The Impact of Digital Decision-Support Interventions on Savings Outcomes: A Behavioral Economics Study

Dr. Rajesh. K
Asst. Professor (Department of Mathematics and Statistics)
K. J Somaiya College of Arts and Commerce (Autonomous), Vidyavihar, Mumbai-77

Abstract
This study examines the impact of digital behavioral interventions on savings outcomes among mobile banking users in Mumbai, using a behavioral economics framework to explain how subtle, non-coercive design strategies influence financial decision-making. Grounded in Thaler and Sunstein's (2008) concept of nudging and Kahneman and Tversky's (1979) Prospect Theory, the research evaluates the effectiveness of digital interventions such as default settings and personalized prompts embedded in mobile banking applications.
A quantitative, quasi-experimental design was employed, involving 500 participants divided into a nudged group and a control group. Data were collected through surveys and transaction records, with savings rate and user satisfaction serving as key outcome measures. Independent Z-tests, Chi-square tests, and Pearson correlation analysis were used for data analysis.
The results indicate that the nudged group achieved a significantly higher average savings rate of 29.38% compared to 26.5% within the control group ($p < 0.05$). Only a marginal positive association ($r = 0.026$) was observed between nudging frequency and savings increase, though this relationship was not statistically significant. The findings highlight the potential of digital behavioral interventions as scalable tools for improving savings outcomes and enhancing consumer satisfaction in mobile banking contexts.

Keywords: Digital nudging, Savings behavior, Behavioral economics, Mobile banking, Consumer satisfaction.

1. Introduction

1.1 *Background of the Study*
In recent times, behavioral economics has emerged as an important field that combines ideas from psychology and economics to better explain how individuals make decisions that often differ from traditional economic assumptions of complete rationality. Rather than assuming that people always act logically, this approach recognizes that emotions, habits, and cognitive limitations play a crucial role in shaping everyday choices. A central idea within behavioral economics is *nudge theory*, introduced by Richard Thaler and Cass Sunstein in their influential 2008 work *Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Nudge theory explains how small, carefully designed changes in the decision environment can guide individuals toward better choices without limiting their freedom or imposing force. Such interventions may include default selections, timely reminders, or framing techniques that subtly encourage desirable behavior.
One of the most important areas where digital behavioral interventions have been applied is personal finance, particularly in promoting savings behavior. Saving money is essential for long-term financial stability and individual well-being. However, many people find it difficult to save regularly due to behavioral biases such as preference for immediate consumption, overconfidence about future income, and underestimation of future financial needs. With the rapid growth of digital technology, especially mobile banking applications, new opportunities have emerged to influence financial behavior. Features such as automated savings options, personalized notifications, and goal-setting tools act as digital nudges that can help individuals develop healthier saving habits.

1.2. *Statement of the Problem*

Although digital behavioral interventions are increasingly used within financial services, there is limited empirical evidence examining their effectiveness in the context of mobile banking. This study addresses this gap by examining how digital nudging influences savings outcomes among mobile banking users. By focusing on subtle, non-coercive interventions, the research aims to contribute to a better understanding of financial decision-making within a behavioral economics framework, as reflected in the study titled *The Impact of Digital Behavioral Interventions on Savings Outcomes: A Behavioral Economics Study*.

1.3 Objectives

1. To assess how digital nudging techniques contribute to enhancing saving behaviour among users of mobile banking services.
2. To analyse the connection between the frequency of digital nudges and variations in saving behaviour.
3. To evaluate the level of user satisfaction with digital nudging strategies in shaping their financial decision-making.

1.4 Hypothesis

1. H_{11}: Mobile banking users who receive digital nudging interventions will demonstrate a significantly higher level of savings compared to users who are not exposed to such interventions.
2. H_{12}: A statistically significant relationship exists between exposure to digital nudging and users' satisfaction with their savings-related financial decisions.
3. H_{13}: An increase in the frequency of digital nudges is positively associated with an increase in savings behavior among mobile banking users.

1.5 Research Questions

Based on the objectives and hypotheses of the study, the following research questions are addressed:

1. What effect do digital nudging interventions have on savings behavior among mobile banking users?
2. Is there a relationship between the frequency of digital nudges and changes in savings behavior?
3. How do mobile banking users perceive digital nudging in terms of satisfaction and its influence on their savings decisions?

1.6 Scope and Limitations of the study

This study examines the impact of digital behavioral interventions on savings behavior within mobile banking platforms. By focusing on how digital nudges influence financial decision-making, the research contributes to behavioral economics theory and its application in digital financial environments. The findings offer practical insights for mobile banking institutions in designing effective digital tools to improve savings outcomes and for policymakers seeking to promote financial inclusion and sustainable saving practices.

However, the study has certain limitations. It is confined to mobile banking users in Mumbai, which may reduce the external validity of the findings beyond the study area with different economic and social conditions. In addition, user satisfaction is assessed through survey responses, which may involve subjective bias, although objective transaction data are also used. Despite these limitations, the study adds valuable empirical evidence on digital nudging in developing economic contexts.

1.7 Significance of the Study

This study is significant as it examines the impact of digital behavioral interventions on savings outcomes

within mobile banking environments. By applying principles of behavioral economics, the research provides empirical evidence on how digital nudges can shape financial decision-making and improve saving behavior. The findings offer practical guidance for financial institutions in designing effective digital features that promote consistent saving habits. The study also supports policymakers by highlighting the role of low-cost, scalable behavioral interventions in advancing financial inclusion and strengthening savings practices, particularly in developing economies such as India. Overall, the research contributes to developing context-specific strategies that enhance financial well-being and promote long-term economic sustainability.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Background

Behavioral economics examines how psychological influences shape financial decision-making, often causing individuals to act in ways that differ from traditional economic assumptions. Two key theories in this field are Nudge Theory (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008) and Prospect Theory (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). Nudge Theory explains how minor adjustments in the decision environment can guide individuals toward better choices without limiting freedom, while Prospect Theory highlights how perceptions of risk and delayed rewards affect savings decisions. Together, these frameworks emphasize the role of cognitive biases and support the use of subtle digital interventions to improve savings behavior.

This review focuses on theoretical and empirical studies addressing digital nudging and financial decision-making, particularly savings behavior. Although digital nudging has been applied in areas such as health (Hummel et al., 2018), environmental behavior (Schmidt et al., 2020), and consumer decision-making (Van Gestel et al., 2021), its application in mobile banking remains underexplored, especially in developing economies. Earlier research, such as Karlan et al. (2016), primarily examined basic nudges like SMS reminders, leaving advanced interventions such as default savings options and personalized goals largely unexamined.

2.2 Recent Developments and Trends

Recent research highlights a growing shift toward personalized and adaptive nudging strategies that enhance savings outcomes. Studies show that tailored reminders, goal-setting tools, and digital prompts within mobile banking applications lead to improved savings consistency and higher savings rates (Zhao et al., 2021; Chatterjee & Sarker, 2019; Liu et al., 2021; Guryan et al., 2018). At the same time, ethical concerns regarding transparency and potential manipulation have been raised, emphasizing the importance of responsible and consumer-focused nudging practices (Reisch et al., 2020).

3. Research Gaps

Despite increasing evidence on the effectiveness of digital nudging in improving savings behavior, empirical studies within mobile banking contexts in developing economies remain limited. Much of the existing literature concentrates on developed countries or generalized nudging approaches, with insufficient attention to culturally tailored interventions. This study addresses this gap by examining digital nudging in India, where financial behavior is shaped by distinct cultural, economic, and demographic factors. By providing empirical evidence from this context, the research seeks to inform the design of effective digital behavioral interventions that enhance savings outcomes in emerging economies.

4. Research Methodology

This study investigates the impact of digital behavioral interventions on savings behavior by applying principles from behavioral economics. A quantitative research approach is adopted, using statistical methods to analyze how digital nudging influences the saving decisions of mobile banking users. The methodology follows a structured process that includes research design formulation, data collection, statistical analysis, and

hypothesis testing.

4.1 Research Design

A quasi-experimental research design is employed, in which participants are categorized into two distinct groups: an experimental (nudged) group and a control group. The experimental group is exposed to customized digital nudges delivered through mobile banking applications, such as notifications, reminders, and goal-setting prompts intended to encourage saving behavior. The control group does not receive any nudging interventions and serves as a reference group for comparison. The study is conducted over a six-month period, allowing for the observation of changes in savings behavior over time.

4.2 Variables of the Study

The key variables examined in this study include savings rate, defined as the proportion of income saved over a given period; satisfaction with financial decisions, measured through self-reported responses; and the frequency of digital nudges received by participants in the experimental group. Although demographic factors such as age, gender, income, education, and prior saving habits are collected, they are not included in the final data analysis.

4.3 Data Collection Methods

The study employed both primary and secondary data sources; primary data were gathered using structured surveys administered before and after the intervention period. The pre-intervention survey captures baseline information related to saving behavior, financial goals, and demographic details, while the post-intervention survey measures changes in saving behavior and user perceptions of digital nudging. Secondary data consists of transaction records from mobile banking platforms, which provide objective indicators of saving behavior, including deposit amounts and frequency. In addition, mobile application data are used to track the type, frequency, and user engagement with digital nudges.

4.4 Statistical tools and Techniques

The analysis is carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics and Microsoft Excel. Both descriptive and inferential approaches were adopted, and descriptive statistics comprised means, percentages, and frequency distributions are used to summarize savings behavior and participant characteristics. Measures such as standard deviation and variance are employed to assess data dispersion.

Inferential analysis involves three main statistical tests. An independent samples Z-test is used to compare average savings rates between the nudged and control groups and to determine whether digital nudging has a significant effect on savings behavior. A chi-square test of independence is applied to examine the association between exposure to digital nudges and user satisfaction with financial decisions. Pearson's correlation analysis is conducted to assess the relationship between the frequency of nudging and changes in savings behavior.

For the first hypothesis, an independent samples Z-test is conducted, given that the sample size exceeds 30, to compare the mean savings rates of the nudged and control groups. Statistical significance is assessed at the 5 percent level, with a p-value below 0.05 indicating a significant difference in savings behavior between the two groups. The second hypothesis is tested using a chi-square test of independence to examine whether exposure to digital nudging is significantly associated with users' satisfaction with their financial decisions. Results with p-values less than 0.05 were interpreted as statistically significant. The third hypothesis is evaluated using Pearson's product moment correlation was applied to assess the association between frequency of digital nudging and changes in savings behavior. A positive and statistically significant correlation indicates that higher nudging frequency is associated with increased savings behavior.

5. Data Analysis and Findings

This study examines the impact of digital nudging on savings behavior among mobile banking users within a behavioral economics framework. The primary objective was to determine whether individuals exposed to digital nudges demonstrated higher savings behavior compared to those who were not nudged. The analysis is based on a sample of 500 mobile banking users, which, while substantial, represents a small proportion of the Mumbai population. The study tested three key hypotheses using appropriate statistical methods.

5.1 *Demographic data analysis*

Regarding demographic characteristics, 60% of the respondents were male, while 40% were female. In terms of educational attainment, 14 percent had education below the 12th standard, 34.4 percent had completed the 12th standard, 39.4 percent were degree holders, and 12.2 percent possessed postgraduate degrees or diplomas beyond graduation. Income distribution showed that 30 percent of participants belonged to the low-income group, 45 percent to the middle-income group, and 25 percent to the high-income group. Although demographic information was collected, the analysis did not examine variations in savings behavior across gender, income, or education categories.

5.2 *Analysis and Results of Hypothesis*

H_{01}: There is no significant difference in savings levels between mobile banking users who are exposed to digital nudging interventions and those who are not exposed to such interventions.

To test H_{01}, an independent samples Z-test was conducted to compare the mean savings rates of mobile banking users who received digital nudges with those who did not. The nudged group recorded an average savings rate of 29.384% with a standard deviation of 4.46, while the control group reported an average savings rate of 26.5% with a standard deviation of 3.87. Each group consisted of 250 participants. The calculated Z-value was 7.72, which exceeds the critical value of 1.96 at the 5 percent significance level. As the p-value was below 0.05, the null hypothesis (H_{01}) was rejected. This indicates a statistically significant difference in savings levels between the two groups. The results demonstrate that exposure to digital nudging interventions leads to higher savings behavior among mobile banking users, supporting the effectiveness of digital behavioral interventions in improving savings outcomes.

H_{02}: There is no statistically significant relationship between exposure to digital nudging and users' satisfaction with their savings-related financial decisions.

The second null hypothesis was examined a chi-square analysis of independence was conducted to ascertain whether user satisfaction with financial decisions differed between the nudged and control groups. User satisfaction was categorized as Satisfied, Neutral, or Dissatisfied. The nudged group showed a higher proportion of satisfied users compared to the control group, while the control group reported a larger share of neutral and dissatisfied responses. Chi-square analysis confirmed a statistically significant association between exposure to digital nudging and user satisfaction at the conventional 5% significance level ($p < 0.05$), and hence the null hypothesis H_{02} was rejected. This finding suggests that digital nudging not only influences savings behavior but also positively affects users' satisfaction with their financial decisions.

H_{03}: There is no significant relationship between the frequency of digital nudges and changes in savings behavior among mobile banking users. To test H_{03}, Pearson's correlation analysis was employed to examine the relationship between the frequency of nudging and changes in savings behavior among users in the nudged group. The correlation coefficient was found to be $r = 0.026$, indicating a weak positive relationship. However, the correlation was not meeting the 5 percent criterion for statistical significance. Therefore, the null hypothesis H_{03} is accepted. This result implies that while digital nudging is effective in improving overall

savings behavior, increasing the frequency of nudges does not necessarily lead to a proportional increase in savings.

6. Discussion

This study examined the impact of digital nudging interventions on savings behavior among mobile banking users, the role of nudging frequency, and users' perceptions of digital nudging within a behavioral economics framework. The findings provide clear evidence on how digital behavioral interventions influence savings and user satisfaction.

The results demonstrate that digital nudging has a significant positive effect on savings behavior. Mobile banking users who received digital nudges achieved a higher average savings rate of 29.38 percent, compared to 26.5 percent among users in the control group. This difference confirms that users exposed to digital nudging are more likely to save than those who are not nudged. Beyond improved savings outcomes, nudged users also reported greater satisfaction with their financial decisions, indicating that digital nudging not only enhances financial performance but also improves the overall decision-making experience. These findings strongly support behavioral economics theory, which suggests that small, non-coercive adjustments in choice architecture can effectively guide individuals toward better financial behavior without restricting autonomy.

Further analysis reveals that while digital nudging is effective, the frequency of nudges alone does not significantly drive additional savings gains. Although a weak positive correlation was observed between nudging frequency and increases in savings behavior, this relationship was not statistically significant. This suggests that repeatedly delivering nudges does not necessarily lead to proportionately higher savings. Instead, the effectiveness of nudging appears to depend more on the relevance, timing, and design of interventions rather than on their sheer frequency. This finding challenges the assumption that cumulative exposure to nudges automatically produces stronger behavioral change and highlights the importance of thoughtful and user-centered nudging strategies.

From a user perception perspective, digital nudging was largely viewed as a helpful and supportive tool in shaping savings decisions. Mobile banking users exposed to nudging interventions expressed higher satisfaction with their savings-related financial choices than those in the control group. Importantly, this increased satisfaction was observed despite the absence of a strong link between nudging frequency and savings growth. The consistent improvement in both savings rates (29.38% versus 26.5%) and satisfaction levels underscores the value of digital nudging as a mechanism that enhances financial well-being while maintaining user trust and engagement.

Overall, the findings reinforce the core principles of nudge theory and behavioral economics by demonstrating that well-designed digital behavioral interventions can improve savings behavior and user satisfaction simultaneously. For financial institutions and policymakers, these results emphasize the need to prioritize the quality, transparency, and ethical design of digital nudges. Rather than increasing nudging frequency, mobile banking platforms should focus on delivering personalized, contextually relevant, and user-friendly interventions to support sustainable saving behavior, particularly in developing economies where digital financial services continue to expand rapidly.

7. Implications of the Study

7.1 *Theoretical Implications*

This study contributes meaningfully to behavioral economics by strengthening empirical evidence on the effectiveness of digital behavioral interventions in financial decision-making. The findings reinforce key principles of nudge theory by demonstrating that subtle, non-coercive modifications in choice architecture can positively influence savings behavior without restricting individual autonomy. By showing that digital

nudging can improve savings outcomes and decision satisfaction, the study advances understanding of how psychological factors such as present bias and limited self-control shape financial behavior in digital environments.

The results also highlight that the impact of digital nudging does not depend solely on repetition. The absence of a significant relationship between nudging frequency and savings growth suggests that behavioral change is driven more by the relevance and design of interventions than by their frequency. This insight refines existing behavioral economics theory by emphasizing the role of contextual relevance, habit formation, and thoughtful intervention design in shaping long-term financial behavior.

7.2 *Practical and Policy Implications*

From a practical perspective, the findings offer actionable guidance for mobile banking platforms and financial technology providers. Financial institutions can integrate well-designed digital nudges - such as personalized reminders, goal-setting prompts, and progress-tracking features - to encourage consistent saving behavior. These tools not only enhance financial outcomes but also improve user satisfaction, supporting sustained engagement with digital financial services.

At the policy level, the study highlights the potential of digital nudging as a cost-effective and scalable approach to promoting savings and financial literacy, particularly in developing economies. Policymakers can encourage the ethical adoption of digital behavioral interventions within financial systems to support inclusive and sustainable savings practices. The combined benefits of improved savings behavior and enhanced user satisfaction underscore the value of embedding transparent, consumer-focused nudging strategies in both financial products and public policy initiatives, ultimately contributing to improved financial well-being.

8. Conclusion

This study provides evidence that digital nudging significantly improves savings behavior among mobile banking users in Mumbai. Policy-relevant findings indicate that non-coercive tools—such as default savings options, personalized reminders, and goal-setting prompts—can enhance financial decision-making and user satisfaction without restricting individual choice. Users exposed to nudges achieved a higher average savings rate (29.38%) than the control group (26.5%), reflecting a statistically significant improvement in savings outcomes. While nudging frequency showed a weak relationship with savings growth, the results emphasize the importance of nudge design quality over intensity. These findings suggest that integrating behavioral insights into digital financial platforms offers a scalable, cost-effective policy instrument for promoting savings and improving financial well-being, particularly in developing economies.

References:

Beshears, J., Choi, J. J., Laibson, D., & Madrian, B. C. (2010). The importance of default options for retirement saving outcomes: Evidence from the United States. *In E. P. Lazear & K. L. Shaw (Eds.), Research in Labor Economics* (Vol. 30, pp. 1–35). Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing.

Carroll, G. D., Choi, J. J., Laibson, D., & Madrian, B. C. (2009). *Optimal defaults and active decisions*. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 124*(4), 1639–1674

Chatterjee, S., & Sarker, S. (2019). The behavioral roots of information systems security: Exploring key factors related to unethical IT use. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 36(4), 1141– 1172

Duflo, E., Kremer, M., & Robinson, J. (2011). *Nudge and the politics of behavior change*. *Annual Review of Economics*, *3*(1), 383-414.

Guryan, J., Hurst, E., & Kearney, M. S. (2018). Understanding the dynamics of savings behavior: Evidence from behavioral economics. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, *2018*(1), 1-55.

Hossain, T., & List, J. A. (2012). The behavioralist visits the factory: Increasing productivity using simple framing manipulations. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *127*(2), 643–678

Hummel, D., Kutzner, D., & Reutner, L. (2018). *Behavioral economics and public policy: Nudging towards a better society*. Journal of Behavioral Economics, 45(3), 133-145.

Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). *Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk*. Econometrica, 47(2), 263–291.

Karlan, D., Morten, M., & Zinman, J. (2016). *A personal touch: Text messaging for high-frequency financial transactions. The Review of Financial Studies, 29*(10), 3041-3074.

Liu, P., Wang, Y., & Zhang, X. (2021). The effectiveness of nudging: A meta-analysis of choice architecture interventions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(1)

Madrian, B. C., & Shea, D. G. (2001). The power of suggestion: Inertia in 401(k) participation and savings behavior. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *116*(4), 1149–1187

Mirsch, T., Lehrer, C., & Jung, R. (2017). Digital nudging: Altering user behavior in digital environments. (pp. 634–648). St. Gallen, Switzerland

Polman, E., & Maglio, S. J. (2024, May 26). The problem with behavioral nudges. *The Wall Street Journal*.

Reisch, L. A., Gwozdz, W., & Aboulnasr, K. (2020). How behavioral economics and nudges could help diminish excessive consumption of unhealthy foods and beverages. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 8, 1–8

Schmidt, A. T., & Engelen, B. (2020). The ethics of nudging: An overview. *Philosophy Compass*, 15(2)

Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness.* Yale University Press.

Van Gestel, L., Venema, T. A. G., & De Ridder, D. T. D. (2021). Nudging in the workplace: Facilitating desirable behaviour by changing the environment. In A. M. M. van der Voordt, M.

Zhao, M, & Reisch, L. A. (2017). Behavioural economics, consumer behaviour and consumer policy: State of the art. *Behavioural Public Policy*, 1(2), 190–206

Van Meel, M., & M. L. M. de Vries (Eds.), *A Handbook of Theories on Designing Alignment between People and the Office Environment* (pp. 222–234).