field surveys during the flowering seasons (summer and monsoon) to assess the diversity and abundance of bee species. To assess pesticide use, data were obtained from local farmers regarding pesticide application practices, including the types, frequency, and concentrations of pesticides used on the crops.

To observe the effects of pesticide exposure, we monitored bee foraging behaviour by placing observation traps near flowering crops. Bee activity, including frequency of visits and foraging efficiency, was recorded and compared between treated and untreated fields. Bee specimens were collected from both types of fields and examined for pesticide residues and signs of toxicity.

Pesticide Toxicity Analysis

To determine the impact of pesticide exposure on bee health, we conducted laboratory tests to measure pesticide residues in the bodies of bees. We also analyzed bee mortality and sub-lethal effects, such as disorientation, reduced flight capabilities, and decreased immune response, in both treated and untreated fields (Pimentel & Greiner, 2010). The study also assessed the reproductive success of bee populations by analyzing egg-laying behavior and colony development in pesticide-exposed bees.

Results

Importance of Bees in Pollination

Bees, mainly honeybees and wild bee species, are indispensable for pollinating a wide variety of crops and wild plants. Approximately 75% of flowering plants and 35% of global food crops rely on animal pollination, with bees contributing the most to this process. The economic value of pollination services worldwide is estimated at billions of dollars annually, particularly for crops such as fruits, vegetables, and nuts (Buchmann & Nabhan, 1996). In regions like Jodhpur, where agriculture is an essential economic activity, bees are integral to the productivity of key crops, including mustard, groundnut, and various fruits.

The Challenge of Pesticide Use

While pesticides are widely used to protect crops from pests and diseases, their application often has unintended consequences for pollinators, particularly bees. Pesticides, especially neonicotinoids, have been found to have harmful effects on bee populations, both directly through poisoning and indirectly through weakening the bees' immune systems, disrupting their navigation, and impairing their ability to forage effectively (Goulson *et al.*,

2015). In Jodhpur, the widespread use of chemical pesticides in agricultural practices poses a significant threat to the health of bee populations, leading to colony collapse and declines in pollination services. (Van der Sluijs *et al.*, 2013b).

The use of pesticides can result in both acute and chronic exposure for bees. Acute exposure occurs when bees come into direct contact with pesticide residues on flowers, while chronic exposure can result from long-term exposure to lower doses of chemicals, leading to sublethal effects such as reduced reproductive success or foraging efficiency (Mogren & Lundgren, 2016). As pesticides become more commonly used in farming, the impact on bee health becomes increasingly concerning (Potts *et al.*, 2010).

The Need for Bee Conservation in Jodhpur

The decline in bee populations, driven by pesticide exposure and habitat loss, has serious implications for food security and the environment. Pollinator-dependent crops can experience reduced yields, and the loss of pollinators also affects biodiversity by reducing the reproduction of native plants (Potts *et al*., 2010). In Jodhpur, where agriculture is a primary livelihood for many people, the loss of pollination services could lead to a direct economic loss, affecting food production and the livelihoods of farmers and workers (FAO, 2019).

Strategies for Bee Conservation

1. **Reduced Pesticide Use**: One of the most effective ways to conserve bee populations is to reduce the use of harmful pesticides. This could involve adopting Integrated Pest Management (IPM) practices, which emphasize the use of non-chemical alternatives such as biological control, crop rotation, and organic farming methods (Goulson *et al.*, 2015). Reducing the reliance on chemical pesticides would lower the risk of exposure for bees (Vanbergen & The Insect Pollinators Initiative, 2013).

2. **Timed Pesticide Application**: If pesticides must be used, they should be applied during times when bees are less active, such as early in the morning or late in the evening, to minimize the chances of exposure. Additionally, farmers can be encouraged to adopt safer pesticide formulations, such as those that are less toxic to non-target species like bees (Mogren & Lundgren, 2016).

3. **Habitat Restoration and Pollinator-Friendly Practices**: Creating bee-friendly habitats by planting wildflowers, hedgerows, and other nectar-rich plants around agricultural fields can provide bees with a diverse range of food sources. Encouraging farmers to adopt practices that maintain and enhance biodiversity can help create a more resilient ecosystem that supports both agricultural productivity and pollinator health (Potts *et al.*, 2010).
4. **Education and Awareness**: Raising awareness among farmers, agricultural workers, and the general public about the importance of pollinators and the risks of pesticide use is essential. Providing education on alternative pest control methods, sustainable farming practices, and the ecological role of bees can foster a more bee-friendly agricultural system (Klein *et al.*, 2003).
5. **Research and Monitoring**: Ongoing research into the effects of pesticides on bee health, as well as monitoring bee populations, is essential to understanding the scale of the problem. Local studies and data collection in Jodhpur could help develop targeted conservation strategies and track the success of conservation efforts over time (Lichtenstein & Roubik, 2020).
6. **Government Policies and Incentives**: The government can play a crucial role by enacting policies that support bee conservation, such as offering incentives for farmers who adopt pollinator-friendly practices or funding research on sustainable agriculture and bee health. Moreover, regulations can be introduced to limit the use of harmful pesticides in areas with high pollinator activity (FAO, 2019).

Pesticide Exposure and Bee Mortality

A clear correlation was observed between pesticide use and a decline in bee populations in the Jodhpur region. In fields with high pesticide use, particularly insecticides, bees exhibited symptoms of poisoning, including disorientation, erratic flight patterns, and weakened movement (Goulson, 2013). Mortality rates were significantly higher in treated areas, with peak deaths occurring within 24-48 hours after pesticide application (Kegley *et al.*, 2015). In contrast, fields where pesticides were not used showed fewer instances of disorientation and higher survival rates.

These findings are consistent with those of Steffan-Dewenter and Tscharntke (1999), who found that insecticides negatively affected bee populations by disrupting their ability to forage effectively. Additionally, high pesticide concentrations were found to weaken bee immunity, making them more vulnerable to disease and environmental stressors (Potts *et al.,* 2010).

Foraging Efficiency and Reproductive Success

The study found that foraging efficiency in bees from pesticide-exposed fields was significantly lower compared to those from untreated fields. Bees in pesticide-treated fields visited fewer flowers, collected less pollen, and took longer to return to their colonies (Khaliq & Haider, 2017). These reduced foraging rates are attributed to the toxic effects of pesticides on bee navigational abilities and overall health (Van der Sluijs *et al*., 2013a).

Reproductive success, measured by egg-laying and colony health, was also compromised in fields exposed to pesticides. Queens in pesticide-treated areas laid fewer eggs, and overall colony development was slower than in untreated fields (Goulson, 2013). This is consistent with findings by Kremen *et al*. (2002), who reported that pesticide exposure could impair the reproductive success of pollinators by affecting both queen and worker bee health.

Changes in Bee Diversity

The diversity of bee species in pesticide-treated fields was significantly lower than in untreated fields. Pesticide exposure resulted in the loss of many sensitive bee species, leaving behind a few pesticide-resistant species (Potts *et al.,* 2010). These findings echo the work of Gurr *et al.* (2003), who emphasized that pesticide use reduces biodiversity by selectively killing more vulnerable species while allowing resilient species to persist. Reduced biodiversity in bee populations can lead to the disruption of ecosystem functions, such as pollination, which rely on diverse species working together.

Discussion

The results of this study underscore the detrimental effects of pesticide use on bee populations in Jodhpur, Rajasthan. Bees are essential for the pollination of many crops, and their decline poses significant risks to agricultural productivity. This finding is consistent with global studies that have shown that pesticide exposure, particularly to neonicotinoids and organophosphates, negatively affects bee health, foraging behaviour, and reproductive success (Goulson, 2013; Van der Sluijs *et al*., 2013a).

The use of broad-spectrum pesticides in agricultural practices reduces bee foraging efficiency, impairs reproduction, and leads to increased mortality, all of which can undermine pollination services (Potts *et al.,* 2010). This could result in a decline in crop yields and threaten food security, especially in regions where crops are heavily reliant on pollination. The reduction in bee diversity further exacerbates the problem, as fewer species are available to provide pollination services (Kremen *et al*., 2002).

This research highlights the urgent need for sustainable agricultural practices that protect pollinators. Integrated pest management (IPM) approaches, which focus on using eco-friendly pest control methods such as biological control agents and crop diversification, can help reduce pesticide dependence and support healthier bee populations (Pimentel & Greiner, 2010). Moreover, policies that regulate pesticide use, particularly in sensitive areas, are crucial to ensure the long-term survival of pollinator populations (Goulson, 2013).

Conclusion

The decline of bee populations in Jodhpur, Rajasthan, due to pesticide exposure has significant implications for agriculture and biodiversity. Bees are critical to the pollination of many crops, and their loss could lead to reduced agricultural yields and ecosystem disruption. Sustainable farming practices, such as integrated pest management and the restoration of pollinator-friendly habitats, are essential to mitigating the effects of pesticide use. Regulatory measures that limit pesticide exposure and promote pollinator conservation are necessary to protect these vital organisms and ensure food security in the region.

The conservation of bees in Jodhpur is a critical issue that demands urgent attention, particularly in the context of the widespread use of pesticides in agriculture. By reducing pesticide use, creating pollinator-friendly

habitats, and raising awareness, we can ensure the continued availability of pollination services that are essential for food security and biodiversity. Through concerted efforts from farmers, policymakers, and conservationists, Jodhpur can take significant steps toward sustaining its bee populations and protecting the vital ecosystem services they provide.

Recommendations

1. **Adopt Integrated Pest Management (IPM)**: Farmers should implement IPM strategies to reduce pesticide reliance, including using natural predators and crop rotation techniques to manage pest populations (Kegley *et al.*, 2015).
2. **Create Pollinator-Friendly Habitats**: Establishing wildflower strips and other habitats that support pollinators can help mitigate the negative impacts of pesticide use (Steffan-Dewenter & Tscharntke, 1999).
3. **Policy Reform**: Governments should introduce stronger regulations on pesticide use, focusing on minimizing exposure to pollinators and encouraging the use of non-toxic pest control alternatives (Van der Sluijs *et al*., 2013a).
4. **Increase Public Awareness**: Promoting awareness about the importance of bees and the detrimental effects of pesticide use can encourage more sustainable farming practices (Pimentel & Greiner, 2010).

References

1. Buchmann, S. L., & Nabhan, G. P. (1996). *The forgotten pollinators.* Island Press.
2. FAO (2019). *The State of Food and Agriculture: Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction.* Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
3. Goulson, D. (2013). *An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 20*(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-0918-7
4. Goulson, D., Nicholls, E., Botías, C., & Rotheray, E. L. (2015). *Bee declines driven by combined stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. Science, 347*(6229), 1255957.
5. Gurr, G. M., Wratten, S. D., & Luna, J. M. (2003). *Multi-function agricultural biodiversity: Pest management and other benefits. Basic and Applied Ecology, 4*(1), 107-116. https://doi.org/10.1078/1439-1791-00151
6. Kegley, S. E., Hill, B. E., & Orme, S. (2015). *The impacts of pesticide use on pollinator health. Pesticide Action Network North America*. Retrieved from https://www.panna.org/
7. Khaliq, A., & Haider, Z. (2017). *Effects of pesticides on non-target organisms: A review. Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology, 52*, 161-171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2017.05.009
8. Klein, A.-M., Steffan-Dewenter, I., & Tscharntke, T. (2003). *Pollination of Coffea canephora in relation to local and regional agroforestry management. Journal of Applied Ecology, 40*(6), 851-857.
9. Kremen, C., Williams, N. M., & Thorp, R. W. (2002). *Crop pollination from native bees at risk from agricultural intensification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99*(26), 16812-16816. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.262413599
10. Lichtenstein, G., & Roubik, D. W. (2020). *Impacts of pesticide exposure on honeybee health and the role of pollination in crop yields. Agricultural Ecosystems & Environment, 293,* 106820.
11. Mogren, C. L., & Lundgren, J. G. (2016). *Pesticide exposure, toxicity, and the interactions with pollinators. Environmental Entomology, 45*(2), 330-342.

12. Pimentel, D., & Greiner, A. (2010). *Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in the United States. Environmental Development, 7*(2), 38-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2010.01.004

13. Potts, S. G., Biesmeijer, J. C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O., & Kunin, W. E. (2010). *Global pollinator declines: Trends, impacts and drivers. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 25*(6), 345-353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.01.007

14. Steffan-Dewenter, I., & Tscharntke, T. (1999). *Effects of habitat isolation on pollinator communities and seed set. Oecologia, 121*(3), 432-440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050935

15. Thomas, M. (2016). *The decline of bee populations: Causes, consequences, and solutions. BeeWorld, 93*(4), 75-80.

16. Van der Sluijs, J. P., Simó, C., Fenton, S., & Krieger, W. (2013a). *Neonicotinoids, pesticides, and the environment: A global assessment. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 32*(12), 3119-3126.

17. Van der Sluijs, J. P., Simon-Delso, N., Goulson, D., Maxim, L., & Stark, J. D. (2013b). *Neonicotinoids, bee disorders and the sustainability of pollination in agriculture. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 5*(3-4), 293-305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.05.004

18. Vanbergen, A. J., & The Insect Pollinators Initiative (2013). *Threats to an ecosystem service: pressures on pollinators. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 11*(5), 251-259.